Adult Consumer Survey

2015-16 Final Report

NATIONAL CORE INDICATORS™

A Collaboration of

The National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services
and
Human Services Research Institute

Human Services Research Institute (HSRI)

2336 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02140

National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services (NASDDDS)

301 N Fairfax Street
Suite 101
Alexandria, VA 22314-2633

March 2017

List of Abbreviations Used in This Report

CIP – Core Indicators Project

CMS – Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

HCBS – Home and Community-Based Services

HSRI – Human Services Research Institute

N – Number of respondents

NASDDDS – National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services

Table of Contents

Adult Consumer Survey **1**
2015-16 Final Report **1**
Table of Contents iv
Important Note on 2015-16 Changes to the Survey Tool, Analysis and Reporting ..... **x**
Adult Consumer Survey Highlights ..... **xii**
I. Results **1**
Survey Development **2**
Organization of the Survey 2
Presentation of Data 3
Demographic Characteristics of Respondents **4**
Profile of Sample 5
Table 1. Age ¥ 6
Table 2. Age group º 7
Table 3. Gender 8
Table 4. Marital status º 9
Table 5. Race ± 10
Table 6. Residential designation (metropolitan, micropolitan, rural, small town) * º 11
Table 7. Type of residence –intermediate care facility for Individuals with I/DD (ICF/IID) or other institutional setting 12
Table 8. Type of residence – Group residential setting (e.g., group home) 13
Table 9. Type of residence, continued 14
Table 10. Length of time at current residence º 15
Table 11. Person's residence owned or controlled by provider agency º 16
Table 12. Person is named on the lease º 17
Table 13. Person owns home º 17
Table 14. Has ID diagnosis 19
Table 15. Level of ID (if the person has an ID diagnosis) ± ¥ 20
Table 16. Mood, anxiety, behavior, psychotic, and other mental illness ∞ 21
Table 17. Other diagnoses ∞ 23
Table 18. Other diagnoses, continued ∞ 25
Table 19. Health conditions ∞ 26
Table 20. Preferred means of communication ± 27
Table 21. Primary language 28
Table 22. Mobility ¥ 29
Table 23. Level of support needed to manage self-injurious behavior ¥ 30
Table 24. Level of support to manage disruptive behavior ¥ 31
Table 25. Level of support to manage destructive behavior ¥ 32
Table 26. Guardianship ± 33
Table 27. Guardian's relationship to person º 34
Core Indicator Outcomes and Comparisons Across States **35**
Table 28. Number of people in each type of residence 37
How to Read the Tables in This Report 38
Choice and Decision-Making 39
People make choices about their lives and are actively engaged in planning their services and supports. 39
Table 29. Chose or had some input in choosing where they live if not in the family home (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) * 42
Table 30. Chose or had some input in choosing people they live with, or chose to live alone if not in the family home (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) * 43
Table 31. Chose or had some input in choosing where they work (among those with a job in the community; adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) ˇ * 44
Table 32. Chose or had some input in choosing where they go during the day (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) * 45
Table 33. Chose or were aware they could request to change the staff who help them at their home, job, or day program or activity (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) * 46
Table 34. Choose or help decide their daily schedule (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) * 47
Table 35. Choose or help decide how to spend free time (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) * 48
Table 36. Choose or help decide what to buy with their money (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) * 49
Table 37. Life decisions scale (adjusted variable) *'** 50
Table 38. Everyday choices scale (adjusted variable) *'** 51
Table 39. Chose or were aware they could request to change their case manager/service coordinator ('person chose' and 'did not choose, but can request to change' responses, proxy responses allowed) 53
Work 54
People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment. 54
Table 40. Has paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ± 56

Table 41. Type of employment among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ± * 58
Table 42. Average number of biweekly hours by type of community employment among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ± ˇ 60
Table 43. Average biweekly gross wages by type of community employment among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ± 62
Table 44. Average biweekly hourly wage by type of community employment among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ± 64
Table 45. Length of employment in current job among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) 66
Table 46. Receives paid vacation and/or sick time at their job (among those reported to have a job in the community; information comes from Background Information section) ± 68
Table 47. Four most common job types among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ± 70
Table 48. Would like a job in the community (among those reported not to have a paid community job from Background section; 'yes' responses only) ± 72
Table 49. Have community employment as a goal in their service plan (information comes from Background Information section) 74
Table 50. Takes classes, training, or does something to help get a job or a better job º 76
Table 51. Attends a day program or workshop± 78
Table 52. Volunteers 80
Self-Determination 81
People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services. 81
Table 53. Uses a self-directed supports option (information comes from Background Information section) ± 83
Table 54. People who decide how budget for services is used (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) º ˇ 85
Table 55. Hires or manages staff (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) º ˇ 87
Table 56. Can make changes to individual budget/services if needed (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ˇ . 89
Table 57. Has enough help deciding how to use their individual budget/services (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ˇ 91
Table 58. Gets information about how much money is left in budget/services (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ˇ 93
Table 59. Information about budget/services is easy to understand (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ˇ . 95
Community Inclusion, Participation, and Leisure 96
People have support to participate in everyday community activities. 96
Table 60. Went out shopping at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ± 98
Table 61. Went out on errands at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ± 100
Table 62. Went out for entertainment at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ± 102
Table 63. Went out to eat at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ± 104
Table 64. Went out to religious service or spiritual practice at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ±106
Table 65. Participated in a community group in the past month (proxy responses allowed) º 108
Table 66. Went on vacation in the past year (proxy responses allowed) ± 110
Table 67. Community Inclusion scale score 111
Table 68. Able to go out and do the things like to do in the community º 113
Table 69. Able to go out and do the things likes to do in the community as often as wants to º 115
Table 70. Has enough things they like to do when at home º 117
Relationships 118
People have friends and relationships. 118
Table 71. Has friends who are not staff or family members ('yes' responses only) 120
Table 72. Wants help to make or keep in contact with friends ('yes' and 'maybe, not sure' responses) º 122
Table 73. Has friends (may be staff or family) and the support needed to see friends when they want ('yes' responses only) 124
Table 74. Reasons cannot always see friends º 126
Table 75. Has other ways to communicate with friends when cannot see them ('yes' responses only) º 128
Table 76. Can see and communicate with their family whenever they want ('yes' responses only) ± 130
Table 77. Feels lonely ('yes, often' responses only) ± 132
Table 78. Can go on a date if they want or can date with some restriction ('yes, can date' or 'is married or living with partner' responses only) 134
Satisfaction 135
People are satisfied with the services and supports they receive. 135
Table 79. Like their home ('yes' responses only) 137

Table 80A. Reasons why person does not like home (categories are not mutually exclusive) º 139
Table 80B. Reasons why person does not like home (continued, categories are not mutually exclusive) º 140
Table 81. Want to live somewhere else ('yes' responses only) 142
Table 82. Has a paid job in the community and likes where they work ('yes' responses only) ˇ 144
Table 83. Has a paid job in the community and wants to work somewhere elseˇ ('yes' responses only) ˇ 146
Table 84. Attends a day program or workshop and wants to go more, less, or the same amount of time º 148
Table 85. Services and supports help person live a good life ('yes' responses only) º 150
Service Coordination 151
Service coordinators are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning. 151
Table 86. Met their case manager/service coordinator ('yes' responses only) 153
Table 87. Case manager/service coordinator asks person what s/he want ('yes' responses only) 155
Table 88. Able to contact case manager/service coordinator when wants ('yes' responses only) ± 157
Table 89. Took part in last service planning meeting ('yes' and 'had the option but chose not to' responses) º 159
Table 90. Knew what was talked about at last service planning meeting (yes responses only) º 161
Table 91. Last service planning meeting included people person wanted to be there (yes responses only) º 163
Table 92. Person was able to choose services they get as part of service plan (yes responses only) º 165
Table 93. Staff show up and leave when they are supposed to ('yes' responses only) 167
Access 168
Publicly funded services are readily available to individuals who need and qualify for them. 168
Table 94. Has a way to get places needs to go ('yes almost always' responses only) º 170
Table 95. Has a way to get places when wants to go outside of home—like going out to see friends, for entertainment, or to do something fun ('yes almost always' responses only) º 172
Table 96. Staff have adequate training to meet the person's needs ('yes' responses only; proxy responses allowed but not asked if proxy is staff) 174
Table 97. Additional services needed (categories are not mutually exclusive; proxy responses allowed) ± 176
Table 98. Additional services needed, continued (categories are not mutually exclusive; proxy responses allowed) ±177
Health 178
People secure needed health services. 178
Table 99. Has a primary care doctor or primary care practitioner (information comes from Background Information section) 180
Table 100. In poor health ('poor health' response only; proxy responses allowed) 182
Table 101. Had a complete physical exam in the past year ('within the last year or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section) 184
Table 102. Had a dental exam in the past year (within the last year or less responses; information comes from Background Information section) 186
Table 103. Had an eye exam in the past year (within the last year or less responses; information comes from Background Information section) 188
Table 104. Had a hearing test in the past five years ('within five years or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section) 190
Table 105. Had a Pap test in the past three years (among women; 'within the past three years or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section) 192
Table 106. Had a mammogram in the past two years (among women age 40 and over; 'within the past two years or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section) 194
Table 107. Had a colorectal cancer screening in the past year (among people age 50 and over; 'within the last year or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section) 196
Table 108. Had a flu vaccine in the past year (within the last year or less responses; information comes from Background Information section) 198
Medications 199
Medications are managed effectively and appropriately. 199
Table 109. Takes at least one medication for mood disorders, anxiety, psychotic disorders, and/or behavior (information comes from Background Information section) 201
Table 110. Takes medication for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section. 203
Table 111. Number of medications taken for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section) 205
Table 112. Takes medication for behavior challenges (information comes from Background Information section) 207
Table 113. Number of medications taken for behavior challenges (information comes from Background Information section) 209
Table 114. Has a behavior plan (information comes from Background Information section) º 211
Table 115. Has a behavior (of those who take medication for a behavior challenge; information comes from Background Information section) 213
Wellness 214
People are supported to maintain healthy habits. 214
Table 116. Engages in physical activity ('yes, regularly at least 3 times per week' and 'sometimes, 1 or 2 times per week' responses; proxy responses allowed) ± 216

Table 117. BMI category (BMI calculated using data on weight and height; information comes from Background Information section) 218
Table 118. Uses nicotine or tobacco products (information comes from Background Information section) 220
Respect and Rights 221
People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community. 221
Table 119. Has a key to the home ('yes' responses only; proxy responses allowed) º 223
Table 120. Others let person know before entering home ('yes' responses only) 225
Table 121. Can lock bedroom if they want ('yes' responses only; proxy responses allowed) º 227
Table 122. Others let person know before entering bedroom ('yes' responses only) 229
Table 123. Others read mail or email without asking first ± 231
Table 124. Can use phone and internet whenever they want ± 233
Table 125. Can be alone wth visitors at home ± 235
Table 126. There are rules to having friends or visitors at home º 237
Table 127. Has a place to be alone at home ± 239
Table 128. Staff (at home, work, and/or day activity or program) are respectful ('yes, all staff' responses only) ± 241
Table 129. Have attended a self-advocacy event or had opportunity but chose not to ('yes' and 'had opportunity but chose not to' responses; proxy responses allowed) 243
Table 130. Has ever voted in local, state, or federal election, or had the opportunity and chose not to ('yes' and 'had opportunity to register to vote but chose not to' responses; proxy responses allowed) º 245
Safety 246
People are safe from abuse, neglect, and injury. 246
Table 131. There is at least one place where the person feels afraid or scared º 248
Table 132. Have someone to go to for help if they ever feel scared ('yes' responses only) 250
II. NCI History and Activities 251
Overview of National Core Indicators 252
State Participation 253
Figure 1. NCI State Participation 253
The Core Indicators 253
Figure 2 Adult Consumer Survey Indicators: Domains and Sub-Domains 254
How NCI Data Are Used 255
Caution and Limitations 255
III. Methodology 257
Administration 258
Proxy Respondents 258
Surveyor Training 259
Criteria for Exclusion of Responses 259
Responses for Section II 260
Sampling 260
Figure 3. Valid Surveys and Response Rates by State: 2015-16 263
IV. Data Analysis 264
Data Management and Analysis 265
Outcome Adjustment 265
Scale Development 266
Significance Testing 266
V. Appendices 268
Appendix A 269
Survey Changes 2014-15 to 2015-16 269
Appendix B 284
Rules for Recoding and Combining Variables to Compute Core Indicators 284
Appendix C Sampling Procedures 316
Appendix D 337
Un-collapsed and unadjusted data by state 337
Choice and Decision-Making (unadjusted and un-collapsed) 338
Table 133. Level of choice in where they live if not in the family home (proxy responses allowed) 338
Table 134. Level of choice in choosing their roommates if not in the family home (proxy responses allowed) 339
Table 135. Level of choice in choosing where they work (among those with a job in the community; proxy responses allowed) 340
Table 136. Level of choice in choosing where they go during the day (among those with a day program or activity; proxy responses allowed) 341
Table 137. Level of choice of staff who help them at their home, job, or day program or activity (proxy responses allowed) 342
Table 138. Level of choice in deciding their daily schedule (proxy responses allowed) 343
Table 139. Level of choice in deciding how to spend free time (proxy responses allowed) 344
Table 140. Level of choice in deciding what to buy with their money (proxy responses allowed) 345
Table 141. Level of choice in their case manager/service coordinator (proxy responses allowed) 346
Work (un-collapsed) 347

Table 142. Have a paid job in the community (among those with an individual and/or group supported; information comes from Background Information section) ... 347
Table 143. Receives paid vacation and/or sick time at their job (among those with a paid job in the community; information comes from Background Information section) ... 348
Table 144. Job industry (among those with a paid job in the community; information comes from Background Information section) ... 349
Table 145. Job industry, continued (among those with a paid job in the community; information comes from Background Information section) ... 350
Table 146. Would like a job in the community (among those without a paid job in the community) ... 351
Table 147. Have community employment as a goal in their service plan (among those with a paid job in the community; information comes from Background Information section) ... 352
Table 148. Takes classes to help get a job or get a better job ... 353
Table 149. Attend a day program or regular activity ... 354
Table 150. Volunteer ... 355
Self-Directed Supports (un-collapsed) ... 356
Table 151. Using a self-directed supports option (information comes from Background Information section) ... 356
Table 152. People who decide or have input in making decisions for how budget for services is used (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ... 357
Table 153. Hires or manages staff (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ... 358
Table 154. Can make changes to individual budget/services if needed (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ... 359
Table 155. Has enough help deciding how to use their individual budget/services (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) 360
Table 156. Gets enough information about how much money is left in budget/services (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) 361
Table 157. Information received about budget/services is easy to understand (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ... 362
Community Inclusion, Participation and Leisure (un-collapsed) ... 363
Table 158. Went out shopping at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 363
Table 159. Who person typically went out shopping with in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 364
Table 160. Went out on errands at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 365
Table 161. Who person typically went on errands with in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 366
Table 162. Went out for entertainment at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 367
Table 163. Who person typically went out for entertainment with in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 368
Table 164. Went out to eat at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 369
Table 165. Who person typically went out to eat with in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 370
Table 166. Went out to religious service or spiritual practice at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed)371
Table 167. Who person typically went to religious service or spiritual practice with in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 372
Table 168. Participated in a community group in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ... 373
Table 169. Who person typically participated in a community group with in the past month (proxy responses allowed)374
Table 170. Went on vacation in the past year (proxy responses allowed) ... 375
Table 171. Who person typically went on vacation with in the past year (proxy responses allowed) ... 376
Table 172. Able to go out and do the things like to do in the community ... 377
Table 173. Able to go out and do the things likes to do in the community as often as wants to ... 378
Table 174. Has enough things to do when at home ... 379
Relationships (un-collapsed) ... 380
Table 175. Have friends who are not staff or family members ... 380
Table 176. Wants more help to meet or keep in contact with friends ... 381
Table 177. Have friends (may be staff or family) and the support needed to see their friends when they want ... 382
Table 178. Reasons cannot always see friends ... 383
Table 179. Has other ways to communicate with friends when cannot see them ... 384
Table 180. Can see and communicate with their family when they want ... 385
Table 181. Feel lonely at least half the time ... 386
Table 182. Can go on a date if they want or can date with some restriction ... 387
Satisfaction (un-collapsed) ... 388
Table 183. Like their home ... 388
Table 184. Reasons why person does not like home (categories are not mutually exclusive) ... 389
Table 185. Reasons why person does not like home, continued (categories are not mutually exclusive) ... 390
Table 186. Want to live somewhere else ... 391
Table 187. Have a paid job in the community and like where they work ... 392
Table 188. Have a paid job in the community and want to work somewhere else ... 393
Table 189. Attend a day program or workshop and wants to go less often ... 394

Table 190. Services and Supports help person live a good life 395
Service Coordination (un-collapsed) 396
Table 191. Met their case manager/service coordinator 396
Table 192. Case manager/service coordinator asks person what s/he want 397
Table 193. Able to contact case manager/service coordinator when wants 398
Table 194. Took part in last service planning meeting, or had the opportunity and chose not to 399
Table 195. Understood what was talked about at last service planning meeting 400
Table 196. Last service planning meeting included people person wanted to be there 401
Table 197. Person was able to choose services they get as part of service plan 402
Table 198. Staff show up and leave when they are supposed to 403
Access (un-collapsed) 404
Table 199. Has a way to get places needs to go 404
Table 200. Has a way to get places when wants to go outside of home 405
Table 201. Staff have adequate training to meet the person's needs (proxy responses allowed) 406
Table 202. Additional services needed (categories are not mutually exclusive; proxy responses allowed) 407
Table 203. Additional services needed, continued (categories are not mutually exclusive; proxy responses allowed) 408
Health (un-collapsed) 409
Table 204. Have a primary care doctor or primary care practitioner (information comes from Background Information section) 409
Table 205. Overall health (proxy responses allowed) 410
Table 206. Had a complete physical exam in the past year (information comes from Background Information section) 411
Table 207. Had a dental exam in the past year (information comes from Background Information section) 412
Table 208. Had an eye exam in the past year (information comes from Background Information section) 413
Table 209. Had a hearing test in the past five years (information comes from Background Information section) 414
Table 210. Had a Pap test in the past three years (among women; information comes from Background Information section) 415
Table 211. Had a mammogram test in the past two years (among women age 40 and over; (information comes from Background Information section) 416
Table 212 Had a colorectal cancer screening in past year (among people age 50 and over; comes from Background Information section) 417
Table 213. Had a flu vaccine in the past year (information comes from Background Information section) 418
Medications (un-collapsed) 419
Table 214. Takes at least one medication for behavior, mood disorders, anxiety, and/or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section) 419
Table 215. Take medication for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section) 420
Table 216. Number of medications taken for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section) 421
Table 217. Take medication for behavior challenges (information comes from Background Information section) 422
Table 218. Number of medications taken for at least one of the following behavior challenges (information comes from Background Information section) 423
Table 219. Has a behavior plan (information comes from Background Information section) 424
Table 220. Has a behavior plan (of those who take medication for a behavior challeng; information comes from Background Information section) 425
Wellness (un-collapsed) 426
Table 221. Engage in physical activity (proxy respondents were allowed) 426
Table 222. BMI category (BMI is calculated using person's height and weight; information comes from Background Information section) 427
Table 223. Chew or smoke tobacco (information comes from Background Information section) 429
Respect and Rights (un-collapsed) 430
Table 224. Has a key to the home (proxy responses allowed) 430
Table 225. People always ask before entering home 431
Table 226. Can lock bedroom (proxy responses allowed) 432
Table 227. People always ask before entering bedroom 433
Table 228. Others read mail or email without asking 434
Table 229. Can use phone and internet whenever wants 435
Table 230. Can be alone with friends and visitors at home 436
Table 231. There are rules against having friends and visitors at home 437
Table 232. Has a place to be alone 438
Table 233. Staff (at home, work, and/or day activity or program) are respectful 439
Table 234. Have attended a self-advocacy event or chose not to (proxy responses allowed) 440
Table 235. Has ever voted in local, state, or federal election, or had the opportunity and chose not (proxy responses allowed) 441
Safety (un-collapsed) 442
Table 236. There is at least one place where the person feels afraid or scared 442
Table 237. Have someone to go to for help if they ever feel scared 443

Important Note on 2015-16 Changes to the Survey Tool, Analysis and Reporting

NCI strives to present data in a way that is both accurate and actionable. To this end, we modified several questions in the Adult Consumer Survey for the 2015-16 cycle, and we analyzed several of the survey items differently than in years past. These changes are noted throughout the report.

Below, we describe the more substantial changes to the 2015-16 survey tool.

Community Inclusion, Participation and Leisure

Questions around frequency of participation in community activities (shopping, errands, entertainment, etc.) changed from having a continuous response option (where the surveyor indicated the number of times a person did a certain activity) to having a categorical response option (where the respondent picks from a pre-set range of frequencies in a multiple choice-style option). As a result, frequency of participation in community activities is now reported as the proportion of people who performed the activity *at least once*. The calculation of the community inclusion scale also changed—from a simple sum of the number of times the activities were performed to an average of the proportions of respondents who performed the activities at least once.

Employment

Prior to 2015-16, NCI data on paid community job bi-weekly wages, bi-weekly hours, and hourly wage were reported based on three types of community work: (1) competitive—an individual job in which the person *does not receive* state or other funded supports; (2) individually supported—an individual job in which the person *receives* state or other funded supports; or (3) group-supported—a job that takes part in an integrated setting but is done with a group of individuals with disabilities (e.g., work crew).

For 2015-16 and going forward, the questions about paid community job in the background information section changed slightly: the survey now asks separately whether the person participates in a paid individual job in the community and/or whether he/she participates in a paid group job in the community. This allows us to report on cases in which the individual does both an individual job and a group job. As a result, the two categories are no longer mutually exclusive.

In addition, we have changed the names and types of community work by which we report wages and hours. The three categories are now: (1) individual job without publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person *does not receive* state or other funded supports; (2) individual job with publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person *receives* state or other funded

supports; and (3) group-supported—a job that takes part in an integrated setting but is done with a group of individuals with disabilities (e.g., work crew). Group-supported jobs may or may not receive publicly funded supports.

Self-direction

Previously, the item on self-directed supports included only those people participating in specific self-direction programs or waivers. For 2015-16, this item now includes those who are using self-directed supports or participant direction for any part of their services. It is phrased as:

> *"Self-directed" or "participant-directed" supports options offer individuals (and their representatives, including family members) the opportunity to manage some or all of their services. They may hire and fire their own support workers and/or control how their budget is spent.*

Additionally, questions on self-direction were moved from Section I (where only the person receiving services may respond) to Section II, where proxy respondents may also respond when applicable.

Items with high rates of missing data

For several states, survey items in the Background Information section (including certain items related to demographics, Health, Medication, and Wellness) had a large amount of missing data or data recorded as “don’t know.” States for which 25% or more of their sample were missing data (or reported as “don’t know”) for specific questions are indicated with an asterisk.

The quantity of missing data can be a result of several factors. We do not know whether the data are missing systematically or randomly; for example, for a specific state, are the data missing across the board for those in a specific waiver? If so, the resulting data are not representative of the entire sample or population. We advise caution when examining data that are indicated as having high rates of “missing” or “don’t know” responses.

Adult Consumer Survey Highlights

LOOKING AT THE 2015-16 ADULT CONSUMER SURVEY (ACS)

Respondents: Adults (aged 18 and over) with an intellectual/developmental disability receiving one service (in addition to case management) from the state DD agency.

36 states (including the District of Columbia)*: Total sample: 17,682

Demographics and personal characteristics of the sample

Average Age
43 years old

Gender



Race/Ethnicity:

70% -- White
18% -- Black/African American
3% -- Hispanic
7% -- Other**
1% -- Don't know

Level of ID



Diagnoses of adults with disabilities

Not mutually exclusive; 'Don't know' responses included in denominator



Residence Type

Parent/Relative's Home
35%

Group Residential Setting (e.g., Group Home)
32%

Own Home or Apartment
20%

Other (including foster care and those without a home)
8%

ICF/ID nursing facility or other institutional setting
5%

*ACS: AL, AR AZ, CO, CT, DC, DE, FL, GA, HI, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MA, ME, MI, MN, MO MS, NC, NV, NY, OH, OK, PA, RI, SD, TN, UT, VA, VT, WA, WI, WY

**Includes American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, two or more and other

NATIONAL CORE INDICATORS™

Employment

19% of respondents were reported to have a **paid community job (Individual and or group)**

24% reported taking classes or training to get a job or do better at the job they have

47% who do not have a paid community job want one

30% had paid community employment as a goal in their service plan

Access



Choice, Decision-making and control

Adjusted variables

Chose or had some input in choosing where he/she lives 57%

Chose or had some input in choosing housemates 47%

Chose or had some input in choosing daily schedule 84%

Chose or had some input in choosing what to buy with spending money 88%

Community Inclusion, Participation and Leisure:

Percentage of respondents that report having done the following activities in the community at least once in the past month

- **91%** Shopping
- **88%** Out on errands
- **77%** Out for entertainment
- **88%** Out to eat
- **48%** Out to religious service or spiritual practice

NATIONAL CORE INDICATORS™

Health:
Percentage of respondents who .....



Satisfaction:
Percentage of respondents who report that...

They like where they live -- 89%

They like where they work in a paid community job --92%

Services are helping them to live a good life -- 91%

Relationships:

Percentage of respondents who report that they...



Rights and Respect:
Percentage of respondents who...



NATIONAL CORE INDICATORS™

I. Results

This section briefly describes the structure of the survey instrument and presents the results of the most recent data collection cycle.

Survey Development

The Adult Consumer Survey was initially developed by a technical advisory subcommittee for the purpose of collecting information directly from individuals with intellectual/developmental disabilities and their families or advocates. The survey is designed to measure more than half of the original 60 core indicators. Many questions were drawn from survey instruments already in use in the field; others were developed specifically for NCI.

Most participating states use the standard survey tool. However, some states opt to incorporate additional survey questions to look more deeply at specific issues. The analysis and presentation of these additional state-specific survey questions is not included in this report.

Organization of the Survey

The Adult Consumer Survey consists of a pre-survey form, three distinct survey sections, and a surveyor feedback form. Each is described below.

Pre-Survey Information: This section has questions that help the surveyor prepare for the meeting with the person being surveyed (the adult receiving services). Pre-Survey information is not included in the analysis by HSRI and is not included in this report; it is for surveyor use only.

Background Information: This section consists of questions about demographics, residence, health, employment status, and services and supports. Data are generally collected from state records, case managers, or a combination of both. If necessary, after consultation with NCI staff and if unavailable from other sources, certain information for the Background Information section may be collected during the face-to-face survey.

Section I: This section asks subjective questions about, for example, the individual's opinions and satisfaction with services. This section may *only* be completed through a face-to-face meeting with the individual.

Section II: This section contains objective, fact-based questions. If the person receiving services is unwilling or unable to respond, a proxy who knows the person well may respond. Case managers or service coordinators are not allowed to respond to these questions on the individual's behalf.

Surveyor Feedback Sheet: This form is used to record information such as the length of the NCI meeting with the individual and any problematic questions encountered.

Presentation of Data

The following two subsections of this report detail results from the 2015-16 data collection cycle. Demographic results are presented first. Tables display demographic results listed alphabetically by state. Outcomes are then displayed for the Adult Consumer Survey results. Items are grouped by domain and are presented in both chart and table form.

Notations are made throughout the report to indicate:

1. Items that were analyzed differently in the 2015-16 data collection cycle;
2. Items that were new additions to the survey in 2015-16;
3. Other important considerations.

Note: Survey question, reporting and analysis changes from year-to-year are important to consider when making year-to-year comparisons;

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Thirty-five (35) states and the District of Columbia administered the Adult Consumer Survey in 2015-16 and submitted valid samples for analysis. Together, survey information was collected on a total of 17,682 individuals. The states represented are: Alabama (AL), Arkansas (AR), Arizona (AZ), Colorado (CO), Connecticut (CT), District of Columbia (DC), Delaware (DE), Florida (FL), Georgia (GA) Hawaii (HI), Illinois (IL), Indiana (IN), Kansas (KS), Kentucky (KY), Louisiana (LA), Massachusetts (MA), Maine (ME), Michigan (MI), Minnesota (MN), Missouri (MO), Mississippi (MS), North Carolina (NC), Nevada (NV), New York (NY), Ohio (OH), Oklahoma (OK), Pennsylvania (PA), Rhode Island (RI), South Dakota (SD), Tennessee (TN), Utah (UT), Virginia (VA), Vermont (VT), Washington (WA), Wisconsin (WI), and Wyoming (WY).

The tables on the following pages illustrate respondent characteristics by state and include the NCI Average (the average of all states' means). Demographic information used for outcome adjustment is noted with "¥".

Profile of Sample

Table 1. Age ¥

State	Mean	Min	Max	Standard Deviation	Median	N
AL	46	19	82	13	46	398
AR	41	19	81	14	40	395
AZ	35	18	76	14	31	476
CO	44	19	90	15	42	401
CT	43	18	90	17	42	347
DC	47	19	88	16	50	398
DE	46	18	93	17	47	434
FL	38	18	96	14	36	1,452
GA	42	19	91	14	41	473
HI	41	18	87	15	39	400
IL	44	20	86	15	43	397
IN	41	18	91	14	40	828
KS	40	18	84	15	37	379
KY	41	19	79	15	38	453
LA	42	18	86	14	40	437
MA	48	20	95	16	49	421
ME	47	22	87	16	47	401
MI	45	19	100	16	45	433
MN	41	18	91	16	39	427
MO	44	19	94	15	43	400
MS	42	21	74	12	40	414
NC	42	19	99	15	41	822
NV	41	19	81	13	39	403
NY	44	18	94	17	43	494
OH	41	18	87	16	38	611
OK	40	18	75	15	41	400
PA	42	19	92	16	40	671
RI	45	20	86	15	44	413
SD	43	21	87	16	40	364
TN	48	19	90	15	49	442
UT	39	18	87	15	36	407
VA	43	18	89	15	41	813
VT	42	20	80	16	40	326
WA	39	18	75	14	36	406
WI	47	18	91	16	48	413
WY	41	20	85	14	39	309
NCI Average	**43**					**17,458**

¥Item is used for risk adjustment

Table 2. Age group º

State	18-22	23-34	35-54	55-74	75 and Older	Unknown	N
AL	1%	22%	48%	28%	1%	0%	399
AR	7%	30%	43%	19%	1%	1%	397
AZ	20%	40%	28%	12%	0%	0%	476
CO	6%	26%	42%	20%	3%	2%	411
CT	7%	30%	35%	24%	4%	0%	348
DC	5%	23%	34%	35%	2%	1%	401
DE	8%	25%	31%	32%	3%	0%	436
FL	12%	33%	40%	13%	1%	0%	1,457
GA	2%	35%	42%	21%	0%	0%	473
HI	6%	35%	39%	17%	3%	0%	401
IL	4%	29%	38%	26%	3%	1%	400
IN	11%	25%	44%	18%	1%	0%	830
KS	9%	33%	34%	18%	2%	5%	400
KY	9%	33%	36%	21%	1%	1%	456
LA	8%	31%	40%	20%	1%	0%	439
MA	0%	23%	42%	27%	7%	0%	422
ME	1%	26%	38%	29%	5%	0%	402
MI	6%	25%	38%	28%	3%	0%	435
MN	11%	32%	34%	20%	3%	0%	428
MO	5%	24%	45%	23%	2%	0%	400
MS	3%	32%	47%	19%	0%	0%	414
NC	9%	29%	37%	23%	1%	0%	823
NV	2%	35%	46%	16%	1%	0%	403
NY	9%	26%	36%	25%	4%	1%	497
OH	12%	30%	34%	22%	1%	2%	623
OK	24%	15%	40%	21%	1%	0%	400
PA	9%	28%	35%	20%	3%	4%	701
RI	3%	23%	31%	23%	1%	18%	506
SD	3%	35%	36%	22%	4%	1%	366
TN	3%	21%	38%	34%	3%	1%	447
UT	13%	33%	37%	16%	1%	0%	407
VA	5%	31%	39%	22%	3%	1%	818
VT	6%	38%	28%	28%	1%	0%	326
WA	5%	41%	35%	18%	0%	0%	406
WI	7%	19%	42%	29%	4%	0%	413
WY	7%	32%	36%	21%	1%	4%	321
NCI Average	**7%**	**29%**	**38%**	**22%**	**2%**	**1%**	**17,682**

ºNew variable to reporting

Table 3. Gender

State	Male	Female	N
AL	51%	49%	399
AR	55%	45%	386
AZ	62%	38%	471
CO	62%	38%	411
CT	54%	46%	345
DC	62%	38%	395
DE	56%	44%	429
FL	58%	42%	1,443
GA	61%	39%	470
HI	57%	43%	399
IL	58%	42%	397
IN	59%	41%	827
KS	60%	40%	373
KY	58%	42%	452
LA	61%	39%	437
MA	56%	44%	420
ME	55%	45%	399
MI	55%	45%	431
MN	59%	41%	427
MO	63%	37%	397
MS	52%	48%	414
NC	59%	41%	812
NV	57%	43%	403
NY	62%	38%	497
OH	61%	39%	615
OK	55%	45%	400
PA	56%	44%	666
RI	57%	43%	415
SD	56%	44%	362
TN	59%	41%	440
UT	60%	40%	407
VA	56%	44%	804
VT	59%	41%	326
WA	63%	37%	402
WI	60%	40%	413
WY	54%	46%	317
NCI Average	**58%**	**42%**	**17,401**

Table 4. Marital status º

State	Single, Never Married	Married	Single, Married in the Past	Don't Know	N
AL	97%	1%	2%	0%	398
AR	94%	2%	4%	0%	390
AZ	97%	1%	1%	1%	472
CO	93%	4%	3%	0%	401
CT	97%	1%	1%	1%	345
DC **	85%	1%	0%	14%	293
DE	98%	1%	0%	1%	427
FL	97%	1%	2%	0%	1,446
GA	97%	3%	1%	0%	469
HI	99%	0%	1%	0%	400
IL	98%	0%	2%	0%	396
IN	95%	1%	3%	2%	826
KS	95%	2%	2%	1%	381
KY	96%	2%	2%	0%	453
LA	97%	1%	1%	1%	439
MA	94%	2%	4%	0%	418
ME	95%	2%	4%	0%	395
MI	97%	1%	2%	0%	434
MN	95%	2%	2%	0%	411
MO	96%	1%	2%	0%	400
MS	96%	1%	2%	0%	392
NC	97%	1%	2%	0%	818
NV	94%	2%	3%	1%	398
NY	94%	3%	3%	0%	490
OH	94%	3%	2%	1%	609
OK	97%	2%	2%	0%	400
PA	95%	2%	1%	2%	641
RI	96%	0%	2%	1%	404
SD	94%	2%	4%	0%	364
TN	96%	2%	2%	1%	445
UT	93%	2%	4%	0%	405
VA	94%	0%	2%	4%	816
VT	94%	2%	3%	0%	326
WA	97%	1%	2%	0%	399
WI **	36%	2%	3%	58%	407
WY	88%	4%	7%	1%	314
NCI Average	**94%**	**2%**	**2%**	**3%**	**17,222**

ºNew variable to reporting

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 5. Race ±

State	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Pacific Islander	White	Hispanic or Latino	Other	Two or More	Don't Know	N
AL	0%	0%	38%	0%	62%	0%	0%	1%	0%	399
AR	1%	1%	23%	0%	74%	1%	0%	1%	0%	395
AZ	5%	1%	6%	0%	64%	20%	1%	1%	2%	472
CO	0%	0%	2%	0%	38%	7%	38%	0%	13%	401
CT	1%	0%	7%	0%	80%	7%	1%	1%	2%	344
DC	0%	1%	87%	0%	7%	2%	1%	0%	2%	397
DE	0%	0%	32%	0%	64%	2%	1%	1%	0%	434
FL	0%	1%	26%	0%	56%	13%	2%	1%	0%	1,439
GA	0%	1%	48%	0%	49%	0%	1%	0%	0%	471
HI	0%	40%	1%	16%	14%	2%	5%	20%	1%	401
IL	0%	1%	21%	1%	72%	4%	1%	0%	1%	396
IN	0%	0%	11%	0%	86%	1%	0%	1%	0%	828
KS	1%	1%	7%	0%	85%	2%	2%	1%	1%	379
KY	1%	0%	13%	0%	85%	0%	0%	0%	0%	453
LA	0%	0%	40%	0%	57%	1%	0%	0%	0%	438
MA	0%	0%	8%	0%	84%	6%	1%	0%	0%	416
ME	1%	0%	1%	0%	94%	0%	2%	1%	2%	400
MI	1%	0%	18%	0%	78%	1%	2%	0%	0%	433
MN	1%	1%	8%	0%	87%	0%	0%	1%	1%	427
MO	0%	1%	14%	0%	81%	1%	1%	1%	2%	399
MS	0%	0%	45%	0%	55%	0%	0%	0%	0%	407
NC	1%	0%	34%	0%	63%	1%	1%	0%	0%	819
NV	0%	4%	14%	1%	70%	8%	1%	1%	0%	403
NY	0%	1%	20%	0%	63%	9%	4%	2%	1%	497
OH	1%	0%	13%	0%	80%	1%	1%	1%	2%	614
OK	10%	0%	11%	0%	75%	2%	0%	2%	0%	400
PA	0%	0%	10%	0%	81%	3%	1%	1%	2%	644
RI	0%	2%	6%	0%	79%	9%	2%	1%	1%	413
SD	14%	0%	1%	0%	83%	1%	1%	1%	0%	362
TN	0%	0%	27%	0%	69%	0%	2%	0%	0%	446
UT	3%	1%	1%	1%	84%	3%	2%	4%	1%	407
VA	1%	3%	28%	0%	61%	2%	2%	1%	3%	817
VT	1%	1%	1%	0%	95%	1%	0%	0%	0%	326
WA	3%	4%	3%	0%	83%	4%	0%	2%	0%	405
WI	1%	0%	8%	0%	76%	2%	0%	0%	12%	412
WY	3%	0%	2%	1%	91%	3%	0%	1%	0%	318
NCI Average	**1%**	**2%**	**18%**	**1%**	**70%**	**3%**	**2%**	**1%**	**1%**	**17,412**

±Item changed from previous years – race and ethnicity are combined

Table 6. Residential designation (metropolitan, micropolitan, rural, small town) * º

State	Metropolitan	Micropolitan	Rural	Small Town	Don't Know	N
AL	68%	15%	4%	10%	3%	399
AR	64%	19%	2%	15%	1%	397
AZ **	7%	0%	0%	0%	92%	476
CO	84%	8%	1%	6%	1%	411
CT	85%	3%	0%	0%	12%	348
DC **	72%	0%	0%	0%	28%	401
DE **	64%	14%	0%	4%	18%	436
FL	83%	1%	0%	2%	14%	1,457
GA	72%	11%	3%	6%	8%	473
HI **	26%	2%	0%	0%	72%	401
IL	76%	9%	1%	12%	3%	400
IN	73%	16%	3%	7%	1%	830
KS	50%	27%	4%	9%	11%	400
KY **	36%	30%	5%	9%	21%	456
LA	89%	6%	0%	5%	0%	439
MA	96%	1%	0%	1%	2%	422
ME	45%	18%	18%	14%	5%	402
MI	79%	11%	5%	5%	0%	435
MN	71%	13%	5%	11%	0%	428
MO	60%	18%	5%	18%	0%	400
MS	51%	37%	3%	7%	3%	414
NC	69%	23%	3%	5%	1%	823
NV	94%	5%	0%	0%	1%	403
NY	72%	8%	3%	6%	10%	497
OH	65%	18%	2%	6%	9%	623
OK	54%	31%	2%	13%	1%	400
PA **	65%	10%	4%	4%	17%	701
RI **	61%	0%	0%	0%	39%	506
SD	37%	39%	7%	11%	6%	366
TN **	0%	2%	0%	0%	97%	447
UT	85%	9%	1%	4%	1%	407
VA	81%	6%	4%	7%	1%	818
VT	30%	19%	27%	24%	0%	326
WA	85%	11%	1%	2%	1%	406
WI	80%	11%	4%	2%	2%	413
WY **	29%	28%	5%	13%	25%	321
NCI Average	**63%**	**13%**	**3%**	**7%**	**14%**	**17,682**

*Residential designations were derived by transforming individuals' zip codes into designations defined by the USDA
ºNew variable to reporting
**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 7. Type of residence –intermediate care facility for Individuals with I/DD (ICF/IID) or other institutional setting

	ICF/IID, 4-6 Residents with Disabilities	ICF/IID, 7-15 Residents with Disabilities	ICF/IID, 16 or More Residents with Disabilities	Nursing Facility	Other Specialized Institutional Facility	N
AL	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	399
AR	26%	1%	0%	0%	0%	393
AZ	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	476
CO	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	406
CT	0%	0%	5%	1%	0%	346
DC	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	304
DE	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	435
FL	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1,443
GA	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	473
HI	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	401
IL	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	400
IN	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	826
KS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	382
KY	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	455
LA	16%	3%	10%	0%	0%	439
MA	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	419
ME	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	398
MI	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	432
MN	4%	2%	1%	0%	0%	428
MO	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	399
MS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	379
NC	3%	3%	11%	0%	0%	822
NV	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	403
NY	2%	6%	2%	0%	1%	497
OH	1%	3%	9%	1%	0%	616
OK	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	400
PA	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	647
RI **	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	381
SD	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	365
TN	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	445
UT	1%	0%	5%	0%	0%	406
VA	1%	2%	1%	4%	0%	814
VT	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	326
WA	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	402
WI*	1%*	0%	8%*	0%	0%	412
WY	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	314
NCI Average	**2%**	**1%**	**2%**	**0%**	**0%**	**17,283**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

*A miscoding of residential types was identified by WI just prior to publication of this report. A portion of those in ICF categories should have been categorized in 'Group Residential Setting' in 2015-2016.

Table 8. Type of residence – Group residential setting (e.g., group home)

	Group Living Setting, 2-3 People w/ Disabilities	Group Living Setting, 4-6 People w/ Disabilities	Group Living Setting, 7-15 People w/ Disabilities	N
AL	33%	11%	9%	399
AR	1%	1%	7%	393
AZ	14%	5%	0%	476
CO	4%	8%	5%	406
CT	11%	26%	1%	346
DC	40%	1%	0%	304
DE	49%	31%	0%	435
FL	1%	22%	5%	1,443
GA	11%	8%	0%	473
HI	2%	9%	0%	401
IL	2%	28%	22%	400
IN	2%***	0%	0%	826
KS	16%	22%	5%	382
KY	30%	2%	2%	455
LA	0%	0%	0%	439
MA	8%	44%	3%	419
ME	28%	18%	2%	398
MI	5%	28%	7%	432
MN	0%	42%	0%	428
MO	7%	11%	9%	399
MS	15%	14%	0%	379
NC	7%	14%	2%	822
NV	19%	32%	0%	403
NY	5%	20%	19%	497
OH	5%	8%	1%	616
OK	31%	12%	2%	400
PA	16%	9%	1%	647
RI **	6%	28%	5%	381
SD	6%	15%	22%	365
TN	26%	2%	4%	445
UT	28%	6%	2%	406
VA	6%	35%	6%	814
VT	2%	5%	0%	326
WA	3%	4%	1%	402
WI*	8%	4%	0%	412
WY	14%	20%	2%	314
NCI Average	**13%**	**15%**	**4%**	**17,283**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

***Due to the unique design of residential services in IN and the minor differences between the NCI descriptions of group home settings and independent home/apt settings, it is possible that the survey responses from IN categorized as living in group home settings may also be categorized as independent home/apartment. NCI will work with IN and other states to ensure that categorization is consistent in future years

*A miscoding of residential types was identified by WI just prior to publication of this report. A portion of those in ICF categories should have been categorized in 'Group Residential Setting' in 2015-2016.

Table 9. Type of residence, continued

State	Own Home or Apartment	Parent or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home (2 or More People With a Disability)	Foster Care, Host Home, or Shared Living (1 Person With a Disability)	Homeless	Other	Don't Know	N
AL	12%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	399
AR	34%	25%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	393
AZ	10%	61%	6%	1%	0%	1%	0%	476
CO	17%	28%	23%	4%	0%	10%	0%	406
CT	16%	36%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	346
DC	7%	29%	1%	1%	0%	0%	7%	304
DE	7%	2%	3%	8%	0%	0%	0%	435
FL	19%	52%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1,443
GA	20%	51%	7%	2%	0%	0%	0%	473
HI	5%	57%	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%	401
IL	8%	39%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	400
IN	21%	73%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	826
KS	26%	27%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	382
KY	12%	40%	9%	3%	0%	0%	0%	455
LA	25%	46%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	439
MA	13%	22%	3%	5%	0%	0%	0%	419
ME	14%	17%	4%	10%	0%	5%	1%	398
MI	20%	34%	4%	0%	0%	2%	0%	432
MN	12%	30%	7%	0%	0%	0%	2%	428
MO	57%	11%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	399
MS	25%	46%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	379
NC	8%	43%	5%	2%	0%	1%	0%	822
NV	33%	13%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	403
NY	13%	29%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	497
OH	23%	46%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	616
OK	20%	29%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	400
PA	13%	47%	0%	1%	0%	4%	1%	647
RI **	18%	36%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	381
SD	39%	14%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	365
TN	37%	24%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	445
UT	13%	38%	3%	3%	0%	1%	0%	406
VA	5%	31%	3%	6%	0%	0%	0%	814
VT	15%	25%	15%	38%	0%	0%	0%	326
WA	37%	45%	8%	0%	0%	2%	0%	402
WI	30%	37%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	412
WY	32%	25%	3%	3%	0%	0%	0%	314
NCI Average	**20%**	**35%**	**4%**	**3%**	**0%**	**1%**	**1%**	**17,283**

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 10. Length of time at current residence º

State	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	Over 5 Years	Don't Know	N
AL	6%	15%	12%	63%	4%	396
AR	13%	19%	12%	54%	3%	386
AZ **	11%	14%	7%	44%	24%	472
CO	12%	22%	11%	56%	1%	400
CT	6%	17%	12%	61%	4%	340
DC **	2%	13%	25%	20%	41%	293
DE	16%	23%	15%	37%	9%	426
FL	10%	16%	12%	61%	1%	1,440
GA	6%	15%	11%	64%	4%	464
HI	2%	5%	3%	86%	5%	387
IL	7%	18%	9%	60%	7%	396
IN	10%	9%	7%	73%	1%	816
KS	15%	22%	13%	47%	3%	379
KY	15%	20%	12%	49%	5%	454
LA	5%	15%	11%	58%	11%	437
MA	8%	16%	11%	64%	2%	419
ME	14%	18%	12%	55%	1%	391
MI	11%	16%	10%	60%	3%	430
MN	7%	15%	10%	66%	2%	411
MO	13%	22%	13%	50%	3%	399
MS	9%	20%	8%	59%	4%	400
NC	9%	14%	8%	65%	4%	811
NV	14%	25%	16%	42%	3%	400
NY	6%	13%	12%	68%	1%	496
OH	10%	12%	10%	61%	7%	610
OK	13%	22%	15%	50%	0%	400
PA	8%	15%	10%	58%	9%	623
RI **	6%	12%	9%	61%	12%	372
SD	12%	22%	15%	49%	2%	358
TN	12%	23%	16%	43%	6%	439
UT	11%	20%	11%	54%	3%	401
VA	7%	16%	15%	56%	5%	803
VT	17%	18%	12%	52%	2%	326
WA	12%	15%	11%	62%	0%	398
WI **	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	413
WY	18%	27%	10%	39%	6%	310
NCI Average	**10%**	**17%**	**12%**	**56%**	**8%**	**17,096**

ºNew variable to reporting

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 11. Person's residence owned or controlled by provider agency º

State	Yes	No	Don't Know	N
AL	93%	6%	1%	215
AR	60%	38%	2%	182
AZ	80%	8%	11%	131
CO	78%	20%	1%	202
CT	83%	12%	5%	157
DC	91%	7%	2%	247
DE	91%	8%	1%	418
FL	37%	63%	0%	1,065
GA	37%	63%	0%	305
HI	64%	35%	1%	174
IL	69%	31%	0%	287
IN	7%	92%	1%	492
KS	64%	35%	1%	241
KY	44%	54%	2%	412
LA	98%	1%	1%	106
MA	73%	22%	5%	318
ME	79%	15%	7%	246
MI	75%	18%	8%	177
MN ^	n/a	n/a	100%	245
MO	46%	52%	2%	256
MS	92%	0%	8%	106
NC	45%	52%	2%	654
NV	69%	28%	3%	301
NY	84%	11%	5%	284
OH	42%	52%	6%	309
OK	45%	55%	0%	296
PA	67%	28%	5%	317
RI	88%	8%	5%	169
SD	46%	53%	0%	360
TN	29%	67%	4%	378
UT	39%	59%	2%	392
VA	92%	7%	2%	512
VT	29%	71%	0%	208
WA	28%	72%	1%	200
WI **	10%	0%	90%	125
WY	91%	6%	3%	142
NCI Average	**62%**	**33%**	**8%**	**10,629**

ºNew variable to reporting

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

^ Based on residence type (Tables 7, 8 and 9) MN estimates that for those who are reported to be living in ICF/IID, Nursing Facility, or Group Living Situation (49%), the residence can be considered owned/controlled by a provider agency. For those living in an independent home or a parent/relative’s home (42%), the residence cannot be considered owned/controlled by a provider agency. For the remaining 9%, their result is unknown.

Table 12. Person is named on the lease º

State	Yes	No	Don't Know	N
AL **	28%	53%	19%	204
AR	19%	78%	3%	155
AZ	0%	92%	8%	133
CO	3%	88%	10%	208
CT **	7%	76%	17%	148
DC	0%	96%	4%	233
DE	4%	87%	10%	399
FL	5%	91%	3%	410
GA	22%	66%	12%	134
HI **	1%	63%	36%	113
IL	9%	86%	5%	191
IN	31%	55%	14%	51
KS	40%	49%	10%	174
KY	5%	91%	5%	199
LA	3%	95%	2%	129
MA	2%	91%	7%	261
ME	8%	80%	12%	240
MI	9%	78%	13%	191
MN **	8%	83%	9%	233
MO	14%	77%	9%	128
MS	23%	64%	13%	133
NC	6%	88%	6%	366
NV	31%	56%	13%	216
NY	2%	93%	5%	276
OH	20%	65%	15%	187
OK	76%	21%	3%	194
PA	4%	83%	14%	229
RI	5%	84%	10%	167
SD	46%	51%	3%	173
TN	78%	17%	5%	162
UT **	9%	69%	22%	195
VA	8%	90%	1%	502
VT	2%	96%	2%	173
WA	23%	73%	4%	77
WI **	0%	8%	92%	124
WY **	44%	35%	20%	133
NCI Average	**16%**	**71%**	**12%**	**7,241**

ºNew variable to reporting

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 13. Person owns home º

State	Yes	No	Don't	N

			Know	
AL	1%	97%	2%	393
AR	3%	96%	0%	386
AZ	1%	91%	8%	470
CO	4%	95%	2%	404
CT	2%	96%	2%	334
DC	1%	97%	2%	345
DE	0%	99%	1%	429
FL	4%	95%	0%	1,422
GA	4%	95%	2%	465
HI **	0%	67%	33%	380
IL	2%	96%	3%	393
IN	2%	98%	0%	824
KS	3%	95%	2%	375
KY	2%	96%	2%	454
LA	3%	96%	0%	435
MA	3%	96%	1%	414
ME	2%	96%	2%	389
MI	2%	97%	1%	425
MN	2%	96%	2%	411
MO	1%	98%	1%	394
MS	3%	93%	4%	385
NC	1%	97%	2%	814
NV	3%	95%	2%	400
NY	1%	98%	1%	487
OH	3%	94%	3%	607
OK	1%	99%	0%	400
PA	1%	93%	5%	622
RI	2%	94%	4%	371
SD	2%	98%	0%	360
TN	2%	93%	6%	441
UT	1%	99%	0%	403
VA	2%	97%	1%	809
VT	3%	96%	1%	325
WA	3%	97%	0%	398
WI **	n/a	n/a	100%	413
WY	7%	91%	2%	313
NCI Average	**2%**	**95%**	**5%**	**17,090**

ºNew variable to reporting

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 14. Has ID diagnosis

State	Yes	No	Don't Know	Valid N
AL	99%	1%	0%	398
AR	95%	5%	0%	390
AZ	83%	15%	2%	475
CO	100%	0%	0%	411
CT	98%	2%	0%	343
DC	99%	0%	1%	344
DE	95%	2%	3%	425
FL	89%	11%	0%	1,434
GA	99%	1%	0%	465
HI	95%	5%	0%	396
IL	100%	0%	0%	398
IN	94%	5%	1%	827
KS	96%	3%	1%	379
KY	96%	3%	1%	453
LA	92%	8%	0%	439
MA	93%	2%	5%	418
ME	97%	2%	1%	398
MI	97%	3%	0%	433
MN	100%	0%	0%	428
MO	89%	10%	0%	398
MS	98%	2%	0%	393
NC	96%	3%	1%	818
NV	90%	10%	0%	402
NY	95%	5%	0%	493
OH	89%	8%	2%	602
OK	100%	0%	0%	400
PA	98%	1%	1%	642
RI **	84%	7%	9%	406
SD	89%	11%	0%	360
TN	95%	5%	1%	437
UT	85%	14%	0%	405
VA	94%	4%	2%	815
VT	90%	8%	2%	326
WA	86%	14%	0%	405
WI	87%	11%	2%	413
WY	84%	15%	1%	314
NCI Average	**93%**	**5%**	**1%**	**17,283**

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 15. Level of ID (if the person has an ID diagnosis) ± ¥

State	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound	Unspecified	N
AL	36%	38%	16%	10%	0%	391
AR	30%	25%	17%	25%	3%	359
AZ	37%	41%	12%	4%	6%	388
CO	44%	39%	10%	7%	1%	384
CT	46%	29%	14%	11%	1%	334
DC	31%	32%	17%	17%	3%	329
DE	44%	28%	14%	10%	5%	398
FL	29%	39%	16%	8%	8%	1258
GA	32%	34%	13%	9%	11%	458
HI	17%	48%	18%	15%	2%	373
IL	42%	32%	14%	12%	1%	394
IN	56%	23%	9%	7%	5%	773
KS	40%	33%	13%	6%	8%	359
KY	44%	32%	10%	5%	9%	432
LA	34%	25%	18%	19%	4%	400
MA	43%	19%	11%	3%	25%	382
ME	44%	30%	14%	8%	4%	384
MI	34%	32%	15%	10%	8%	414
MN	42%	25%	14%	9%	11%	428
MO	40%	26%	18%	8%	8%	353
MS	44%	26%	12%	15%	3%	384
NC	26%	35%	18%	17%	4%	780
NV	62%	27%	6%	2%	3%	359
NY	41%	32%	12%	12%	2%	466
OH	41%	33%	12%	10%	4%	534
OK	38%	23%	14%	23%	2%	400
PA	46%	29%	12%	9%	4%	623
RI	34%	26%	13%	6%	21%	337
SD	52%	28%	9%	8%	3%	321
TN	38%	32%	14%	8%	9%	397
UT	36%	30%	18%	13%	3%	346
VA	28%	38%	21%	10%	3%	738
VT	57%	25%	8%	4%	7%	293
WA	23%	23%	6%	6%	42%	348
WI	3%	9%	0%	0%	89%	356
WY	54%	31%	9%	3%	3%	258
NCI Average	**38%**	**30%**	**13%**	**10%**	**9%**	**15,931**

±Item changed from previous years – level of ID is only reported for those reported to have an ID diagnosis
¥Item is used for risk adjustment

Table 16. Mood, anxiety, behavior, psychotic, and other mental illness ∞

State	Mood Disorder	Anxiety Disorder	Behavior Challenges	Psychotic Disorder	Other Mental Illness or Psychiatric Diagnosis
AL	32%	18%	17%	17%	6%
AR	33%	28%	36%	12%	12%
AZ	26%	20%	26%	8%	8%
CO	3%	2%	17%	0%	0%
CT	34%	28%	41%	14%	13%
DC **	24%	18%	26%	25%	5% **
DE	44%	30%	45%	17%	16%
FL	25%	23%	26%	12%	4%
GA	25%	19%	22%	17%	8%
HI	10%	8%	18%	8%	4%
IL	32%	25%	30%	13%	13%
IN	22%	23%	27%	3%	1%
KS	42%	29%	38%	15%	14%
KY	34%	26%	34%	13%	14%
LA **	44%	34%	50%	19%	17%
MA	44%	51%	26%	16%	10%
ME	37%	41%	36%	11%	14%
MI	37%	23%	27%	15%	16%
MN	8%	6%	27%	4%	25%
MO	45%	25%	26%	18%	10%
MS	25%	11%	22%	13%	7%
NC	26%	20%	33%	12%	10%
NV	38%	20%	27%	16%	11%
NY	26%	23%	35%	10%	9%
OH	37%	24%	25%	13%	15%
OK	30%	20%	23%	13%	6%
PA	33%	28%	28%	11%	9%
RI **	35%	35%	38%	7%	11%
SD	36%	26%	25%	12%	19%
TN	50%	37%	49%	26%	23%
UT	44%	39%	51%	8%	9%
VA	33%	23%	35%	12%	9%
VT	41%	41%	35%	9%	12%
WA	23%	24%	9%	7%	5%
WI	28%	24%	27%	8%	17%
WY	42%	26%	18%	7%	13%
NCI Average	**32%**	**25%**	**30%**	**12%**	**11%**

∞Individuals may have been diagnosed with more than one other disability; 'Don't know' responses included in denominator
**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 17. Other diagnoses ∞

State	Autism Spectrum Disorder	Cerebral Palsy	Brain Injury	Seizure Disorder	Chemical Dependency
AL	8%	12%	2%	24%	1%
AR	15%	25%	7%	29%	1%
AZ	17%	22%	3%	24%	0%
CO	7%	14%	1%	22%	n/a **
CT	22%	20%	3%	29%	1%
DC **	11%	15%	0%	26%	1%
DE	18%	13%	2%	27%	1%
FL	18%	21%	3%	29%	1%
GA	13%	12%	1%	25%	1%
HI	14%	16%	1%	35%	1%
IL	17%	16%	4%	28%	1%
IN	21%	16%	3%	27%	0%
KS	22%	15%	5%	37%	1%
KY	16%	16%	3%	27%	1%
LA **	25%	22%	9%	44%	1%
MA	16%	16%	3%	30%	1%
ME	18%	11%	6%	25%	1%
MI	19%	17%	4%	28%	2%
MN	16%	13%	0%	19%	1%
MO	21%	16%	5%	31%	1%
MS	8%	16%	4%	31%	2%
NC	23%	17%	6%	33%	1%
NV	15%	16%	6%	27%	1%
NY	18%	11%	4%	25%	1%
OH	21%	16%	6%	29%	2%
OK	15%	21%	2%	38%	0%
PA	16%	12%	2%	27%	2%
RI **	12%	18%	5%	28%	1%
SD	14%	15%	6%	31%	4%
TN	15%	19%	5%	34%	3%
UT	21%	12%	7%	30%	2%
VA	17%	16%	4%	32%	1%
VT	20%	10%	5%	23%	1%
WA	19%	16%	4%	26%	1%
WI	13%	19%	11%	26%	1%
WY	11%	13%	13%	29%	5%
NCI Average	**16%**	**16%**	**4%**	**29%**	**1%**

∞Individuals may have been diagnosed with more than one other disability; 'Don't know' responses included in denominator
**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 18. Other diagnoses, continued ∞

State	Down Syndrome	Prader-Willi	Fetal Alcohol Syndrome	Limited or No Vision (legally blind)	Severe or Profound Hearing Loss	No Other Disabilities
AL	7%	1%	1%	5%	3%	16%
AR	7%	1%	1%	11%	6%	2%
AZ	13%	0%	1%	10%	6%	7%
CO	11%	n/a **	n/a **	7%	6%	0%
CT	11%	1%	1%	12%	10%	3%
DC **	6%	0%	2%	18%	9%	0%
DE	5%	0%	1%	13%	7%	1%
FL	8%	0%	1%	9%	5%	4%
GA	7%	1%	0%	5%	5%	6%
HI	11%	1%	0%	10%	7%	12%
IL	12%	2%	1%	10%	5%	3%
IN	11%	0%	1%	14%	9%	0%
KS	11%	1%	1%	8%	7%	3%
KY	10%	0%	1%	7%	3%	4%
LA **	15%	1%	1%	15%	7%	0%
MA	10%	1%	1%	13%	10%	4%
ME	12%	1%	2%	9%	12%	3%
MI	8%	1%	1%	9%	8%	9%
MN	4%	1%	2%	18%	5%	0%**
MO	7%	1%	1%	8%	6%	5%
MS	10%	1%	1%	12%	10%	33%
NC	8%	1%	1%	14%	6%	4%
NV	8%	0%	3%	11%	8%	2%
NY	7%	0%	1%	11%	9%	5%
OH	9%	1%	2%	10%	9%	5%
OK	8%	1%	2%	13%	8%	9%
PA	12%	1%	1%	9%	6%	7%
RI **	13%	1%	0%	12%	9%	1%
SD	12%	0%	3%	9%	5%	6%
TN	13%	1%	3%	14%	13%	0%
UT	10%	1%	2%	11%	7%	4%
VA	8%	0%	1%	12%	7%	2%
VT	11%	0%	1%	7%	10%	10%
WA	11%	0%	0%	7%	6%	8%
WI	7%	1%	n/a **	22%	2%	3%
WY	7%	1%	2%	4%	2%	4%
NCI Average	**9%**	**1%**	**1%**	**11%**	**7%**	**5%**

∞Individuals may have been diagnosed with more than one other disability; 'Don't know' responses included in denominator
**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 19. Health conditions ∞

State	Cardiovascular Disease	Diabetes	Cancer	High Blood Pressure	High Cholesterol	Dysphagia	Pressure Ulcers	Alzheimer's
AL	5%	18%	3%	35%	22%	1%	1%	2%
AR	4%	13%	2%	21%	14%	11%	1%	3%
AZ	5%	7%	2%	10%	14%	6%	2%	2%
CO	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	n/a **	n/a **
CT	11%	9%	5%	21%	25%	13%	1%	4%
DC **	5%	12%	0%	30%	14%	4%	1%	1%
DE	9%	14%	3%	22%	18%	11%	2%	6%
FL	6%	9%	2%	18%	16%	3%	1%	2%
GA	3%	11%	1%	31%	20%	1%	0%	1%
HI	3%	10%	1%	17%	14%	2%	1%	1%
IL	7%	10%	3%	21%	16%	6%	1%	3%
IN	5%	9%	1%	21%	18%	7%	1%	1%
KS	10%	13%	3%	22%	23%	6%	2%	6%
KY	6%	13%	3%	22%	18%	8%	1%	3%
LA **	13%	18%	3%	39%	29%	13%	2%	4%
MA	12%	14%	3%	28%	24%	15%	1%	4%
ME	8%	13%	3%	21%	20%	10%	1%	7%
MI	10%	11%	1%	23%	15%	10%	2%	5%
MN	7%	9%	3%	16%	17%	11%	2%	3%
MO	8%	14%	4%	26%	18%	6%	2%	3%
MS	8%	12%	2%	29%	16%	3%	2%	1%
NC	8%	14%	3%	24%	19%	8%	3%	3%
NV	5%	13%	1%	22%	18%	2%	0%	2%
NY	7%	12%	2%	20%	19%	6%	1%	2%
OH	10%	12%	3%	23%	19%	9%	2%	5%
OK	3%	13%	1%	21%	14%	7%	0%	2%
PA **	8%	11%	1%	18%	20%	9%	2%	3%
RI **	6%	13%	5%	20%	19%	10%	2%	4%
SD	8%	14%	1%	18%	16%	6%	1%	5%
TN	15%	16%	4%	38%	35%	15%	3%	5%
UT	5%	9%	2%	14%	13%	8%	3%	1%
VA	6%	13%	2%	22%	19%	8%	1%	4%
VT	6%	10%	3%	16%	18%	7%	2%	6%
WA	7%	11%	2%	14%	11%	2%	1%	1%
WI	7%	15%	4%	31%	n/a **	n/a **	2%	3%
WY	4%	7%	2%	14%	11%	1%	1%	6%
NCI Average	**7%**	**12%**	**2%**	**22%**	**18%**	**7%**	**1%**	**3%**

∞Individuals may have been diagnosed with more than one health condition; 'Don't know' responses included in denominator
**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 20. Preferred means of communication ±

State	Spoken	Gestures	Sign Language	Communication Device	Other	Don't Know	N
AL	88%	11%	0%	0%	1%	0%	396
AR	75%	18%	1%	1%	5%	1%	392
AZ	77%	14%	2%	3%	3%	2%	473
CO	85%	13%	0%	0%	1%	0%	407
CT	80%	12%	1%	1%	4%	1%	346
DC **	79%	13%	0%	2%	4%	1%	312
DE	77%	15%	3%	0%	4%	1%	429
FL	74%	23%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1,440
GA	79%	18%	1%	1%	1%	0%	472
HI	66%	30%	2%	1%	1%	0%	400
IL	79%	17%	2%	1%	1%	0%	394
IN	81%	15%	2%	1%	0%	0%	827
KS	80%	15%	1%	1%	2%	1%	380
KY	82%	15%	1%	0%	1%	0%	455
LA	75%	21%	0%	1%	2%	1%	438
MA	82%	15%	1%	1%	1%	0%	418
ME	82%	11%	3%	1%	3%	0%	401
MI	79%	16%	2%	0%	2%	0%	432
MN	74%	12%	11%	3%	0%	0%	427
MO	82%	14%	2%	1%	2%	0%	398
MS	80%	16%	1%	0%	2%	1%	391
NC	73%	22%	2%	1%	3%	0%	819
NV	92%	4%	1%	1%	2%	0%	401
NY	75%	21%	1%	1%	2%	0%	497
OH	84%	12%	1%	0%	2%	1%	614
OK	69%	27%	3%	0%	1%	0%	400
PA	80%	16%	1%	1%	1%	1%	668
RI **	80%	12%	2%	1%	5%	0%	417
SD	82%	13%	1%	2%	2%	0%	365
TN	81%	15%	1%	0%	2%	0%	439
UT	74%	17%	2%	1%	5%	0%	406
VA	70%	22%	1%	1%	2%	4%	809
VT	87%	8%	2%	1%	1%	0%	326
WA	80%	16%	2%	0%	1%	0%	405
WI	69%	8%	0%	0%	11%	11%	411
WY	89%	8%	1%	1%	1%	0%	317
NCI Average	**79%**	**15%**	**2%**	**1%**	**2%**	**1%**	**17,322**

±Item changed from previous years – changed wording from “primary” to “preferred” means of communication
**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 21. Primary language

State	English	Other	N
AL	100%	0%	398
AR	98%	2%	387
AZ	91%	9%	464
CO	100%	0%	399
CT	97%	3%	341
DC	98%	2%	331
DE	98%	2%	425
FL	92%	8%	1,417
GA	100%	0%	467
HI	96%	4%	397
IL	95%	5%	394
IN	100%	0%	816
KS	99%	1%	377
KY	99%	1%	453
LA	99%	1%	438
MA	94%	6%	419
ME	97%	3%	393
MI	96%	4%	432
MN	99%	1%	426
MO	99%	1%	393
MS	100%	0%	382
NC	99%	1%	814
NV	98%	2%	398
NY	96%	4%	493
OH	98%	2%	603
OK	100%	0%	400
PA	98%	2%	630
RI **	95%	5%	388
SD	99%	1%	361
TN	99%	1%	437
UT	98%	2%	405
VA	99%	1%	807
VT	98%	2%	326
WA	98%	2%	404
WI **	99%	1%	172
WY	98%	2%	317
NCI Average	**98%**	**2%**	**16,904**

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 22. Mobility ¥

State	Moves Self Around Environment *Without* Aids	Moves Self Around Environment *With* Aids or Uses Wheelchair Independently	Non-Ambulatory; Always Needs Assistance to Move Around Environment	Don't Know	N
AL	83%	12%	5%	0%	399
AR	76%	12%	12%	0%	392
AZ	73%	14%	10%	2%	472
CO	83%	10%	8%	0%	407
CT	73%	16%	11%	1%	344
DC **	73%	18%	3%	6%	295
DE	76%	17%	7%	0%	430
FL	73%	16%	11%	0%	1,441
GA	85%	11%	4%	0%	473
HI	70%	14%	16%	1%	400
IL	78%	15%	7%	0%	396
IN	86%	11%	4%	0%	828
KS	77%	14%	8%	1%	381
KY	81%	13%	6%	0%	456
LA	72%	15%	12%	1%	439
MA	74%	16%	10%	0%	418
ME	79%	14%	7%	0%	400
MI	76%	15%	8%	0%	434
MN	77%	12%	10%	0%	410
MO	74%	19%	7%	0%	398
MS	80%	12%	8%	0%	387
NC	70%	15%	14%	0%	821
NV	85%	13%	2%	0%	402
NY	79%	13%	7%	0%	497
OH	77%	14%	8%	0%	613
OK	70%	15%	15%	0%	400
PA	75%	14%	7%	4%	664
RI **	75%	14%	10%	1%	417
SD	75%	19%	6%	0%	365
TN	73%	17%	9%	0%	445
UT	76%	15%	9%	0%	405
VA	68%	17%	11%	4%	816
VT	86%	11%	4%	0%	326
WA	81%	11%	8%	0%	405
WI	82%	12%	3%	3%	413
WY	80%	15%	5%	0%	315
NCI Average	77%	14%	8%	1%	**17,304**

¥Item is used for risk adjustment

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 23. Level of support needed to manage self-injurious behavior ¥

State	None	Some	Extensive	Don't Know	N
AL	86%	11%	2%	2%	398
AR	66%	26%	7%	1%	383
AZ	76%	12%	6%	6%	465
CO	72%	20%	8%	0%	401
CT	67%	20%	12%	1%	340
DC **	79%	10%	1%	10%	294
DE	63%	24%	8%	5%	426
FL	85%	12%	3%	0%	1,428
GA	86%	12%	2%	0%	467
HI	76%	14%	9%	1%	399
IL	77%	17%	4%	2%	392
IN	86%	12%	1%	1%	825
KS	54%	33%	11%	2%	380
KY	76%	18%	5%	2%	453
LA	71%	21%	7%	1%	438
MA	84%	14%	2%	0%	416
ME	68%	24%	6%	2%	395
MI	74%	17%	8%	1%	430
MN	59%	31%	10%	0%	427
MO	81%	13%	6%	1%	397
MS	85%	10%	2%	2%	392
NC	69%	24%	5%	1%	805
NV	81%	13%	4%	2%	402
NY	73%	21%	6%	0%	495
OH	80%	16%	3%	2%	611
OK	76%	17%	7%	0%	400
PA	75%	13%	5%	7%	648
RI **	64%	23%	9%	5%	399
SD	81%	16%	3%	0%	362
TN	66%	23%	11%	1%	444
UT	61%	30%	9%	1%	396
VA	65%	23%	6%	5%	812
VT	74%	21%	4%	0%	325
WA	70%	20%	10%	0%	400
WI **	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	413
WY	87%	9%	4%	0%	313
NCI Average	**74%**	**18%**	**6%**	**5%**	**17,171**

¥Item is used for risk adjustment

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 24. Level of support to manage disruptive behavior ¥

State	None	Some	Extensive	Don't Know	N
AL	74%	22%	3%	1%	397
AR	52%	36%	12%	1%	380
AZ	65%	22%	8%	5%	470
CO	47%	39%	13%	1%	402
CT	45%	40%	14%	1%	346
DC **	48%	40%	1%	11%	292
DE	38%	45%	14%	3%	423
FL	73%	21%	6%	0%	1,427
GA	78%	18%	4%	0%	472
HI	71%	19%	10%	0%	401
IL	56%	36%	7%	1%	390
IN	73%	22%	4%	1%	826
KS	27%	46%	26%	2%	379
KY	62%	29%	8%	2%	453
LA	54%	37%	8%	1%	438
MA	73%	23%	4%	0%	417
ME	45%	44%	10%	0%	393
MI	59%	31%	9%	1%	430
MN	43%	43%	14%	0%	428
MO	59%	30%	10%	1%	397
MS	78%	16%	3%	3%	393
NC	51%	40%	8%	1%	803
NV	62%	25%	10%	2%	398
NY	60%	32%	8%	0%	496
OH	67%	25%	6%	2%	611
OK	64%	26%	10%	0%	400
PA	62%	24%	7%	7%	648
RI **	46%	36%	11%	7%	401
SD	68%	27%	4%	0%	361
TN	45%	36%	19%	0%	442
UT	38%	46%	16%	0%	399
VA	44%	41%	10%	5%	812
VT	57%	34%	8%	0%	325
WA	40%	39%	21%	0%	403
WI **	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	413
WY	67%	24%	9%	0%	316
NCI Average	**57%**	**32%**	**10%**	**4%**	**17,182**

¥Item is used for risk adjustment

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 25. Level of support to manage destructive behavior ¥

State	None	Some	Extensive	Don't Know	N
AL	81%	16%	2%	1%	396
AR	64%	28%	8%	1%	380
AZ	70%	14%	7%	9%	469
CO	63%	27%	9%	1%	397
CT	64%	26%	9%	1%	342
DC **	79%	10%	1%	11%	291
DE	51%	33%	11%	5%	426
FL	82%	13%	5%	0%	1,435
GA	85%	12%	3%	0%	469
HI	76%	16%	7%	0%	399
IL	68%	27%	4%	1%	390
IN	85%	12%	2%	1%	822
KS	51%	35%	13%	2%	379
KY	71%	22%	5%	3%	451
LA	64%	28%	7%	1%	438
MA	80%	16%	4%	0%	418
ME	62%	30%	7%	1%	397
MI	72%	20%	6%	1%	433
MN	49%	39%	13%	0%	428
MO	71%	20%	8%	1%	396
MS	84%	10%	4%	3%	392
NC	71%	21%	7%	1%	803
NV	73%	19%	6%	3%	400
NY	72%	22%	6%	0%	496
OH	76%	17%	5%	2%	609
OK	74%	21%	5%	0%	400
PA	70%	18%	5%	7%	650
RI **	58%	24%	11%	7%	395
SD	80%	15%	4%	0%	360
TN	55%	27%	17%	0%	441
UT	54%	32%	14%	1%	396
VA	60%	27%	8%	5%	810
VT	73%	20%	7%	0%	325
WA	62%	24%	14%	0%	403
WI **	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	413
WY	79%	14%	6%	0%	316
NCI Average	**69%**	**22%**	**7%**	**5%**	**17,165**

¥Item is used for risk adjustment

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 26. Guardianship ±

State	No	Limited Guardianship (Person Has Authority Over Certain Decisions, e.g., Entering Into Contracts)	Full Guardian	Has Guardian, but Unable to Distinguish Level	Don't Know	N
AL	78%	2%	19%	1%	1%	398
AR	36%	18%	44%	1%	1%	392
AZ	40%	4%	53%	2%	1%	470
CO	52%	4%	41%	2%	0%	407
CT	15%	8%	75%	0%	1%	343
DC	35%	31%	17%	6%	11%	361
DE	73%	12%	11%	1%	3%	429
FL	69%	9%	20%	1%	1%	1,438
GA	83%	1%	15%	0%	0%	467
HI	33%	1%	64%	1%	0%	401
IL	29%	3%	68%	0%	0%	398
IN	48%	4%	47%	1%	1%	829
KS	33%	2%	64%	0%	1%	382
KY	35%	1%	62%	1%	0%	455
LA	87%	3%	8%	2%	2%	438
MA	38%	3%	56%	1%	0%	419
ME	23%	6%	71%	0%	0%	400
MI	25%	19%	54%	2%	0%	431
MN **	17%	0%	0%	54%	29%	426
MO	20%	2%	76%	2%	0%	400
MS	81%	0%	4%	16%	0%	394
NC	31%	9%	58%	2%	0%	823
NV	68%	2%	29%	2%	0%	400
NY	58%	5%	26%	4%	6%	495
OH	49%	16%	30%	3%	1%	614
OK	32%	5%	63%	0%	0%	400
PA	77%	4%	13%	1%	6%	642
RI **	69%	2%	22%	2%	5%	394
SD	32%	4%	64%	0%	0%	364
TN	39%	14%	43%	3%	1%	441
UT	45%	16%	36%	3%	0%	401
VA	59%	7%	30%	2%	2%	816
VT	24%	6%	70%	1%	0%	326
WA	48%	1%	49%	2%	0%	400
WI **	5%	4%	14%	30%	48%	413
WY	34%	3%	62%	1%	0%	318
NCI Average	**45%**	**6%**	**41%**	**4%**	**3%**	**17,325**

±Item changed from previous years – response categories changed

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Table 27. Guardian's relationship to person º

State	Family	Friend	Employee of State or Guardianship Agency	Other	Don't Know	N
AL	75%	2%	7%	10%	6%	87
AR	84%	5%	5%	4%	2%	242
AZ	86%	1%	7%	3%	3%	282
CO	85%	4%	9%	1%	2%	190
CT	81%	7%	3%	7%	2%	289
DC **	25%	0%	21%	33%	20%	220
DE	51%	0%	32%	2%	15%	114
FL	86%	2%	7%	2%	3%	443
GA	82%	1%	10%	5%	1%	78
HI	69%	2%	27%	1%	2%	266
IL	76%	3%	20%	1%	1%	271
IN	94%	1%	2%	1%	2%	430
KS	77%	5%	6%	2%	10%	253
KY	65%	2%	31%	1%	2%	294
LA **	73%	2%	4%	2%	18%	49
MA	72%	4%	13%	9%	2%	253
ME	58%	3%	29%	1%	9%	303
MI	65%	2%	25%	7%	0%	308
MN **	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	353
MO	61%	2%	28%	9%	1%	316
MS	94%	1%	3%	1%	0%	71
NC	80%	4%	9%	4%	4%	562
NV	83%	0%	15%	2%	1%	126
NY	76%	0%	6%	1%	16%	206
OH	67%	2%	21%	9%	2%	310
OK	85%	5%	3%	7%	0%	274
PA **	57%	4%	4%	3%	31%	143
RI **	78%	2%	0%	2%	19%	118
SD	88%	2%	9%	1%	0%	248
TN	75%	4%	15%	4%	2%	255
UT	88%	0%	9%	1%	2%	220
VA	73%	1%	9%	6%	10%	323
VT	64%	3%	31%	2%	0%	249
WA	79%	0%	10%	0%	10%	227
WI **	26%	0%	0%	6%	68%	391
WY	77%	6%	8%	5%	4%	211
NCI Average	**73%**	**2%**	**13%**	**4%**	**10%**	**8,975**

ºNew variable to reporting

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Core Indicator Outcomes and Comparisons Across States

Outcome results are presented in subsections that correspond with the Adult Consumer Survey sub-domains. Results are shown in chart and table form. Charts illustrate un-collapsed averages for all unadjusted indicators (for state un-collapsed averages, see Appendix D). Corresponding tables show collapsed data broken out by state and include the NCI Average as well as the number of respondents by state and in total[1].

Tables are formatted so that all states are listed in descending order of percentage and are categorized as statistically significantly above the NCI Average, within the range of the NCI Average, and significantly below the NCI Average. Risk-adjusted indicators are noted in text with the table. Notably, significance testing was not performed for risk-adjusted indicators and state results are simply presented in descending order.

Please Note: Statistical significance is influenced by the size of the state's sample. Therefore, it is possible that a state with a lower percentage but a larger sample will be classified as significantly above average while a state with a somewhat higher percentage but a smaller sample will not.

Outcome break-outs by residence type: The tables also break out average results for each state by the type of residence: institution, community-based group residence, independent home/apartment, and parent/relative's home. Significance testing was not performed on the break-outs by residence and the number of people in each residential setting is often too small to allow for valid state-to-state comparisons. For the same reason, **in many cases statistically valid conclusions cannot be drawn about differences between residence types**. This information is provided for states' internal purposes and should not be used to compare one state with another. If a state had fewer than 20 people in a residence type with valid responses to a given indicator, the outcome break-out by that residence type for that particular indicator is not reported.

Table 28 below presents, by state, the number of people surveyed in each residence type. The 'ICF/IID or Other Institutional Setting' category encompasses ICF/IID residences with 4-6 people with disabilities, ICF/IID residences with 7-15 people with disabilities, ICF/IID residences with 16+ people with disabilities, and nursing facilities or other specialized institutional facilities[2]. The residential settings included in the 'Community-Based Group Residential Setting' category ("Community-based Group Residential Settings") are: group living settings with 2-3 people with disabilities; group living settings with 4-6 people with disabilities; and group living settings with 7-15 people with disabilities. Table 28

[1] See Appendix A for how questions are collapsed and Appendix D for un-collapsed data by state

[2] A miscoding of residential types for was identified by WI just prior to publication of this report. A portion of those in ICF categories should have been categorized in 'Group Residential Setting' in 2015-2016.

also shows the number of people in foster care/host home settings; however, this residence type is not included in the break-outs that follow.

Important Notes on Residential Settings

"Foster care/Host home" is not included in the outcomes residence breakouts. This break-out shown below since a notable number of individuals live in these residential settings in some states.

Due to the unique design of residential services in IN and the minor differences between the NCI descriptions of group home settings and independent home/apt settings, it is possible that the survey responses from IN categorized as *living in group home settings* may also be categorized as *independent home/apartment*. NCI will work with IN and other states to ensure that categorization is consistent in future years.

A miscoding of residential types for was identified by WI just prior to publication of this report. A portion of those in ICF categories should have been categorized as Group Residential Settings in 2015-2016.

Table 28. Number of people in each type of residence

State	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home	Foster Care or Host Home*
AL	0	208	49	142	*0*
AR	103	36	133	99	*12*
AZ	6	93	46	291	*34*
CO	0	70	71	115	*109*
CT	21	134	56	123	*11*
DC	37	127	22	88	*8*
DE	0	346	30	9	*48*
FL	0	409	270	751	*5*
GA	1	90	95	241	*44*
HI	0	45	19	227	*108*
IL	0	206	33	157	*3*
IN	0	20**	175	601	*29*
KS	0	165	100	103	*7*
KY	10	151	53	184	*57*
LA	129	0	108	201	*1*
MA	3	232	55	92	*35*
ME	9	191	57	67	*52*
MI	4	170	85	148	*18*
MN	30	179	51	130	*28*
MO	1	109	228	43	*18*
MS	0	110	94	173	*0*
NC	141	190	69	357	*58*
NV	0	203	131	54	*10*
NY	52	220	64	145	*14*
OH	90	87	140	285	*10*
OK	0	182	81	114	*20*
PA	51	171	83	301	*9*
RI	1	149	67	139	*14*
SD	12	156	142	52	*3*
TN	4	142	165	109	*21*
UT	25	148	52	155	*23*
VA	64	380	41	252	*73*
VT	0	21	49	80	*175*
WA	0	31	147	179	*34*
WI	38***	50	124	152	*1*
WY	1	116	99	77	*20*
Total	**833**	**5337**	**3284**	**6436**	*1,112*

*"Foster care/Host home" is not included in the outcomes residence breakouts

**Due to the unique design of residential services in IN and the minor differences between the NCI descriptions of group home settings and independent home/apt settings, it is possible that the survey responses from IN categorized as living in group home settings may also be categorized as independent home/apartment. NCI will work with IN and other states to ensure that categorization is consistent in future years.

***A miscoding of residential types for was identified by WI just prior to publication of this report. A portion of those in ICF categories should have been categorized as Group Residential Settings in 2015-2016.

How to Read the Tables in This Report

The "Overall in State" column represents the results for ALL cases from each state. There are no cases excluded from the analysis in this column (except those that had missing data or answered "don't know"). For the tables in the "Choice and Decision Making" section, the percentages in this column are risk-adjusted.

("yes" responses only) Indicates the response that the percentages reflect. For example, 98% of people from, AZ said "yes "to this question.

For the states below this line, statistical testing has indicated that their averages are statistically significantly ABOVE the NCI Average.

Table 79. Like their home ("yes" responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID or Other Instit. Setting	Comm.-Based Group Res. Setting	Own Home	Parent's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	98%	420	n/a	96%	91%	99%
IN	97%	587	n/a	n/a	93%	99%
HI	94%	200	n/a	89%	n/a	95%
TN	93%	336	n/a	92%		
Within Average Range						
OK	93%	212	n/a	96%		
AR	93%	223	79%	88%		
	92%	293	87%	n/a		
	92%	288	n/a	n/a		
	91%	872	n/a	82%		
	91%	260	n/a	87%		
	91%	508	91%	87%		
	91%	350	n/a	92%		
	91%	243	n/a	90%		
	90%	448	89%	85%		
	90%	311	n/a	85%		
	90%	247	n/a	84%		
	90%	447	83%	84%		
	89%	289	n/a	88%		
	89%	287	n/a	86%		
	89%	301	n/a	81%		
	89%	292	76%	77%		
	89%	334	n/a	81%		
MN	89%	253	n/a	88%		
DC	88%	195	n/a	90%		
MS	87%	315	n/a	82%	84%	96%
OH	87%	403	78%	84%	83%	91%
NY	86%	323	n/a	79%	81%	97%
CO	86%	308	n/a	74%	81%	93%
WA	86%	267	n/a	n/a	80%	93%
KS	86%	273	n/a	77%	87%	100%
MA	86%	314	n/a	83%	78%	92%
WY	86%	236	n/a	86%	84%	85%
MO	85%	274	n/a	82%	84%	100%
DE	83%	278	n/a	81%	86%	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
ME	83%	287	n/a	74%	92%	89%
NV	80%	349	n/a	74%	84%	93%
NCI Average	**89%**	**11,823**	**83%**	**84%**	**87%**	**96%**

The "N" column represents the number of cases with valid responses to this question. States' Ns vary by question because not all respondents responded to every question

These are the "residence type break-outs" The numbers in each column represent the percentage of people in the indicated residence type who, in this example, reported liking their home. If you look at the top row, 96% of people in group living settings in AZ reported that they like their home. The number if people in institutional settings was too small to do this analysis, so it is marked n/a.

For information on what type of residence is included in each break out group, see the section titled "Core Indicator Outcomes and Comparisons Across States" in this report .

For the states below this line, statistical testing has indicated that their averages are statistically significantly BELOW the NCI Average.

This number is the NCI Average. It represents the averages of the state averages, not the average of all cases in the dataset.

Choice and Decision-Making

People make choices about their lives and are actively engaged in planning their services and supports.

The Choice and Decision-Making indicator is:

1. The proportion of people who make choices about their everyday lives, including: housing, roommates, daily routines, jobs, support staff or providers, social activities, and what to spend money on

The Adult Consumer Survey includes nine choice items that correspond to the Choice indicator; all but one item, "Chose Case Manager" (

Table 39), were **risk-adjusted**.[3] Variables used as risk adjusters are: level of mobility, support needed for behavior problems, level of ID, and age. These are all found in the background information section. **WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment.** To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Two Choice composite scale scores were produced by adding and averaging Choice items. The Life Decisions scale (Table 37) refers to choice of: residence, roommates, work, day activity, and staff. The Everyday Choices scale (Table 38) refers to choice of: daily schedule, how to spend money, and free time activities. Both scales were risk-adjusted.

Tables 29 through

[3] Outcome adjustment or "risk adjustment" is a statistical process used to control for differences in the individual characteristics of people who completed the NCI Survey across states. For a more robust description of risk adjustment see 'Outcome Adjustment' on page 220.

Table 39 present the results for these items.

All un-collapsed and unadjusted data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.

Table 29. Chose or had some input in choosing where they live *if not in the family home* (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) *

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
KS	74%	271	n/a	74%	73%	n/a
AZ	74%	160	n/a	71%	84%	n/a
GA	73%	199	n/a	67%	85%	n/a
IN	69%	197	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a
WA	68%	200	n/a	47%	74%	n/a
CO	66%	281	n/a	57%	70%	n/a
FL	63%	611	n/a	54%	85%	n/a
SD	63%	293	n/a	56%	77%	n/a
TN	62%	299	n/a	60%	62%	n/a
UT	62%	223	50%	65%	74%	n/a
WY	62%	234	n/a	56%	72%	n/a
VA	61%	522	49%	60%	82%	n/a
IL	60%	208	n/a	58%	79%	n/a
MN	59%	245	53%	52%	88%	n/a
LA	58%	209	46%	n/a	74%	n/a
RI	57%	294	n/a	57%	66%	n/a
AR	57%	267	37%	73%	61%	n/a
ME	57%	277	n/a	53%	76%	n/a
KY	56%	254	n/a	49%	80%	n/a
NC	55%	423	33%	52%	87%	n/a
MI	55%	259	n/a	46%	69%	n/a
OH	54%	306	45%	59%	57%	n/a
PA	54%	321	34%	47%	82%	n/a
MA	53%	290	n/a	48%	62%	n/a
NV	53%	320	n/a	49%	60%	n/a
DE	50%	307	n/a	48%	50%	n/a
AL	50%	233	n/a	45%	73%	n/a
MS	48%	196	n/a	44%	47%	n/a
CT	48%	191	n/a	44%	64%	n/a
VT	47%	236	n/a	25%	73%	n/a
NY	47%	314	24%	42%	96%	n/a
MO	46%	335	n/a	39%	49%	n/a
OK	45%	263	n/a	39%	60%	n/a
HI	41%	140	n/a	33%	n/a	n/a
DC	37%	255	26%	34%	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**57%**	**9,633**	**40%**	**51%**	**72%**	**n/a**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Table 30. Chose or had some input in choosing people they live with, or chose to live alone *if not in the family home* (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) *

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
AZ	71%	166	n/a	63%	88%	n/a
WA	68%	207	n/a	34%	80%	n/a
IN	67%	195	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a
KS	66%	256	n/a	59%	83%	n/a
GA	65%	195	n/a	49%	92%	n/a
CO	60%	276	n/a	37%	88%	n/a
LA	59%	206	41%	n/a	84%	n/a
TN	58%	296	n/a	54%	60%	n/a
FL	57%	611	n/a	43%	86%	n/a
UT	56%	226	74%	47%	84%	n/a
WY	52%	209	n/a	40%	68%	n/a
AR	51%	262	31%	24%	69%	n/a
MS	48%	197	n/a	38%	57%	n/a
OH	48%	313	31%	39%	65%	n/a
SD	47%	277	n/a	29%	74%	n/a
RI	47%	288	n/a	39%	66%	n/a
OK	47%	263	n/a	37%	69%	n/a
PA	45%	305	30%	33%	84%	n/a
IL	44%	213	n/a	41%	n/a	n/a
ME	43%	282	n/a	29%	73%	n/a
MO	43%	329	n/a	27%	50%	n/a
CT	42%	179	n/a	31%	80%	n/a
AL	40%	234	n/a	30%	88%	n/a
NV	39%	327	n/a	26%	59%	n/a
MN	38%	244	43%	25%	85%	n/a
MI	38%	251	n/a	28%	58%	n/a
NC	36%	419	29%	18%	93%	n/a
MA	35%	283	n/a	27%	68%	n/a
DE	35%	302	n/a	30%	68%	n/a
VA	35%	515	25%	26%	70%	n/a
NY	34%	313	27%	21%	96%	n/a
VT	34%	233	n/a	n/a	77%	n/a
KY	31%	249	n/a	19%	59%	n/a
DC	29%	250	8%	28%	n/a	n/a
HI	27%	116	n/a	31%	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**47%**	**9,487**	**34%**	**34%**	**75%**	**n/a**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Table 31. Chose or had some input in choosing where they work (among those with a job in the community; adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) ˇ *

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
KS	100%	45	n/a	n/a	100%	n/a
FL	100%	126	n/a	n/a	100%	100%
MO	97%	36	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a
TN	96%	46	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	96%	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
PA	94%	68	n/a	n/a	100%	92%
WA	93%	158	n/a	n/a	98%	90%
GA	93%	71	n/a	n/a	92%	93%
KY	92%	25	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	92%	134	n/a	n/a	93%	91%
UT	92%	64	n/a	93%	95%	n/a
SD	91%	114	n/a	84%	94%	n/a
OH	91%	100	n/a	n/a	90%	93%
LA	90%	49	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a
AL	90%	26	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	89%	29	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VA	88%	55	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a
AZ	87%	61	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%
ME	85%	71	n/a	82%	86%	n/a
MI	85%	43	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CO	85%	49	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	84%	58	n/a	84%	87%	n/a
VT	83%	129	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%
WY	83%	97	n/a	92%	n/a	76%
NC	83%	82	n/a	82%	n/a	80%
MN	81%	160	n/a	76%	94%	80%
MA	80%	95	n/a	82%	82%	75%
NY	80%	47	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	77%	66	n/a	n/a	76%	63%
CT	77%	116	n/a	73%	93%	76%
MS	75%	58	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a
DE	71%	51	n/a	71%	n/a	n/a
OK	67%	56	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**87%**	**2,406**	**n/a**	**81%**	**92%**	**84%**

ˇReported for those who were reported to have a paid community job from Background section

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Table 32. Chose or had some input in choosing where they go during the day (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) *

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
WA	85%	236	n/a	80%	96%	80%
GA	82%	381	n/a	79%	96%	80%
FL	80%	999	n/a	75%	92%	81%
KS	80%	328	n/a	79%	81%	79%
AZ	79%	276	n/a	75%	86%	80%
TN	74%	271	n/a	67%	78%	70%
SD	71%	274	n/a	67%	83%	74%
RI	71%	418	n/a	71%	89%	68%
VT	71%	125	n/a	n/a	79%	63%
AR	69%	254	71%	79%	64%	69%
MO	68%	247	n/a	58%	77%	67%
PA	68%	453	56%	62%	89%	68%
UT	68%	283	94%	67%	77%	59%
WY	66%	269	n/a	54%	80%	76%
HI	65%	365	n/a	58%	n/a	69%
VA	65%	577	62%	64%	79%	61%
NV	65%	301	n/a	63%	75%	53%
KY	64%	380	n/a	n/a	80%	76%
NC	64%	558	81%	55%	76%	54%
OH	64%	401	53%	73%	64%	65%
MI	64%	292	n/a	62%	66%	63%
MN	63%	275	61%	55%	93%	69%
LA	63%	231	55%	50%	78%	66%
CO	62%	301	n/a	54%	87%	55%
ME	62%	235	n/a	58%	73%	70%
IL	61%	321	n/a	60%	60%	63%
AL	61%	350	n/a	55%	85%	62%
IN	58%	527	n/a	n/a	66%	56%
CT	58%	263	n/a	58%	76%	58%
MA	56%	325	n/a	56%	58%	58%
NY	56%	397	48%	51%	94%	61%
DE	51%	238	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a
MS	50%	310	n/a	47%	55%	50%
OK	49%	152	n/a	37%	70%	51%
DC	45%	338	33%	51%	n/a	38%
NCI Average	**65%**	**11,951**	**61%**	**61%**	**78%**	**65%**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Table 33. Chose or were aware they could request to change the staff who help them at their home, job, or day program or activity (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) *

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
AL	97%	372	n/a	95%	100%	100%
TN	96%	425	n/a	97%	94%	97%
KS	91%	349	n/a	93%	90%	88%
ME	88%	370	n/a	89%	95%	89%
AZ	83%	370	n/a	81%	71%	86%
IN	83%	739	n/a	n/a	86%	82%
WA	81%	375	n/a	89%	91%	73%
LA	79%	383	73%	n/a	87%	79%
FL	79%	1,283	n/a	79%	90%	75%
UT	78%	381	82%	87%	85%	68%
CO	78%	361	n/a	78%	93%	78%
NV	77%	372	n/a	73%	85%	81%
AR	76%	370	63%	94%	91%	61%
GA	76%	433	n/a	76%	81%	77%
OK	73%	363	n/a	83%	86%	48%
MS	71%	370	n/a	65%	67%	76%
SD	70%	316	n/a	72%	71%	74%
OH	70%	446	57%	76%	78%	72%
MO	70%	383	n/a	56%	77%	73%
RI	70%	424	n/a	71%	75%	72%
NY	67%	422	66%	61%	91%	72%
VT	63%	261	n/a	n/a	86%	64%
HI	63%	363	n/a	67%	n/a	64%
NC	62%	693	42%	55%	77%	70%
IL	61%	360	n/a	55%	75%	68%
MN	60%	362	70%	49%	78%	68%
MA	59%	377	n/a	63%	70%	43%
MI	59%	349	n/a	54%	63%	68%
WY	59%	291	n/a	53%	68%	61%
CT	58%	295	53%	52%	68%	63%
VA	58%	760	57%	56%	68%	59%
PA	54%	549	58%	46%	73%	53%
DE	51%	353	n/a	52%	53%	n/a
DC	48%	363	55%	50%	46%	44%
KY	23%	419	n/a	19%	18%	30%
NCI Average	**69%**	**15,102**	**61%**	**68%**	**77%**	**70%**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Table 34. Choose or help decide their daily schedule (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) *

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
GA	96%	443	n/a	99%	98%	93%
WA	94%	392	n/a	93%	100%	91%
ME	92%	375	n/a	93%	100%	88%
FL	92%	1,396	n/a	89%	96%	93%
MO	91%	388	n/a	90%	93%	81%
UT	91%	382	89%	93%	100%	88%
KY	90%	441	n/a	91%	94%	88%
MA	90%	406	n/a	91%	96%	86%
AL	89%	379	n/a	87%	100%	90%
KS	89%	353	n/a	87%	92%	88%
MI	88%	432	n/a	88%	91%	85%
AZ	87%	468	n/a	78%	93%	90%
NV	87%	390	n/a	82%	95%	90%
CO	87%	405	n/a	80%	100%	85%
PA	86%	658	83%	87%	98%	84%
VT	84%	320	n/a	74%	92%	79%
RI	84%	442	n/a	83%	91%	81%
TN	84%	424	n/a	80%	86%	85%
MN	83%	402	82%	85%	96%	82%
AR	83%	382	73%	88%	93%	84%
WY	83%	309	n/a	79%	90%	85%
SD	83%	333	n/a	78%	96%	86%
IN	83%	810	n/a	n/a	96%	80%
OH	82%	538	80%	84%	89%	79%
VA	82%	800	82%	83%	85%	79%
IL	82%	375	n/a	79%	82%	84%
NC	81%	744	86%	74%	91%	78%
LA	81%	424	67%	n/a	91%	85%
CT	78%	319	74%	76%	96%	76%
OK	77%	398	n/a	68%	88%	86%
MS	77%	399	n/a	64%	85%	81%
NY	77%	478	64%	75%	100%	77%
DE	77%	364	n/a	78%	87%	n/a
HI	71%	379	n/a	58%	n/a	71%
DC	65%	383	46%	65%	67%	64%
NCI Average	**84%**	**16,331**	**75%**	**82%**	**93%**	**84%**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Table 35. Choose or help decide how to spend free time (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) *

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
GA	98%	445	n/a	100%	98%	98%
MO	96%	389	n/a	97%	97%	93%
TN	96%	432	n/a	96%	95%	98%
ME	96%	388	n/a	97%	100%	91%
AL	96%	379	n/a	95%	96%	97%
WA	96%	392	n/a	94%	100%	94%
UT	95%	382	98%	97%	100%	93%
FL	95%	1,405	n/a	93%	99%	95%
SD	95%	333	n/a	94%	100%	90%
CO	94%	404	n/a	89%	100%	96%
PA	94%	668	96%	95%	97%	94%
LA	94%	406	95%	n/a	97%	92%
AZ	94%	468	n/a	89%	100%	95%
MI	94%	426	n/a	94%	95%	93%
IL	93%	375	n/a	94%	84%	94%
MA	93%	409	n/a	94%	95%	90%
VT	93%	319	n/a	89%	100%	92%
OK	93%	397	n/a	91%	93%	95%
VA	93%	799	95%	93%	100%	91%
MN	93%	406	95%	94%	100%	93%
NC	93%	743	95%	92%	97%	90%
KY	92%	434	n/a	93%	96%	92%
KS	92%	359	n/a	91%	94%	92%
RI	92%	446	n/a	92%	100%	90%
IN	91%	809	n/a	n/a	98%	91%
AR	91%	377	91%	97%	97%	87%
WY	91%	311	n/a	87%	95%	95%
NV	91%	389	n/a	89%	94%	95%
OH	90%	535	92%	93%	90%	88%
MS	88%	394	n/a	89%	92%	88%
CT	88%	320	76%	90%	95%	86%
DE	87%	356	n/a	87%	91%	n/a
NY	84%	481	77%	84%	100%	81%
HI	83%	368	n/a	76%	n/a	87%
DC	77%	375	76%	80%	69%	75%
NCI Average	**92%**	**16,319**	**90%**	**92%**	**96%**	**91%**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Table 36. Choose or help decide what to buy with their money (adjusted variable, proxy responses allowed) *

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
GA	96%	440	n/a	96%	98%	94%
ME	94%	379	n/a	93%	100%	94%
KS	93%	344	n/a	93%	95%	90%
NC	92%	737	97%	93%	95%	88%
AZ	92%	463	n/a	90%	96%	91%
MO	91%	390	n/a	89%	95%	84%
KY	91%	441	n/a	90%	100%	89%
AL	91%	377	n/a	90%	96%	91%
AR	90%	372	90%	100%	93%	84%
RI	90%	446	n/a	92%	100%	87%
SD	90%	335	n/a	89%	98%	81%
VA	89%	799	86%	91%	95%	85%
LA	89%	415	89%	n/a	91%	87%
WA	88%	390	n/a	88%	99%	86%
PA	88%	657	85%	92%	91%	88%
UT	88%	385	97%	90%	95%	84%
IL	88%	373	n/a	88%	100%	88%
MI	88%	426	n/a	87%	90%	86%
MS	88%	395	n/a	96%	92%	84%
MA	88%	404	n/a	90%	94%	82%
MN	88%	402	88%	87%	100%	86%
FL	87%	1,398	n/a	90%	92%	85%
WY	87%	311	n/a	86%	84%	87%
NV	87%	386	n/a	85%	90%	87%
CO	87%	398	n/a	88%	100%	81%
HI	87%	332	n/a	84%	n/a	89%
OH	87%	532	89%	88%	92%	83%
OK	86%	394	n/a	85%	84%	89%
TN	85%	428	n/a	85%	88%	81%
IN	85%	806	n/a	n/a	93%	84%
CT	84%	322	n/a	88%	89%	80%
VT	83%	320	n/a	68%	81%	84%
DE	82%	358	n/a	82%	80%	n/a
NY	79%	484	79%	77%	95%	75%
DC	69%	372	76%	62%	73%	68%
NCI Average	**88%**	**16,211**	**88%**	**88%**	**93%**	**85%**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

Table 37. Life decisions scale (adjusted variable) *,**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
KS	84%	363	n/a	81%	86%	92%
TN	83%	434	n/a	76%	79%	95%
AZ	82%	428	n/a	75%	82%	84%
IN	80%	786	n/a	n/a	75%	82%
WA	79%	385	n/a	69%	85%	79%
GA	79%	445	n/a	69%	86%	81%
AL	78%	382	n/a	62%	87%	96%
FL	76%	1,367	n/a	65%	83%	79%
CO	75%	391	n/a	62%	84%	81%
LA	74%	408	58%	n/a	83%	80%
UT	72%	387	79%	72%	82%	70%
ME	72%	389	n/a	65%	81%	85%
RI	70%	457	n/a	67%	76%	78%
MS	69%	386	n/a	54%	63%	81%
SD	69%	339	n/a	60%	77%	80%
AR	68%	383	53%	72%	77%	67%
OH	68%	508	51%	65%	71%	76%
IL	66%	373	n/a	58%	80%	75%
WY	65%	314	n/a	58%	72%	68%
NV	65%	392	n/a	56%	73%	78%
NC	64%	726	51%	50%	83%	75%
HI	64%	383	n/a	56%	n/a	71%
MN	64%	388	61%	50%	80%	77%
MI	64%	397	n/a	51%	69%	78%
PA	62%	619	49%	51%	82%	66%
CT	61%	321	43%	51%	75%	71%
MO	61%	397	n/a	47%	65%	80%
NY	61%	462	47%	50%	87%	74%
VA	60%	789	50%	54%	76%	67%
MA	59%	407	n/a	55%	71%	57%
VT	58%	315	n/a	30%	80%	68%
OK	58%	375	n/a	56%	75%	53%
DE	52%	379	n/a	51%	58%	n/a
DC	49%	379	48%	46%	49%	52%
KY	47%	435	n/a	38%	57%	53%
NCI Average	**67%**	**16,089**	**54%**	**58%**	**76%**	**75%**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

**Includes choice of: residence, roommates, work, day activity, and staff

Table 38. Everyday choices scale (adjusted variable) *,**

State	Adjusted Average	N (observed)	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home or Apartment	Parent's or Relative's Home
GA	96%	449	n/a	100%	94%	95%
ME	93%	393	n/a	94%	95%	90%
MO	93%	397	n/a	92%	94%	87%
WA	92%	395	n/a	93%	96%	90%
UT	92%	389	100%	94%	93%	89%
AL	92%	383	n/a	91%	94%	92%
FL	91%	1,425	n/a	91%	93%	91%
KS	91%	367	n/a	90%	92%	90%
KY	91%	448	n/a	91%	93%	89%
AZ	91%	473	n/a	85%	92%	92%
MI	90%	432	n/a	90%	91%	88%
MA	90%	414	n/a	91%	91%	87%
PA	89%	679	89%	92%	91%	89%
CO	89%	405	n/a	84%	94%	87%
NC	89%	747	99%	86%	92%	84%
TN	88%	435	n/a	87%	89%	88%
AR	88%	386	84%	95%	93%	84%
SD	88%	340	n/a	87%	92%	86%
RI	88%	459	n/a	89%	92%	86%
VA	88%	812	88%	89%	91%	84%
LA	88%	424	83%	n/a	92%	88%
IL	88%	381	n/a	87%	87%	89%
NV	88%	396	n/a	85%	90%	90%
MN	87%	408	88%	89%	92%	86%
WY	87%	316	n/a	85%	88%	89%
VT	87%	324	n/a	79%	89%	86%
OH	86%	541	86%	89%	89%	84%
IN	86%	818	n/a	n/a	92%	85%
OK	85%	398	n/a	80%	89%	90%
MS	84%	400	n/a	83%	89%	83%
CT	83%	327	72%	85%	90%	81%
DE	82%	384	n/a	82%	87%	n/a
NY	80%	488	69%	78%	92%	79%
HI	77%	394	n/a	70%	n/a	81%
DC	69%	392	63%	69%	73%	70%
NCI Average	**88%**	**16,619**	**84%**	**87%**	**91%**	**87%**

*WI is not included in risk-adjusted results due to lack of availability of data used for risk-adjustment. To see WI's results for the choice variables, please refer to Appendix D.

**Includes choice of: daily schedule, how to spend money, and free time activities


Chose or Can Change Case Manager/Service Coordinator
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
10%
60%
30%
Person Chose
Did Not Choose, But Can Change
Someone Else Chose

Table 39. Chose or were aware they could request to change their case manager/service coordinator ('person chose' and 'did not choose, but can request to change' responses, proxy responses allowed)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AL	98%	380	n/a	96%	100%	100%
TN	97%	426	n/a	98%	95%	100%
NV	90%	374	n/a	86%	93%	98%
ME	90%	367	n/a	92%	93%	87%
KS	88%	356	n/a	91%	86%	92%
WI	87%	383	71%	84%	88%	88%
IN	86%	777	n/a	n/a	93%	84%
WA	86%	381	n/a	97%	85%	84%
AZ	86%	466	n/a	83%	80%	87%
OK	84%	388	n/a	84%	82%	83%
CO	83%	387	n/a	84%	93%	87%
AR	83%	366	63%	97%	91%	83%
NY	81%	459	77%	76%	98%	83%
SD	80%	324	n/a	78%	83%	85%
LA	78%	396	78%	n/a	78%	78%
OH	78%	513	51%	78%	84%	84%
VT	78%	308	n/a	50%	94%	83%
FL	76%	1,303	n/a	73%	91%	72%
Within Average Range						
MI	74%	414	n/a	70%	76%	77%
UT	73%	358	60%	79%	91%	64%
MS	73%	362	n/a	67%	72%	74%
NC	67%	602	29%	62%	80%	78%
MO	66%	368	n/a	51%	72%	71%
IL	65%	369	n/a	58%	72%	73%
GA	65%	426	n/a	66%	73%	63%
WY	63%	300	n/a	58%	77%	55%
Significantly Below Average						
RI	62%	424	n/a	59%	64%	63%
MN	62%	372	63%	57%	70%	66%
VA	60%	780	43%	56%	79%	66%
CT	52%	296	n/a	43%	66%	60%
PA	49%	626	37%	37%	61%	51%
MA	47%	386	n/a	48%	57%	39%
DC	38%	373	34%	37%	33%	40%
HI	30%	365	n/a	21%	n/a	37%
E	28%	305	n/a	26%	44%	n/a
KY	23%	430	n/a	20%	17%	27%
NCI Average	**70%**	**15,910**	**55%**	**66%**	**77%**	**73%**

Work

People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment.

There are 11 Work indicators measured by the Adult Consumer Survey:

1. The percentage of people with a paid job in the community
2. The average number of hours worked biweekly by people with jobs in the community
3. The average biweekly earnings of people with paid jobs in the community
4. The average biweekly hourly earnings of people with a paid job in the community
5. Of those with a paid job in the community, the percentage of people who were continuously employed throughout the previous year
6. Of those with a paid job in the community, the average length of time they have been working at their current job
7. Of those with a paid job in the community, the percentage of people who receive vacation and/or sick time benefits
8. The percentage of people who have a goal of integrated employment in their service plan
9. The percentage of people who do not have a paid job in the community but would like to have one
10. The percentage of people who go to a day program or have some other daily activity
11. The percentage of people who do volunteer work

A paid community job, either individual or group, takes place in an integrated setting.

A paid individual job takes place in a local business alongside peers who do not have disabilities—that is, the job is part of the typical labor market (e.g., competitive employment). A paid group job in a Community-based Group Residential Settings is done in an integrated setting, as part of a group of not more than eight people with disabilities (e.g., enclave, work crew).

NCI reports on three types of community jobs: (1) individual job without publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person *does not receive* state or other funded supports; (2) individual job with publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person *receives* state or other funded supports; and (3) group-supported—a job that takes part in an integrated setting but is done with a group of individuals with disabilities (e.g., work crew). Group-supported jobs may or may not receive publicly funded supports.

Tables 30 through 59 present items from the Work domain. All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.


Has a Paid Community Job
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
19%
81%
Yes
No

Table 40. Has paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
CT	42%	319	n/a	26%	62%	53%
VT	42%	325	n/a	24%	35%	58%
MN	41%	402	31%	37%	67%	44%
WA	41%	402	n/a	19%	37%	49%
SD	38%	361	n/a	20%	60%	38%
WY	37%	293	n/a	25%	45%	43%
MA	30%	351	n/a	17%	50%	47%
RI **	26%	349	n/a	14%	33%	36%
Within Average Range						
ME	24%	374	n/a	18%	52%	29%
OH	24%	556	5%	11%	32%	31%
UT	19%	398	0%	19%	47%	14%
GA	18%	461	n/a	5%	33%	20%
MS	17%	370	n/a	20%	27%	11%
IN	17%	821	n/a	n/a	27%	15%
MI	17%	360	n/a	8%	30%	18%
OK	17%	400	n/a	21%	26%	4%
PA **	16%	549	5%	9%	39%	15%
DE	16%	401	n/a	16%	32%	n/a
TN	16%	402	n/a	17%	17%	10%
NV	15%	399	n/a	11%	23%	11%
LA	15%	427	11%	n/a	26%	12%
CO	15%	407	n/a	11%	28%	8%
WI	15%	383	3%	0%	15%	20%
AZ	15%	451	n/a	16%	22%	12%
KS	15%	368	n/a	8%	22%	16%
Significantly Below Average						
NC	13%	777	3%	14%	31%	13%
VA	11%	765	2%	14%	16%	7%
NY	10%	488	2%	8%	25%	12%
FL	9%	1,429	n/a	4%	19%	9%
IL	9%	352	n/a	7%	28%	9%
MO	9%	395	n/a	8%	10%	7%
KY	9%	419	n/a	13%	7%	10%
AL	9%	394	n/a	9%	9%	9%
DC **	7%	275	0%	9%	10%	9%
AR	7%	354	2%	0%	16%	4%
HI	5%	398	n/a	7%	n/a	6%
NCI Average	**19%**	**16,375**	**6%**	**14%**	**30%**	**20%**

±Item changed from previous years – community employment categories were described differently for 2015-16 (see Appendix A)
**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)



NCI reports on three types of community jobs: (1) individual job without publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person does not receive state or other funded supports; (2) individual job with publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person does receive state or other funded supports; and (3) group-supported—a job that takes part in an integrated setting but is done with a group of individuals with disabilities (e.g., work crew). Group-supported jobs may or may not receive publicly funded supports.

Table 41. Type of employment among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ± *

State	Individual Job With Publicly Funded Supports	Individual Job Without Publicly Funded Supports	Group Job With or Without Publicly Funded Supports	N
AL	48%	21%	21%	34
AR	37%	53%	19%	24
AZ	12%	15%	71%	67
CO	32%	25%	41%	61
CT	22%	8%	76%	135
DC	60%	13%	21%	20
DE	67%	10%	26%	65
FL	47%	47%	8%	135
GA	54%	24%	23%	83
HI	27%	33%	25%	20
IL	35%	41%	26%	33
IN	41%	53%	4%	140
KS	48%	40%	16%	54
KY	53%	38%	6%	39
LA	7%	20%	52%	65
MA	37%	29%	47%	106
ME	63%	30%	11%	91
MI	22%	35%	59%	61
MN	33%	50%	32%	166
MO	33%	58%	8%	37
MS	56%	39%	10%	64
NC	62%	28%	13%	99
NV	17%	22%	31%	61
NY	50%	34%	18%	51
OH	43%	25%	38%	131
OK	4%	9%	84%	67
PA	37%	38%	33%	89
RI	55%	18%	29%	91
SD	17%	47%	40%	136
TN	36%	38%	35%	64
UT	49%	25%	22%	77
VA	19%	13%	68%	82
VT	80%	20%	0%	137
WA	83%	8%	9%	164
WI	4%	2%	84%	57
WY	24%	62%	12%	108
NCI Average	**39%**	**30%**	**31%**	**2,914**

± Item changed from previous years – community employment categories were described differently for 2015-16 (see Appendix A)
*Percentages may not add up to 100% if type of employment was unknown; N represents the number of people who participated in at least one of these work types.



NCI reports on three types of community jobs: (1) individual job without publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person does not receive state or other funded supports; (2) individual job with publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person does receive state or other funded supports; and (3) group-supported—a job that takes part in an integrated setting but is done with a group of individuals with disabilities (e.g., work crew). Group-supported jobs may or may not receive publicly funded supports.

Table 42. Average number of biweekly hours by type of community employment among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ± ˇ

State	Individual Job With Publicly Funded Supports	Individual Job Without Publicly Funded Supports	Group Job With or Without Publicly Funded Supports
AZ	n/a	n/a	39.0
CT	28.6	n/a	28.0
DE	38.7	n/a	n/a
FL	32.0	31.4	n/a
GA	30.7	n/a	n/a
IN	25.7	31.8	n/a
KS	21.8	n/a	n/a
LA	n/a	n/a	34.2
MA	23.7	24.7	19.3
ME	13.6	n/a	n/a
MI	n/a	n/a	20.6
MN	27.6	37.3	28.0
MS	28.8	26.0	n/a
NC	26.4	17.9	n/a
NY	32.6	n/a	n/a
OH	31.0	33.7	32.5
OK	n/a	n/a	40.6
PA	22.0	28.7	n/a
RI	19.2	n/a	14.6
SD	20.2	28.2	22.6
UT	15.9	n/a	n/a
VA	n/a	n/a	39.2
VT	14.7	26.1	n/a
WA	24.7	n/a	n/a
WI	n/a	n/a	41.2
WY	n/a	27.8	n/a
NCI Average	**25.1**	**28.5**	**30.0**

± Item changed from previous years – community employment categories were described differently for 2015-16 (see Appendix A)
Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20) for each employment type: AL, AR, CO, DC, HI, IL, KY, MO, NV, TN



NCI reports on three types of community jobs: (1) individual job without publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person does not receive state or other funded supports; (2) individual job with publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person does receive state or other funded supports; and (3) group-supported—a job that takes part in an integrated setting but is done with a group of individuals with disabilities (e.g., work crew). Group-supported jobs may or may not receive publicly funded supports.

Table 43. Average biweekly gross wages by type of community employment among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ±

State	Individual Job With Publicly Funded Supports	Individual Job Without Publicly Funded Supports	Group Job With or Without Publicly Funded Supports
AZ	n/a	n/a	$185.02
CT	$267.83	n/a	$193.31
FL	$271.70	$229.30	n/a
GA	$305.87	n/a	n/a
IN	$174.41	$236.66	n/a
LA	n/a	n/a	$111.44
MA	$228.86	$228.77	$132.20
ME	$116.94	n/a	n/a
MI	n/a	n/a	$139.93
MN	$118.81	$317.57	$154.73
NC	$236.83	n/a	n/a
OH	$313.24	$312.41	$239.13
OK	n/a	n/a	$227.76
RI	$169.87	n/a	n/a
SD	$220.62	$275.70	$166.09
VA	n/a	n/a	$187.46
VT	$144.23	$256.13	n/a
WA	$258.97	n/a	n/a
WI	n/a	n/a	$440.84
WY	n/a	$263.54	n/a
NCI Average	**$217.55**	**$265.01**	**$197.99**

± Item changed from previous years – community employment categories were described differently for 2015-16 (see Appendix A)
Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20) for each employment type: AL, AR, CO, DC, DE, HI, IL, KS, KY, MO, MS, NV, NY, PA, TN, UT



NCI reports on three types of community jobs: (1) individual job without publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person does not receive state or other funded supports; (2) individual job with publicly funded supports—an individual job in which the person does receive state or other funded supports; and (3) group-supported—a job that takes part in an integrated setting but is done with a group of individuals with disabilities (e.g., work crew). Group-supported jobs may or may not receive publicly funded supports.

Table 44. Average biweekly hourly wage by type of community employment among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ±

State	Individual Job With Publicly Funded Supports	Individual Job Without Publicly Funded Supports	Group Job With or Without Publicly Funded Supports
AZ	n/a	n/a	$5.38
CT	$9.48	n/a	$9.23
FL	$8.53	$8.97	n/a
GA	$8.76	n/a	n/a
IN	$7.14	$7.57	n/a
LA	n/a	n/a	$3.82
MA	$9.64	$9.56	$8.17
ME	$9.60	n/a	n/a
MI	n/a	n/a	$7.60
MN	$5.05	$8.71	$6.18
NC	$8.52	n/a	n/a
OH	$10.63	$9.12	$7.73
OK	n/a	n/a	$5.70
RI	$9.32	n/a	n/a
SD	$9.99	$9.53	$6.93
VA	n/a	n/a	$5.93
VT	$9.88	$10.20	n/a
WA	$10.79	n/a	n/a
WI	n/a	n/a	$8.64
WY	n/a	$9.16	n/a
NCI Average	**$9.03**	**$9.10**	**$6.85**

± Item changed from previous years – community employment categories were described differently for 2015-16 (see Appendix A)
Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20) for each employment type: AL, AR, CO, DC, DE, HI, IL, KS, KY, MO, MS, NV, NY, PA, TN, UT


Average Length of Employment at Paid Community Job (in Months)
100
80
60
40
20
0
67.5

Table 45. Length of employment in current job among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Average Months	N
AL	41.4	28
AR	57.7	29
AZ	43.3	37
CO	76.5	44
CT	82.0	80
DE	46.7	33
FL	76.6	132
GA	72.0	71
IL	76.8	29
IN	111.8	133
KS	66.0	46
KY	65.3	50
LA	67.8	47
MA	76.7	92
ME	76.6	79
MI	56.1	46
MN	91.7	162
MO	84.5	33
MS	62.6	45
NC	68.4	72
NV	56.3	86
NY	79.9	47
OH	62.2	111
OK	64.1	67
PA	66.5	59
RI	54.0	79
SD	59.5	129
TN	57.8	50
UT	67.7	51
VA	70.8	61
VT	62.3	129
WA	72.0	150
WY	55.5	81
NCI Average	**67.5**	**2,388**

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): DC, HI, WI


Receives Paid Time Off at Paid Community Job
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
26%
74%
Yes
No

Table 46. Receives paid vacation and/or sick time at their job (among those reported to have a job in the community; information comes from Background Information section) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
CT	57%	77	n/a	n/a	57%	56%
OH	40%	106	n/a	n/a	37%	40%
Within Average Range						
IL	44%	25	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NY	42%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MA	40%	90	n/a	33%	43%	45%
CO	37%	35	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	34%	156	n/a	30%	47%	34%
WY	32%	78	n/a	n/a	50%	20%
NV	31%	75	n/a	35%	35%	n/a
KS	30%	44	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MO	29%	34	n/a	n/a	38%	n/a
AZ	29%	49	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%
IN	28%	123	n/a	n/a	38%	23%
KY	28%	43	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
PA	28%	43	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
FL	26%	125	n/a	n/a	31%	22%
DE	25%	40	n/a	21%	n/a	n/a
GA	25%	76	n/a	n/a	22%	25%
WA	24%	147	n/a	n/a	32%	21%
RI	24%	79	n/a	n/a	33%	21%
UT	20%	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SD	20%	126	n/a	10%	27%	n/a
TN	19%	42	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	17%	29	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VA	17%	47	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a
ME	16%	73	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a
OK	15%	65	n/a	14%	15%	n/a
MI	15%	46	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NC	15%	80	n/a	4%	n/a	19%
AR	12%	25	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
VT	11%	125	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%
MS	11%	47	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
LA	9%	44	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**26%**	**2,282**	**n/a**	**20%**	**36%**	**27%**

± Item changed from previous years – wording changed for 2015-16 (see Appendix A)

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): DC, HI, WI


Job Industry
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
20%
30%
1%
18%
4%
0%
0%
8%
2%
0%
16%
Food Industry
Building and Grounds
Personal Care Provider
Retail Job
Office Support
Farming, Fishing, Forestry
Construction or Repair
Assembly, Manufacturing, or Packaging
Materials Handling, Mail Distribution
Professional or Technical Job
Other

Table 47. Four most common job types among those reported to have a paid job in the community (information comes from Background Information section) ±

State	Food Preparation and Food Service	Building and Grounds Cleaning or Maintenance	Retail Job	Assembly, Manufacturing, or Packaging	N
AL	12%	42%	12%	12%	33
AR	38%	31%	0%	0%	29
AZ	14%	29%	14%	8%	65
CO	17%	30%	19%	13%	47
CT	10%	29%	15%	16%	98
DE	21%	43%	6%	8%	53
FL	20%	21%	30%	4%	140
GA	20%	33%	29%	4%	83
HI	35%	40%	15%	5%	20
IL	21%	12%	21%	18%	33
IN	28%	19%	28%	8%	137
KS	29%	31%	14%	4%	51
KY	25%	20%	32%	7%	56
LA	21%	40%	13%	4%	52
MA	18%	27%	19%	13%	99
ME	21%	28%	25%	5%	87
MI	22%	33%	10%	12%	51
MN	13%	25%	12%	30%	163
MO	11%	34%	18%	3%	38
MS	36%	36%	12%	0%	58
NC	21%	23%	20%	2%	94
NV	7%	47%	11%	12%	90
NY	16%	22%	26%	12%	50
OH	16%	31%	14%	12%	130
OK	3%	46%	15%	7%	67
PA	28%	14%	20%	9%	74
RI	22%	29%	8%	4%	85
SD	24%	36%	10%	8%	135
TN	23%	42%	11%	4%	53
UT	23%	35%	9%	14%	57
VA	15%	32%	18%	10%	68
VT	11%	27%	27%	8%	131
WA	20%	29%	19%	6%	163
WI	14%	27%	19%	5%	63
WY	22%	24%	25%	3%	92
NCI Average	**20%**	**30%**	**17%**	**8%**	**2,745**

± Item changed from previous years – community employment categories were described differently for 2015-16 (see Appendix A)
Note: DC excluded due to low N (<20)


Would Like a Job
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
47%
8%
45%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 48. Would like a job in the community (among those reported not to have a paid community job from Background section; 'yes' responses only) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DE	73%	165	n/a	71%	n/a	n/a
DC	66%	80	n/a	61%	n/a	78%
ME	61%	150	n/a	72%	n/a	54%
NC	59%	250	58%	65%	45%	57%
Within Average Range						
OK	60%	101	n/a	68%	n/a	58%
AR	59%	108	n/a	n/a	64%	50%
UT	56%	125	n/a	59%	52%	57%
HI	54%	122	n/a	n/a	n/a	56%
AL	53%	278	n/a	56%	56%	50%
WY	53%	100	n/a	66%	36%	n/a
VA	52%	293	33%	55%	48%	53%
VT	52%	140	n/a	n/a	48%	83%
MN	52%	79	n/a	53%	n/a	60%
SD	51%	109	n/a	61%	34%	n/a
IL	50%	150	n/a	58%	n/a	39%
MS	50%	161	n/a	53%	47%	49%
GA	49%	194	n/a	47%	41%	54%
WA	48%	117	n/a	n/a	39%	61%
RI	48%	117	n/a	47%	33%	65%
NV	46%	241	n/a	43%	51%	43%
MA	45%	134	n/a	43%	n/a	47%
OH	42%	177	35%	38%	55%	34%
MI	42%	146	n/a	37%	41%	43%
WI	41%	141	n/a	n/a	39%	36%
IN	40%	389	n/a	n/a	47%	38%
LA	40%	142	55%	n/a	55%	26%
CO	40%	145	n/a	n/a	48%	40%
KS	39%	159	n/a	36%	44%	36%
CT	38%	74	n/a	26%	n/a	45%
NY	38%	208	n/a	34%	41%	43%
Significantly Below Average						
FL	41%	655	n/a	45%	43%	37%
TN	36%	222	n/a	43%	44%	22%
MO	36%	188	n/a	33%	35%	n/a
PA	35%	215	n/a	35%	23%	43%
KY	29%	206	n/a	37%	33%	21%
AZ	7%	269	n/a	6%	11%	6%
NCI Average	**47%**	**6,550**	**45%**	**48%**	**43%**	**46%**

± Item changed from previous years – community employment categories were described differently for 2015-16 (see Appendix A)


Has Employment as a Goal in Service Plan
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
30%
70%
Yes
No

Table 49. Have community employment as a goal in their service plan (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
RI	69%	340	n/a	63%	55%	80%
WA	59%	398	n/a	55%	55%	64%
VT	50%	325	n/a	33%	50%	66%
DC	48%	183	11%	51%	n/a	61%
MN	46%	380	31%	43%	64%	52%
MS	44%	374	n/a	56%	51%	32%
NV	44%	384	n/a	36%	56%	44%
OH	39%	591	22%	31%	44%	42%
DE	37%	380	n/a	37%	69%	n/a
Within Average Range						
WY	36%	304	n/a	33%	35%	40%
ME	34%	377	n/a	31%	43%	42%
OK	33%	400	n/a	35%	40%	25%
SD	31%	350	n/a	23%	39%	40%
CT	31%	332	n/a	17%	48%	44%
CO	30%	398	n/a	14%	51%	28%
TN	29%	417	n/a	29%	35%	23%
AL	28%	384	n/a	25%	48%	25%
MA	27%	403	n/a	17%	38%	51%
UT	27%	387	15%	27%	40%	24%
MI	26%	417	n/a	21%	31%	27%
KS	25%	369	n/a	19%	37%	26%
Significantly Below Average						
PA	24%	604	6%	16%	43%	27%
LA	23%	399	30%	n/a	29%	16%
VA	23%	763	4%	28%	26%	19%
KY	22%	437	n/a	19%	29%	21%
IN	21%	796	n/a	n/a	32%	19%
NY	21%	476	8%	15%	37%	27%
GA	20%	461	n/a	10%	31%	21%
AZ	20%	441	n/a	15%	24%	19%
WI	19%	376	0%	7%	20%	25%
NC	19%	797	3%	23%	37%	19%
AR	19%	364	13%	11%	33%	9%
FL	19%	1,408	n/a	12%	33%	17%
IL	17%	386	n/a	14%	38%	16%
HI	16%	392	n/a	14%	n/a	19%
MO	15%	393	n/a	11%	19%	7%
NCI Average	**30%**	**16,386**	**13%**	**26%**	**40%**	**32%**


Takes Classes, Training, or Does Something to Help Get a Job or a Better Job
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
24%
76%
Yes
No

Table 50. Takes classes, training, or does something to help get a job or a better job º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
IL	47%	233	n/a	54%	33%	43%
GA	40%	284	n/a	42%	37%	43%
AL	38%	331	n/a	40%	35%	37%
AR	34%	215	50%	38%	36%	27%
DC	34%	190	n/a	28%	n/a	44%
FL	29%	827	n/a	31%	22%	32%
Within Average Range						
HI	32%	189	n/a	48%	n/a	32%
UT	32%	230	n/a	32%	29%	29%
MI	31%	275	n/a	29%	26%	38%
MA	29%	296	n/a	27%	12%	45%
SD	28%	273	n/a	34%	17%	41%
NC	27%	423	21%	31%	19%	30%
MS	26%	286	n/a	33%	17%	29%
ME	25%	278	n/a	24%	18%	28%
RI	24%	341	n/a	25%	19%	26%
CT	23%	227	n/a	20%	27%	24%
OH	22%	384	23%	19%	15%	29%
MN	22%	248	n/a	21%	17%	26%
NV	22%	338	n/a	25%	17%	23%
OK	22%	195	n/a	22%	14%	25%
LA	21%	281	22%	n/a	14%	25%
IN	21%	558	n/a	n/a	14%	24%
DE	21%	243	n/a	22%	12%	n/a
CO	20%	295	n/a	11%	16%	19%
WI	18%	292	20%	9%	15%	21%
WY	18%	234	n/a	17%	14%	24%
VT	17%	267	n/a	n/a	15%	26%
Significantly Below Average						
VA	18%	462	3%	20%	18%	20%
TN	17%	330	n/a	20%	16%	13%
NY	17%	296	n/a	11%	18%	20%
KS	14%	240	n/a	10%	14%	16%
PA	14%	419	0%	12%	11%	18%
WA	12%	262	n/a	n/a	9%	13%
KY	12%	288	n/a	12%	2%	16%
AZ	12%	416	n/a	7%	17%	12%
MO	10%	247	n/a	5%	11%	n/a
NCI Average	**24%**	**11,193**	**20%**	**24%**	**18%**	**27%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Attends a Day Program or Workshop
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
57%
43%
Yes
No

Table 51. Attends a day program or workshop±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AL	93%	331	n/a	92%	86%	98%
IL	82%	258	n/a	97%	55%	73%
MS	77%	293	n/a	95%	54%	76%
DE	75%	274	n/a	75%	66%	n/a
KY	74%	299	n/a	94%	43%	67%
ME	73%	289	n/a	71%	58%	87%
HI	72%	199	n/a	89%	n/a	74%
KS	72%	266	n/a	89%	67%	48%
NV	70%	346	n/a	76%	58%	76%
RI	70%	344	n/a	70%	51%	80%
AR	70%	217	92%	88%	63%	62%
MA	70%	310	n/a	78%	43%	72%
GA	69%	311	n/a	80%	60%	67%
VA	69%	502	33%	77%	61%	67%
OH	65%	399	81%	71%	59%	62%
Within Average Range						
UT	63%	241	n/a	80%	45%	51%
NY	63%	309	n/a	80%	26%	59%
CO	63%	305	n/a	84%	32%	65%
SD	62%	279	n/a	85%	38%	68%
NC	61%	437	69%	86%	26%	44%
MI	56%	286	n/a	65%	41%	57%
PA	56%	429	52%	77%	29%	57%
IN	56%	578	n/a	n/a	49%	58%
AZ	54%	421	n/a	74%	43%	51%
CT	54%	228	n/a	71%	27%	54%
MN	51%	251	n/a	72%	23%	39%
WY	49%	237	n/a	73%	36%	35%
Within Average Range						
LA	49%	289	83%	n/a	24%	49%
MO	45%	273	n/a	55%	42%	59%
TN	45%	334	n/a	50%	31%	56%
FL	44%	852	n/a	64%	30%	41%
WI	42%	287	46%	65%	32%	42%
OK	32%	210	n/a	40%	20%	25%
VT	14%	274	n/a	n/a	8%	9%
WA	10%	266	n/a	n/a	8%	9%
DC	0%	195	n/a	0%	n/a	0%
NCI Average	**57%**	**11,619**	**65%**	**74%**	**42%**	**55%**

±Item changed from previous years – question rephrased (see Appendix A)


Volunteers
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
32%
68%
Yes
No

Table 52. Volunteers

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
HI	49%	196	n/a	57%	n/a	50%
MN	41%	249	n/a	43%	27%	47%
VA	40%	479	36%	43%	17%	33%
WI	40%	292	28%	19%	42%	42%
IN	38%	576	n/a	n/a	27%	41%
With Average Range						
DC	40%	190	n/a	38%	n/a	53%
SD	39%	282	n/a	45%	37%	33%
MA	39%	298	n/a	38%	35%	49%
ME	39%	286	n/a	33%	35%	54%
AL	38%	331	n/a	34%	40%	42%
CT	37%	239	n/a	34%	39%	40%
UT	37%	236	n/a	41%	24%	36%
AR	34%	218	23%	36%	39%	33%
NC	34%	425	13%	27%	33%	44%
CO	33%	299	n/a	31%	25%	36%
IL	33%	242	n/a	30%	39%	34%
RI	33%	347	n/a	31%	24%	44%
WY	32%	237	n/a	21%	34%	39%
LA	32%	284	29%	n/a	31%	34%
GA	30%	300	n/a	25%	23%	33%
DE	30%	250	n/a	26%	48%	n/a
MI	30%	275	n/a	21%	30%	36%
KS	29%	259	n/a	22%	31%	38%
WA	29%	265	n/a	n/a	28%	32%
NY	29%	309	n/a	31%	14%	38%
OH	28%	388	21%	30%	26%	29%
OK	28%	210	n/a	19%	28%	40%
PA	28%	429	24%	20%	15%	34%
MO	27%	267	n/a	28%	25%	23%
VT	27%	273	n/a	n/a	21%	25%
MS	26%	288	n/a	28%	25%	24%
Significantly Below Average						
NV	25%	342	n/a	31%	18%	23%
FL	23%	838	n/a	18%	20%	28%
TN	23%	332	n/a	29%	14%	24%
KY	15%	292	n/a	16%	5%	24%
AZ	8%	411	n/a	5%	7%	9%
NCI Average	**32%**	**11,434**	**25%**	**30%**	**27%**	**36%**

Self-Determination

People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services.

There are seven indicators measured by the Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who were reported to be using a self-directed supports option
2. Proportion of people self-directing who reported participating in decisions about using budget, hiring staff, or managing services
3. Proportion of people self-directing who reported they make decisions about how their budget for services is used
4. Proportion of people self-directing who reported they hire and manage their staff
5. Proportion of people self-directing who reported they have enough help deciding how to use their budget/services
6. Proportion of people self-directing who reported they receive information about money that's left in their budget
7. Proportion of people self-directing who report they get enough information about their budget/services and that the information is easy to understand

Tables 53 through 59 present the results for these seven core indicators.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.

.

IMPORTANT NOTE ON CHANGES TO THE SURVEY TOOL AND ANALYSIS. NCI broadened the description of self-directed supports from only including those participating in specific self-direction programs or waivers to include those who are using self-direction or participant direction for any part of their services. It is phrased as:

> *"Self-directed" or "participant-directed" supports options offer individuals (and their representatives, including family members) the opportunity to manage some or all of their services. They may hire and fire their own support workers and/or control how their budget is spent.*

Additionally, questions on self-direction were moved from Section I (where only the person receiving services may respond) to Section II to allow for proxy responses when applicable.


Uses a Self-Directed Supports Option
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
10%
90%
Yes
No

Table 53. Uses a self-directed supports option (information comes from Background Information section) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
WA	67%	406	n/a	16%	58%	89%
WI	33%	304	8%	12%	35%	44%
IL	32%	386	n/a	5%	44%	66%
KY	27%	450	n/a	1%	30%	57%
UT	23%	407	0%	0%	12%	55%
MI	22%	420	n/a	2%	40%	40%
KS	20%	378	n/a	2%	15%	54%
FL	20%	1,433	n/a	0%	14%	33%
HI	17%	401	n/a	2%	n/a	28%
VA	17%	747	6%	2%	27%	44%
Within Average Range						
PA	14%	611	4%	1%	10%	25%
VT	12%	326	n/a	0%	10%	39%
RI	10%	358	n/a	2%	13%	17%
CT	9%	339	n/a	1%	11%	21%
MN	7%	428	0%	0%	2%	21%
WY	7%	315	n/a	1%	4%	19%
Significantly Below Average						
SD	6%	354	n/a	0%	7%	22%
NY	4%	484	0%	3%	8%	7%
MO	4%	397	n/a	0%	2%	26%
TN	4%	425	n/a	0%	4%	10%
LA	3%	435	1%	n/a	1%	7%
GA	3%	468	n/a	0%	2%	5%
OK	3%	400	n/a	0%	0%	11%
OH	3%	574	1%	1%	3%	3%
NC	2%	800	2%	0%	9%	2%
MA	2%	416	n/a	0%	2%	7%
AL	0%	396	n/a	0%	0%	0%
AR	0%	363	0%	0%	0%	0%
AZ	0%	471	n/a	0%	0%	0%
CO	0%	411	n/a	0%	0%	0%
DC	0%	341	0%	0%	0%	0%
DE	0%	436	n/a	0%	0%	n/a
IN	0%	825	n/a	0%	0%	0%
ME	0%	386	n/a	0%	0%	0%
MS	0%	414	n/a	0%	0%	0%
NV	0%	400	n/a	0%	0%	0%
NCI Average	**10%**	**16,905**	**2%**	**2%**	**10%**	**21%**

±Item changed from previous years – question rephrased (see Appendix A)


People Who Decide How to Use Person's Budget for Services
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
10%
44%
41%
5%
Individual
Individual and Family or Friends
Family Member or Friend
Case Manager or Other State Employee

Table 54. People who decide how budget for services is used (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) º ˇ

State	Individual	Individual and Family or Friends	Family Member or Friend	Case Manager or Other State Employee	N
FL	12%	48%	40%	0%	231
HI	7%	14%	75%	4%	28
IL	4%	55%	38%	2%	47
KS	13%	50%	33%	3%	30
KY	15%	62%	23%	0%	26
MI	20%	43%	30%	0%	44
PA	5%	36%	45%	14%	22
RI	0%	43%	35%	22%	23
UT	5%	35%	60%	0%	60
WA	14%	44%	38%	4%	214
WI	14%	48%	34%	4%	77
NCI Average	**10%**	**44%**	**41%**	**5%**	**802**

ºNew variable to reporting

ˇReported for those who were reported to use a self-directed supports option from Background section

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): AL, AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, DE, GA, IN, LA, MA, ME, MN, MO, MS, NC, NV, NY, OH, OK, SD, TN, VA, VT, WY


Hires and Manages Staff
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
77%
8%
15%
Yes
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 55. Hires or manages staff (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) º ˇ

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
PA	95%	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
HI	93%	28	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%
UT	91%	57	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%
FL	85%	229	n/a	n/a	91%	84%
Within Average Range						
KY	83%	23	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%
WA	73%	204	n/a	n/a	54%	85%
MI	71%	42	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%
WI	67%	70	n/a	n/a	73%	67%
KS	67%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	64%	22	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	55%	44	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%
NCI Average	**77%**	**767**	**n/a**	**n/a**	**73%**	**80%**

ºNew variable to reporting

ˇReported for those who were reported to use a self-directed supports option from Background section

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): AL, AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, DE, GA, IN, LA, MA, ME, MN, MO, MS, NC, NV, NY, OH, OK, SD, TN, VA, VT, WY


Can Make Changes to Budget/Services If Needed
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
81%
14%
5%
Yes
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 56. Can make changes to individual budget/services if needed (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ˇ

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
FL	92%	229	n/a	n/a	91%	92%
WI	91%	77	n/a	n/a	85%	93%
Within Average Range						
UT	91%	56	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%
KY	88%	24	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%
WA	87%	200	n/a	n/a	91%	83%
KS	78%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI	74%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%
RI	74%	23	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI	71%	42	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%
IL	64%	45	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%
NCI Average	**81%**	**750**	**n/a**	**n/a**	**89%**	**81%**

ˇReported for those who were reported to use a self-directed supports option from Background section

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): AL, AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, DE, GA, IN, LA, MA, ME, MN, MO, MS, NC, NV, NY, OH, OK, PA, SD, TN, VA, VT, WY


Has Enough Help Deciding How to Use Budget/Services
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
90%
7%
3%
Yes
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 57. Has enough help deciding how to use their individual budget/services (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ˇ

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
FL	96%	231	n/a	n/a	94%	96%
Within Average Range						
HI	96%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%
PA	95%	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
WA	93%	208	n/a	n/a	97%	92%
WI	93%	74	n/a	n/a	92%	95%
UT	91%	58	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%
IL	87%	46	n/a	n/a	n/a	84%
RI	87%	23	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KY	84%	25	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
MI	83%	42	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
KS	79%	29	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**90%**	**784**	**n/a**	**n/a**	**94%**	**90%**

ˇReported for those who were reported to use a self-directed supports option from Background section

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): AL, AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, DE, GA, IN, LA, MA, ME, MN, MO, MS, NC, NV, NY, OH, OK, SD, TN, VA, VT, WY


Gets Information About How Much Money Is Left in Budget/Services
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
81%
6%
12%
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 58. Gets information about how much money is left in budget/services (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ˇ

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
UT	97%	59	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%
KY	96%	25	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
FL	94%	229	n/a	n/a	91%	95%
Within Average Range						
WI	88%	76	n/a	n/a	88%	88%
PA	86%	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%
KS	79%	28	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	78%	23	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI	74%	39	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%
IL	74%	46	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%
HI	58%	26	n/a	n/a	n/a	61%
Significantly Below Average						
WA	68%	182	n/a	n/a	75%	64%
NCI Average	**81%**	**754**	**n/a**	**n/a**	**85%**	**81%**

ˇReported for those who were reported to use a self-directed supports option from Background section

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): AL, AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, DE, GA, IN, LA, MA, ME, MN, MO, MS, NC, NV, NY, OH, OK, SD, TN, VA, VT, WY


Information About Budget/Services Is Easy to Understand
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
76%
17%
8%
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 59. Information about budget/services is easy to understand (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed) ˇ

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
KY	92%	24	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%
FL	82%	215	n/a	n/a	66%	85%
KS	77%	22	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	75%	68	n/a	n/a	55%	87%
UT	75%	59	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
IL	70%	37	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%
MI	67%	30	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%
WA	67%	153	n/a	n/a	86%	58%
NCI Average	**76%**	**608**	**n/a**	**n/a**	**69%**	**76%**

ˇReported for those who were reported to use a self-directed supports option from Background section

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): AL, AR, AZ, CO, CT, DC, DE, GA, IN, LA, MA, ME, MN, MO, MS, NC, NV, NY, OH, OK, PA, SD, TN, VA, VT, WY

Community Inclusion, Participation, and Leisure

People have support to participate in everyday community activities.

There are four Community Inclusion indicators:

1. The proportion of people who regularly participate in integrated activities in their communities (seven items within this indicator):
    a. Went shopping (in the past month)
    b. Went out on errands or appointments (in the past month)
    c. Went out for entertainment (in the past month)
    d. Went out to eat (in the past month)
    e. Went to religious services (in the past month)
    f. Took part in community groups or participated in other activities in the community (in the past month)
    g. Went on vacation (in the past year)
2. Proportion of people who report being able to go out and do the things they like to do
3. Proportion of people who report being able to go out and do the things they like to do enough
4. Proportion of people who report they have enough things to do at home

These items are depicted in Tables 60 through 70.

Results from the Community Inclusion composite scale score, shown in Table 67, were produced by adding four of the items: the number of times the person went shopping, on errands, for entertainment, and out to eat.

All un-collapsed data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.

IMPORTANT NOTE ON CHANGES TO THE SURVEY TOOL AND ANALYSIS. Response options to questions around the frequency of participation in community activities changed from being continuous (number of times person did a certain activity) to being categorical (person did a particular activity 0 times, 1-2 times, etc). Consequently, the way that the individual community inclusion items are being reported in the tables has changed from the average number of times people performed the activity to the proportion of people who performed the activity at least once (the charts demonstrate the NCI Average for all response options). The calculation of the Community Inclusion scale also changed—from a simple sum of the number of times the activities were performed to an average of the proportions who performed the activities at least once. The calculation of the Community Inclusion scale is now similar to the calculation of choice and decision-making scales.


Number of Times Out Shopping in the Past Month
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
9%
31%
32%
28%
0 times
1-2 Times
3-4 Times
More Than 5 Times

Table 60. Went out shopping at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
WY	97%	306	n/a	96%	99%	95%
GA	95%	441	n/a	98%	97%	93%
ME	95%	391	n/a	94%	100%	98%
NV	94%	382	n/a	92%	98%	92%
AZ	94%	469	n/a	89%	93%	95%
Within Average Range						
KY	94%	445	n/a	92%	96%	96%
TN	94%	429	n/a	94%	95%	90%
MO	93%	394	n/a	93%	94%	85%
SD	93%	326	n/a	91%	98%	87%
DC	92%	382	89%	93%	95%	89%
MN	92%	401	88%	93%	98%	90%
PA	92%	660	71%	94%	94%	93%
KS	92%	362	n/a	89%	97%	92%
RI	92%	450	n/a	89%	95%	92%
VT	92%	319	n/a	70%	92%	99%
MS	91%	394	n/a	98%	95%	86%
IN	91%	812	n/a	n/a	96%	90%
IL	91%	377	n/a	90%	100%	90%
MI	91%	429	n/a	85%	94%	95%
CO	90%	403	n/a	74%	100%	94%
NC	90%	733	78%	91%	100%	93%
CT	90%	322	86%	90%	94%	89%
FL	90%	1,416	n/a	89%	97%	87%
VA	90%	804	62%	93%	95%	88%
OK	90%	397	n/a	89%	96%	85%
OH	89%	532	76%	91%	97%	89%
NY	89%	483	90%	87%	97%	89%
WA	89%	394	n/a	90%	93%	90%
AL	89%	380	n/a	85%	96%	92%
HI	88%	380	n/a	74%	n/a	92%
UT	88%	380	44%	91%	98%	88%
MA	88%	406	n/a	87%	94%	90%
DE	86%	354	n/a	84%	96%	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
LA	84%	421	65%	n/a	96%	89%
AR	84%	376	57%	97%	96%	84%
WI	83%	405	68%	88%	84%	85%
NCI Average	**91%**	**16,755**	**73%**	**89%**	**96%**	**91%**

±Item changed from previous years – response options changed (see Appendix A)


Number of Times Out on Errands in the Past Month
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
12%
47%
24%
17%
0 times
1-2 Times
3-4 Times
More Than 5 Times

Table 61. Went out on errands at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DE	94%	329	n/a	93%	100%	n/a
OK	94%	398	n/a	93%	96%	91%
SD	94%	312	n/a	96%	95%	89%
CO	94%	401	n/a	96%	100%	91%
VT	94%	308	n/a	n/a	94%	90%
TN	93%	429	n/a	97%	93%	89%
RI	93%	441	n/a	99%	91%	91%
AZ	93%	467	n/a	87%	98%	94%
Within Average Range						
CT	92%	317	80%	94%	98%	91%
WA	92%	393	n/a	87%	96%	92%
KY	92%	447	n/a	91%	98%	94%
MA	91%	401	n/a	93%	96%	83%
WY	91%	299	n/a	88%	90%	94%
MN	91%	398	88%	94%	93%	89%
PA	91%	649	72%	96%	95%	90%
ME	91%	383	n/a	92%	94%	87%
IL	90%	378	n/a	93%	91%	85%
AL	89%	374	n/a	86%	96%	91%
NY	89%	484	87%	91%	92%	86%
WI	88%	401	85%	81%	93%	87%
NV	88%	371	n/a	87%	91%	87%
MI	88%	427	n/a	85%	92%	91%
MO	88%	392	n/a	83%	90%	77%
IN	87%	803	n/a	n/a	88%	87%
DC	86%	367	82%	84%	86%	86%
MS	85%	395	n/a	88%	92%	80%
GA	85%	420	n/a	89%	89%	82%
LA	85%	424	68%	n/a	92%	91%
OH	84%	522	65%	82%	89%	89%
AR	84%	381	76%	94%	92%	76%
Significantly Below Average						
VA	85%	804	54%	88%	78%	86%
NC	85%	725	69%	87%	89%	89%
FL	84%	1,411	n/a	84%	90%	82%
KS	83%	360	n/a	84%	81%	82%
UT	81%	376	29%	84%	88%	85%
HI	75%	323	n/a	72%	n/a	77%
NCI Average	**88%**	**16,510**	**71%**	**89%**	**92%**	**87%**

±Item changed from previous years – response options changed (see Appendix A)


Number of Times Out for Entertainment in the Past Month
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
23%
37%
22%
18%
0 times
1-2 Times
3-4 Times
More Than 5 Times

Table 62. Went out for entertainment at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DC	89%	385	100%	84%	81%	90%
AZ	87%	470	n/a	76%	89%	91%
KY	86%	443	n/a	86%	91%	86%
CT	86%	321	90%	87%	82%	85%
TN	85%	433	n/a	88%	88%	75%
NV	85%	379	n/a	89%	80%	81%
RI	83%	443	n/a	90%	73%	89%
MN	83%	403	82%	86%	72%	87%
GA	83%	430	n/a	80%	85%	83%
Within Average Range						
IL	82%	369	n/a	82%	88%	81%
OK	82%	394	n/a	78%	89%	82%
UT	80%	381	80%	83%	78%	78%
OH	79%	532	73%	86%	76%	83%
WY	79%	308	n/a	74%	78%	88%
SD	78%	319	n/a	80%	78%	79%
FL	78%	1,411	n/a	88%	74%	74%
VA	78%	797	49%	85%	78%	72%
MA	77%	402	n/a	79%	75%	76%
AR	77%	379	71%	86%	78%	75%
MI	77%	430	n/a	71%	80%	81%
CO	76%	404	n/a	74%	77%	75%
NC	76%	736	74%	78%	67%	77%
MO	76%	394	n/a	76%	78%	59%
WA	76%	397	n/a	68%	83%	77%
WI	75%	404	76%	79%	70%	79%
KS	75%	357	n/a	73%	69%	81%
LA	74%	419	61%	n/a	85%	75%
PA	74%	666	77%	80%	61%	74%
AL	72%	373	n/a	72%	82%	68%
Significantly Below Average						
IN	71%	814	n/a	n/a	71%	71%
DE	69%	347	n/a	68%	65%	n/a
HI	69%	372	n/a	60%	n/a	74%
NY	66%	483	84%	69%	47%	64%
MS	64%	396	n/a	73%	63%	60%
VT	63%	316	n/a	55%	59%	62%
ME	62%	381	n/a	61%	70%	60%
NCI Average	**77%**	**16,688**	**76%**	**78%**	**76%**	**77%**

±Item changed from previous years – response options changed (see Appendix A)


Number of Times Out to Eat in the Past Month
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
12%
33%
29%
26%
0 times
1-2 Times
3-4 Times
More Than 5 Times

Table 63. Went out to eat at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
GA	96%	445	n/a	97%	97%	96%
KY	93%	446	n/a	93%	96%	93%
WY	93%	304	n/a	93%	92%	95%
IL	93%	372	n/a	95%	100%	89%
AL	93%	376	n/a	93%	92%	92%
TN	92%	432	n/a	90%	93%	93%
MO	92%	393	n/a	83%	96%	90%
RI	92%	449	n/a	91%	93%	91%
Within Average Range						
CO	90%	400	n/a	75%	93%	94%
MA	89%	404	n/a	90%	91%	86%
VT	89%	318	n/a	85%	83%	93%
SD	89%	326	n/a	88%	90%	89%
KS	89%	362	n/a	87%	95%	88%
CT	89%	322	n/a	88%	92%	91%
NC	88%	735	79%	89%	93%	91%
WA	88%	397	n/a	81%	89%	90%
VA	88%	808	49%	95%	85%	87%
OK	88%	396	n/a	88%	94%	82%
OH	87%	533	75%	88%	93%	89%
PA	87%	658	63%	93%	87%	87%
IN	87%	813	n/a	n/a	86%	87%
UT	87%	382	64%	89%	88%	87%
MI	86%	429	n/a	83%	83%	90%
FL	86%	1,412	n/a	90%	89%	84%
MN	86%	404	85%	90%	85%	85%
MS	86%	395	n/a	94%	84%	85%
NV	86%	374	n/a	90%	80%	83%
ME	85%	390	n/a	84%	84%	91%
WI	84%	403	81%	88%	82%	85%
LA	83%	405	69%	n/a	90%	88%
AZ	83%	468	n/a	64%	84%	91%
AR	82%	379	57%	94%	94%	83%
DC	82%	372	61%	83%	65%	89%
Significantly Below Average						
DE	82%	347	n/a	81%	75%	n/a
NY	81%	483	88%	78%	81%	83%
HI	79%	373	n/a	63%	n/a	85%
NCI Average	**88%**	**16,705**	**70%**	**87%**	**88%**	**89%**

±Item changed from previous years – response options changed (see Appendix A)


Number of Times Out to Religious Service or Spiritual Practice in the Past Month
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
52%
15%
26%
7%
0 times
1-2 Times
3-4 Times
More Than 5 Times

Table 64. Went out to religious service or spiritual practice at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
GA	71%	418	n/a	64%	61%	78%
MS	70%	395	n/a	70%	74%	71%
AL	70%	372	n/a	68%	51%	80%
DC	68%	352	60%	70%	81%	62%
NC	66%	727	62%	69%	58%	67%
LA	64%	418	67%	n/a	64%	61%
AZ	61%	463	n/a	49%	60%	63%
TN	59%	424	n/a	48%	61%	70%
UT	55%	375	48%	40%	59%	71%
Within Average Range						
HI	52%	326	n/a	68%	n/a	54%
VA	52%	800	38%	49%	41%	54%
OK	51%	398	n/a	43%	58%	57%
IN	50%	819	n/a	n/a	48%	48%
AR	49%	375	36%	47%	54%	49%
MO	48%	387	n/a	46%	45%	53%
FL	47%	1,412	n/a	45%	47%	47%
SD	47%	321	n/a	50%	43%	51%
MN	46%	404	50%	41%	51%	50%
KS	45%	339	n/a	38%	51%	51%
MI	45%	428	n/a	35%	48%	51%
KY	44%	434	n/a	34%	47%	50%
IL	44%	361	n/a	38%	22%	56%
OH	44%	530	31%	42%	40%	52%
PA	42%	648	44%	34%	39%	46%
WI	42%	406	37%	40%	44%	45%
DE	41%	352	n/a	39%	30%	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
RI	41%	432	n/a	35%	31%	41%
CO	39%	403	n/a	37%	34%	41%
MA	37%	393	n/a	33%	40%	43%
WY	37%	311	n/a	21%	42%	43%
CT	36%	318	n/a	32%	40%	38%
WA	36%	395	n/a	35%	32%	38%
ME	33%	385	n/a	27%	45%	30%
NV	32%	376	n/a	30%	29%	43%
NY	30%	481	24%	28%	34%	30%
VT	23%	317	n/a	38%	33%	26%
NCI Average	**48%**	**16,495**	**45%**	**44%**	**47%**	**52%**

±Item changed from previous years – response options changed (see Appendix A)


Participated in Community Groups or Other Activities in Community in the Past Month
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
37%
63%
Yes
No

Table 65. Participated in a community group in the past month (proxy responses allowed) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
GA	63%	421	n/a	63%	65%	63%
HI	62%	356	n/a	70%	n/a	59%
UT	54%	375	32%	55%	61%	52%
WA	49%	395	n/a	42%	49%	52%
FL	47%	1,399	n/a	45%	52%	47%
NV	47%	381	n/a	47%	44%	53%
CT	47%	319	n/a	44%	47%	50%
MO	45%	385	n/a	40%	45%	58%
VA	42%	790	39%	42%	43%	40%
Within Average Range						
KS	42%	321	n/a	43%	39%	42%
NC	41%	722	19%	48%	45%	44%
SD	41%	313	n/a	45%	35%	49%
IL	40%	352	n/a	37%	36%	44%
PA	39%	646	32%	38%	33%	40%
WY	38%	305	n/a	32%	38%	42%
MA	38%	388	n/a	35%	35%	45%
TN	37%	428	n/a	34%	33%	41%
MN	37%	401	52%	37%	26%	37%
MI	36%	423	n/a	33%	43%	37%
RI	36%	436	n/a	40%	37%	34%
WI	36%	403	27%	23%	34%	40%
OK	36%	397	n/a	31%	41%	39%
IN	34%	807	n/a	n/a	31%	34%
MS	34%	387	n/a	43%	36%	27%
AR	33%	368	19%	34%	44%	34%
LA	32%	415	25%	n/a	37%	34%
Significantly Below Average						
OH	30%	535	22%	18%	34%	36%
CO	29%	402	n/a	21%	30%	32%
DC	29%	338	13%	29%	25%	30%
ME	28%	381	n/a	24%	33%	30%
VT	28%	317	n/a	30%	33%	23%
NY	23%	478	10%	22%	29%	26%
AL	22%	369	n/a	17%	31%	27%
AZ	19%	466	n/a	22%	24%	16%
DE	17%	338	n/a	16%	22%	n/a
KY	16%	426	n/a	12%	6%	21%
NCI Average	**37%**	**16,383**	**26%**	**36%**	**37%**	**39%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Went on Vacation in the Past Year
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
46%
54%
Yes
No

Table 66. Went on vacation in the past year (proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DC	75%	363	88%	86%	n/a	60%
WY	59%	306	n/a	45%	60%	74%
VT	58%	322	n/a	33%	41%	63%
MN	58%	403	48%	62%	51%	57%
ME	57%	385	n/a	53%	55%	59%
CO	55%	403	n/a	42%	46%	65%
VA	55%	800	18%	55%	46%	58%
UT	55%	377	16%	41%	55%	71%
Within Average Range						
WA	53%	390	n/a	40%	50%	62%
MA	53%	395	n/a	48%	55%	65%
CT	53%	319	n/a	44%	52%	63%
WI	52%	404	37%	57%	42%	65%
NC	51%	728	34%	45%	46%	63%
IN	48%	819	n/a	n/a	37%	51%
SD	48%	319	n/a	41%	51%	68%
AR	47%	371	30%	62%	49%	52%
KS	46%	345	n/a	36%	45%	64%
FL	46%	1,413	n/a	35%	45%	52%
IL	45%	365	n/a	34%	39%	63%
MS	45%	391	n/a	44%	46%	46%
PA	44%	657	19%	36%	32%	55%
OH	44%	527	31%	43%	37%	55%
RI	43%	440	n/a	39%	37%	51%
NY	43%	477	23%	45%	34%	49%
LA	42%	417	29%	n/a	39%	51%
OK	42%	395	n/a	28%	46%	56%
NV	42%	371	n/a	36%	44%	49%
Significantly Below Average						
MO	39%	393	n/a	37%	39%	48%
MI	39%	426	n/a	28%	43%	53%
AL	39%	373	n/a	30%	40%	53%
DE	36%	364	n/a	35%	21%	n/a
GA	36%	419	n/a	26%	28%	40%
HI	35%	370	n/a	33%	n/a	44%
TN	33%	424	n/a	31%	28%	39%
KY	31%	417	n/a	22%	22%	43%
AZ	24%	470	n/a	16%	36%	25%
NCI Average	**46%**	**16,558**	**34%**	**41%**	**42%**	**55%**

±Item changed from previous years – response options changed

Table 67. Community Inclusion scale score

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
KY	91%	451	n/a	90%	95%	92%
TN	91%	436	n/a	92%	92%	87%
WY	90%	315	n/a	88%	90%	93%
RI	90%	461	n/a	92%	88%	91%
GA	90%	452	n/a	90%	92%	89%
CT	89%	331	82%	90%	92%	89%
IL	89%	380	n/a	90%	95%	86%
AZ	89%	474	n/a	79%	90%	93%
Within Average Range						
SD	89%	333	n/a	89%	90%	86%
OK	88%	398	n/a	87%	94%	85%
MN	88%	409	85%	91%	87%	88%
NV	88%	388	n/a	89%	87%	86%
CO	87%	405	n/a	80%	93%	88%
DC	87%	391	84%	86%	82%	88%
MO	87%	396	n/a	84%	90%	77%
MA	86%	414	n/a	87%	90%	84%
WA	86%	398	n/a	81%	90%	87%
MI	85%	432	n/a	81%	87%	89%
PA	85%	678	70%	90%	84%	85%
OH	85%	540	73%	87%	89%	87%
AL	85%	383	n/a	84%	91%	85%
VA	85%	814	53%	90%	84%	84%
NC	85%	746	75%	86%	87%	88%
FL	84%	1,430	n/a	87%	87%	82%
VT	84%	324	n/a	73%	82%	86%
KS	84%	369	n/a	83%	85%	84%
IN	84%	821	n/a	n/a	84%	84%
UT	84%	388	54%	87%	88%	84%
ME	83%	393	n/a	83%	87%	84%
Significantly Below Average						
WI	82%	408	75%	84%	82%	84%
DE	82%	377	n/a	81%	82%	n/a
MS	82%	400	n/a	88%	84%	78%
AR	82%	385	65%	93%	90%	79%
NY	82%	487	88%	81%	79%	80%
LA	81%	426	66%	n/a	91%	86%
HI	78%	395	n/a	68%	n/a	83%
NCI Average	**86%**	**17,028**	**72%**	**86%**	**88%**	**86%**


Able to Go Out to Do Things S/He Likes to Do
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
85%
12%
3%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 68. Able to go out and do the things like to do in the community º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	93%	412	n/a	87%	93%	96%
PA	92%	432	90%	89%	92%	94%
IN	92%	571	n/a	n/a	92%	92%
KY	92%	299	n/a	85%	89%	98%
WA	91%	257	n/a	n/a	90%	93%
AR	91%	219	89%	100%	90%	90%
GA	90%	299	n/a	91%	94%	88%
VA	90%	499	74%	91%	89%	90%
Within Average Range						
CT	89%	244	n/a	89%	88%	93%
MN	89%	251	n/a	90%	90%	88%
OH	89%	386	88%	87%	90%	90%
TN	89%	330	n/a	89%	92%	86%
MA	88%	311	n/a	89%	90%	89%
UT	88%	243	n/a	85%	93%	88%
DC	87%	189	n/a	84%	n/a	90%
OK	86%	207	n/a	85%	84%	89%
LA	86%	287	77%	n/a	88%	88%
HI	86%	188	n/a	71%	n/a	90%
IL	85%	252	n/a	85%	77%	88%
MO	85%	265	n/a	77%	88%	87%
NC	85%	428	85%	80%	84%	89%
KS	84%	255	n/a	79%	87%	92%
FL	84%	836	n/a	76%	85%	88%
NY	83%	303	n/a	79%	90%	83%
AL	83%	334	n/a	81%	86%	84%
RI	82%	340	n/a	82%	83%	84%
SD	82%	285	n/a	77%	86%	88%
NV	81%	339	n/a	78%	90%	73%
WY	80%	237	n/a	77%	84%	81%
ME	80%	280	n/a	80%	82%	78%
MS	79%	283	n/a	79%	77%	80%
Significantly Below Average						
CO	78%	304	n/a	81%	80%	73%
WI	78%	285	68%	77%	78%	78%
MI	77%	273	n/a	70%	81%	79%
DE	70%	232	n/a	68%	79%	n/a
VT	65%	252	n/a	n/a	75%	62%
NCI Average	**85%**	**11,407**	**82%**	**82%**	**86%**	**86%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Gets to Do Things S/He Wants to Do as Much as S/He Wants
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
76%
24%
Yes
No

Table 69. Able to go out and do the things likes to do in the community as often as wants to º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	92%	400	n/a	89%	81%	96%
KY	91%	287	n/a	83%	90%	96%
PA	87%	398	n/a	86%	88%	86%
GA	86%	277	n/a	75%	95%	87%
TN	85%	314	n/a	85%	89%	81%
WA	85%	248	n/a	n/a	83%	86%
Within Average Range						
KS	83%	233	n/a	84%	77%	95%
CT	83%	219	n/a	79%	90%	83%
UT	81%	224	n/a	80%	79%	83%
VA	80%	469	80%	78%	79%	81%
MN	80%	241	n/a	77%	87%	86%
IL	80%	214	n/a	80%	74%	80%
MA	79%	279	n/a	77%	87%	78%
LA	79%	260	70%	n/a	81%	81%
FL	79%	791	n/a	72%	75%	83%
IN	78%	526	n/a	n/a	71%	80%
OH	77%	364	83%	81%	72%	76%
NY	77%	281	n/a	76%	69%	80%
MO	76%	250	n/a	74%	75%	90%
DC	76%	182	n/a	74%	n/a	87%
RI	76%	309	n/a	79%	70%	81%
NC	76%	402	72%	73%	63%	83%
OK	76%	192	n/a	74%	79%	76%
MS	75%	261	n/a	82%	71%	73%
NV	74%	310	n/a	74%	77%	64%
AR	73%	211	67%	60%	73%	79%
WY	73%	201	n/a	74%	78%	71%
CO	73%	282	n/a	74%	64%	67%
HI	72%	148	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
MI	70%	250	n/a	69%	74%	67%
SD	69%	267	n/a	63%	71%	71%
Significantly Below Average						
WI	63%	257	n/a	69%	69%	54%
DE	62%	201	n/a	62%	72%	n/a
AL	59%	319	n/a	66%	36%	59%
VT	56%	228	n/a	n/a	61%	58%
ME	54%	258	n/a	56%	60%	51%
NCI Average	**76%**	**10,553**	**74%**	**75%**	**75%**	**78%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Has Enough Things S/He Likes to Do at Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
82%
11%
6%
Yes
In the Middle
Not Enough

Table 70. Has enough things they like to do when at home º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	96%	418	n/a	92%	93%	98%
IN	92%	574	n/a	n/a	89%	93%
WA	92%	264	n/a	n/a	92%	92%
TN	91%	333	n/a	92%	88%	93%
PA	91%	434	90%	93%	89%	91%
WI	90%	290	84%	84%	92%	92%
MN	89%	254	n/a	88%	86%	93%
KY	89%	299	n/a	78%	91%	95%
IL	88%	260	n/a	85%	84%	92%
VA	87%	501	78%	87%	89%	89%
Within Average Range						
CO	87%	307	n/a	92%	84%	88%
MO	86%	265	n/a	90%	85%	77%
GA	85%	303	n/a	77%	93%	83%
NC	85%	432	75%	85%	83%	87%
CT	84%	244	n/a	86%	84%	82%
FL	84%	837	n/a	76%	85%	88%
OH	84%	391	81%	76%	82%	89%
UT	84%	243	n/a	85%	79%	83%
SD	83%	284	n/a	82%	85%	77%
MA	83%	304	n/a	83%	82%	83%
AR	83%	223	75%	88%	84%	81%
LA	82%	289	74%	n/a	85%	85%
NY	81%	307	n/a	80%	81%	81%
KS	81%	256	n/a	73%	82%	93%
RI	80%	349	n/a	90%	75%	75%
WY	79%	234	n/a	71%	81%	91%
OK	79%	210	n/a	81%	76%	78%
DC	78%	190	n/a	82%	n/a	79%
MI	78%	278	n/a	73%	79%	81%
AL	77%	328	n/a	78%	74%	77%
Significantly Below Average						
NV	72%	346	n/a	70%	69%	84%
MS	71%	290	n/a	63%	68%	80%
HI	71%	193	n/a	54%	n/a	75%
VT	69%	262	n/a	n/a	65%	67%
ME	66%	284	n/a	63%	65%	67%
DE	62%	238	n/a	60%	63%	n/a
NCI Average	**82%**	**11,514**	**80%**	**80%**	**82%**	**85%**

ºNew variable to reporting

Relationships

People have friends and relationships.

There are seven Relationship indicators:

1. Proportion of people who reported they have friends who are not staff or family members
2. Proportion of people who reported they can see or communicate with their family when they want
3. Proportion of people who reported they have friends (may be staff or family) and the support needed to see their friends when they want
4. Proportion of people who want more help to keep in contact with friends
5. Proportion of people who reported they had other ways of communicating with friends (e.g., over the phone, via email)
6. Proportion of people who reported they can go on a date if they want or can date with some restriction
7. Proportion of people who reported they feel lonely at least half the time

In addition, NCI collects information as to why people cannot see their friends when they want. Tables 71 through 78 present the results for these seven core indicators. The corresponding charts show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.


Has Friends
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
77%
14%
9%
Has Friends Who Are Not Staff or Family
All Friends Are Staff or Family
Does Not Have Friends

Table 71. Has friends who are not staff or family members ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AL	89%	331	n/a	90%	90%	88%
ME	88%	285	n/a	87%	90%	89%
TN	87%	331	n/a	91%	89%	80%
IN	87%	575	n/a	n/a	87%	86%
GA	84%	307	n/a	74%	88%	85%
Within Average Range						
MN	83%	246	n/a	82%	87%	88%
SD	83%	281	n/a	81%	83%	87%
WY	83%	233	n/a	84%	83%	81%
WA	83%	262	n/a	n/a	85%	81%
IL	82%	260	n/a	79%	97%	80%
MA	82%	309	n/a	81%	88%	80%
VA	82%	500	76%	82%	83%	83%
MS	81%	290	n/a	79%	84%	81%
LA	81%	292	79%	n/a	86%	78%
DC	81%	192	n/a	83%	n/a	83%
MO	81%	267	n/a	79%	82%	74%
WI	80%	291	80%	72%	86%	73%
OH	79%	392	74%	82%	82%	77%
CO	78%	304	n/a	82%	79%	79%
AR	78%	221	70%	88%	78%	72%
FL	77%	852	n/a	73%	84%	74%
RI	75%	352	n/a	71%	85%	84%
KS	74%	258	n/a	67%	86%	75%
CT	74%	245	n/a	74%	82%	72%
PA	74%	438	95%	69%	73%	74%
OK	73%	212	n/a	72%	68%	82%
NY	71%	312	n/a	63%	75%	80%
NV	71%	345	n/a	73%	65%	76%
VT	71%	268	n/a	n/a	71%	73%
MI	70%	282	n/a	57%	79%	74%
UT	70%	239	n/a	71%	68%	70%
Significantly Below Average						
NC	69%	438	62%	67%	75%	71%
DE	68%	253	n/a	68%	79%	n/a
HI	67%	196	n/a	64%	n/a	76%
AZ	67%	422	n/a	67%	67%	68%
KY	60%	300	n/a	46%	77%	70%
NCI Average	**77%**	**11,581**	**77%**	**75%**	**81%**	**78%**


Wants More Help to Make or Keep in Contact With Friends
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
36%
8%
56%
Yes
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 72. Wants help to make or keep in contact with friends ('yes' and 'maybe, not sure' responses) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
OK	72%	195	n/a	78%	66%	67%
NV	65%	333	n/a	75%	53%	63%
AL	61%	327	n/a	58%	67%	63%
RI	59%	331	n/a	69%	47%	61%
UT	57%	220	n/a	63%	38%	59%
MA	55%	286	n/a	63%	33%	56%
SD	53%	270	n/a	56%	46%	63%
Within Average Range						
CT	53%	239	n/a	57%	50%	52%
CO	51%	295	n/a	59%	36%	58%
DE	49%	220	n/a	53%	32%	n/a
MN	47%	241	n/a	51%	32%	55%
HI	47%	185	n/a	54%	n/a	49%
LA	47%	288	42%	n/a	48%	48%
MI	47%	271	n/a	63%	33%	42%
VT	46%	242	n/a	n/a	41%	48%
VA	46%	470	45%	52%	26%	47%
FL	46%	800	n/a	55%	40%	45%
DC	46%	180	n/a	45%	n/a	46%
MO	45%	233	n/a	41%	46%	n/a
AR	45%	216	65%	52%	34%	50%
MS	44%	280	n/a	52%	42%	39%
GA	43%	282	n/a	66%	35%	38%
OH	41%	374	47%	45%	42%	38%
NY	41%	296	n/a	47%	22%	47%
IN	41%	529	n/a	n/a	33%	44%
ME	41%	270	n/a	46%	27%	33%
KS	40%	240	n/a	39%	35%	50%
NC	39%	413	34%	41%	32%	38%
Significantly Below Average						
WI	34%	274	52%	50%	26%	32%
WA	34%	255	n/a	n/a	31%	30%
IL	31%	251	n/a	33%	17%	33%
WY	30%	225	n/a	39%	20%	32%
PA	28%	388	n/a	34%	22%	25%
TN	27%	328	n/a	32%	23%	25%
KY	24%	293	n/a	31%	14%	25%
AZ	16%	418	n/a	17%	23%	13%
NCI Average	**44%**	**10,958**	**48%**	**50%**	**36%**	**45%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Can See Friends
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
80%
15%
5%
Yes
Sometimes can't see friends
No, or Often Unable

Table 73. Has friends (may be staff or family) and the support needed to see friends when they want ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
KS	90%	242	n/a	92%	89%	89%
AZ	90%	397	n/a	88%	86%	91%
TN	89%	328	n/a	92%	89%	89%
AR	89%	196	91%	96%	88%	89%
PA	89%	368	n/a	89%	93%	90%
KY	88%	285	n/a	79%	93%	92%
VA	85%	445	77%	84%	84%	88%
Within Average Range						
SD	84%	273	n/a	87%	86%	75%
MS	84%	269	n/a	81%	81%	88%
LA	83%	262	82%	n/a	89%	80%
UT	83%	220	n/a	78%	93%	80%
NY	83%	253	n/a	85%	89%	79%
IL	82%	221	n/a	81%	90%	82%
WA	82%	243	n/a	n/a	80%	85%
MA	82%	282	n/a	79%	92%	80%
OH	82%	355	80%	81%	84%	82%
MO	82%	233	n/a	82%	81%	n/a
WY	82%	212	n/a	75%	87%	81%
NC	81%	372	97%	81%	78%	79%
HI	80%	166	n/a	68%	n/a	85%
IN	80%	523	n/a	n/a	81%	79%
GA	79%	280	n/a	73%	86%	79%
WI	79%	250	70%	93%	81%	74%
FL	79%	760	n/a	74%	86%	76%
RI	78%	317	n/a	82%	75%	88%
MI	78%	246	n/a	75%	89%	73%
DC	77%	168	n/a	81%	n/a	80%
MN	77%	237	n/a	76%	77%	78%
OK	77%	188	n/a	80%	79%	69%
CT	76%	234	n/a	77%	76%	76%
NV	76%	303	n/a	72%	84%	67%
AL	74%	316	n/a	71%	80%	75%
CO	72%	259	n/a	73%	81%	63%
DE	72%	220	n/a	71%	77%	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
VT	58%	215	n/a	n/a	69%	65%
ME	57%	236	n/a	63%	52%	52%
NCI Average	**80%**	**10,374**	**83%**	**80%**	**83%**	**79%**


Reasons Cannot Always See Friends
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
31%
9%
12%
4%
25%
19%
Lack of Transportation
Lack of Staff
Rules and Restrictions
Cost
Difficulty Finding Time
Other

Table 74. Reasons cannot always see friends º

State	Lack of Transportation	Lack of Support Staff	Rules or Restrictions About Seeing Friends	Money/Cost of Going Out	Difficulty Finding a Good Time to Get Together	Other
AL	55%	1%	7%	3%	32%	3%
AZ	32%	6%	32%	3%	6%	21%
CO	15%	6%	12%	1%	51%	15%
CT	27%	24%	16%	2%	9%	22%
DC	48%	19%	15%	7%	11%	0%
DE	20%	12%	27%	2%	31%	8%
FL	34%	9%	7%	9%	29%	13%
GA	58%	13%	6%	4%	15%	4%
HI	33%	n/a	7%	n/a	4%	56%
IL	39%	13%	9%	9%	9%	22%
IN	22%	2%	3%	n/a	57%	15%
KY	45%	7%	31%	3%	10%	3%
LA	16%	9%	14%	n/a	23%	39%
MA	24%	16%	11%	2%	27%	20%
ME	35%	10%	4%	1%	26%	23%
MI	49%	2%	15%	5%	12%	17%
MN	34%	8%	6%	6%	34%	12%
MO	11%	17%	25%	11%	19%	17%
MS	34%	5%	3%	3%	34%	21%
NC	42%	6%	8%	3%	12%	29%
NV	28%	4%	14%	5%	28%	20%
NY	32%	14%	11%	3%	27%	14%
OH	36%	9%	7%	2%	26%	21%
OK	18%	n/a	15%	3%	21%	44%
PA	25%	14%	8%	3%	11%	39%
RI	23%	13%	8%	2%	34%	20%
SD	30%	19%	16%	8%	14%	14%
TN	12%	6%	9%	3%	48%	21%
UT	29%	9%	17%	3%	26%	17%
VA	41%	8%	6%	4%	31%	10%
VT	35%	1%	2%	1%	49%	11%
WA	19%	7%	9%	2%	47%	16%
WI	40%	4%	n/a	4%	32%	20%
WY	19%	13%	19%	3%	16%	31%
AR	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**31%**	**9%**	**12%**	**4%**	**25%**	**19%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Has Other Ways of Communicating With Friends
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
81%
6%
13%
Yes
Sometimes
No

Table 75. Has other ways to communicate with friends when cannot see them ('yes' responses only) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
WA	90%	231	n/a	n/a	93%	89%
LA	89%	235	83%	n/a	92%	90%
SD	88%	267	n/a	77%	98%	89%
WY	88%	208	n/a	83%	91%	88%
MO	87%	205	n/a	85%	88%	n/a
Within Average Range						
MA	86%	269	n/a	85%	93%	84%
AR	85%	196	78%	91%	88%	78%
OK	85%	189	n/a	83%	90%	83%
MS	85%	270	n/a	88%	88%	76%
NV	84%	299	n/a	82%	89%	81%
DC	84%	169	n/a	83%	n/a	88%
GA	84%	269	n/a	80%	90%	83%
WI	84%	243	n/a	84%	91%	82%
FL	83%	762	n/a	74%	92%	82%
TN	82%	316	n/a	93%	83%	67%
MN	82%	237	n/a	79%	95%	82%
OH	82%	355	79%	81%	83%	80%
CT	81%	220	n/a	77%	85%	84%
KS	81%	233	n/a	81%	83%	79%
PA	81%	320	n/a	80%	87%	78%
IL	81%	211	n/a	79%	92%	78%
UT	80%	212	n/a	79%	97%	75%
DE	80%	219	n/a	80%	88%	n/a
NC	79%	357	81%	77%	93%	79%
VA	79%	441	87%	78%	85%	77%
IN	78%	503	n/a	n/a	88%	76%
ME	78%	247	n/a	72%	87%	89%
AL	78%	309	n/a	76%	85%	78%
AZ	78%	393	n/a	68%	79%	81%
RI	78%	308	n/a	81%	85%	79%
MI	76%	244	n/a	69%	87%	75%
NY	75%	236	n/a	68%	88%	78%
CO	75%	264	n/a	74%	90%	69%
Significantly Below Average						
KY	69%	145	n/a	65%	n/a	73%
VT	67%	208	n/a	n/a	80%	73%
HI	62%	156	n/a	55%	n/a	66%
NCI Average	**81%**	**9,946**	**82%**	**78%**	**89%**	**80%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Can See and/or Communicate With Family Whenever Person Wants
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
85%
11%
4%
Yes
Sometimes
No

Table 76. Can see and communicate with their family whenever they want ('yes' responses only) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	96%	413	n/a	89%	93%	98%
MN	94%	238	n/a	93%	96%	94%
PA	93%	353	n/a	90%	95%	96%
WA	93%	250	n/a	n/a	88%	97%
KS	93%	244	n/a	92%	90%	100%
IN	92%	567	n/a	n/a	86%	96%
OH	91%	367	89%	79%	88%	97%
TN	90%	309	n/a	87%	90%	100%
Within Average Range						
HI	90%	190	n/a	75%	n/a	98%
CT	90%	229	n/a	89%	89%	93%
GA	90%	290	n/a	73%	97%	93%
MA	89%	288	n/a	86%	94%	93%
NV	88%	323	n/a	86%	88%	100%
AR	88%	212	83%	96%	84%	90%
WI	88%	278	77%	85%	84%	95%
MI	87%	268	n/a	74%	85%	97%
RI	86%	322	n/a	86%	83%	93%
MS	86%	278	n/a	80%	86%	91%
LA	86%	284	69%	n/a	86%	94%
UT	86%	237	n/a	81%	82%	92%
VA	86%	464	94%	80%	76%	94%
IL	85%	241	n/a	79%	84%	94%
OK	85%	200	n/a	79%	88%	96%
SD	85%	280	n/a	86%	86%	88%
FL	84%	803	n/a	73%	78%	93%
WY	84%	231	n/a	74%	89%	93%
MO	84%	244	n/a	86%	81%	100%
CO	83%	277	n/a	85%	82%	91%
NC	82%	418	95%	76%	83%	87%
DC	80%	168	n/a	72%	n/a	90%
DE	79%	222	n/a	77%	96%	n/a
NY	79%	282	n/a	69%	81%	90%
Significantly Below Average						
KY	75%	298	n/a	59%	82%	95%
AL	73%	323	n/a	61%	86%	83%
VT	70%	246	n/a	n/a	84%	84%
ME	61%	237	n/a	51%	72%	88%
NCI Average	**85%**	**10,874**	**84%**	**80%**	**86%**	**94%**

±Item changed from previous years – question rephrased see Appendix A


Feels Lonely
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
12%
33%
56%
Yes, Often
Sometimes
No, Not Often

Table 77. Feels lonely ('yes, often' responses only) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DE	19%	243	n/a	19%	25%	n/a
Within Average Range						
KS	18%	262	n/a	17%	16%	17%
NV	17%	339	n/a	17%	16%	16%
UT	16%	231	n/a	19%	20%	11%
AR	16%	223	36%	28%	8%	15%
CO	16%	302	n/a	11%	23%	16%
ME	16%	273	n/a	17%	10%	16%
HI	15%	188	n/a	16%	n/a	16%
WI	15%	282	13%	19%	14%	12%
AL	14%	326	n/a	15%	10%	14%
RI	14%	339	n/a	11%	17%	19%
WY	14%	233	n/a	14%	14%	17%
MS	14%	286	n/a	13%	14%	13%
MA	13%	293	n/a	16%	16%	9%
SD	13%	276	n/a	17%	9%	10%
IN	12%	563	n/a	n/a	13%	12%
IL	12%	250	n/a	9%	3%	17%
MI	12%	277	n/a	14%	10%	9%
DC	12%	191	n/a	14%	n/a	6%
MN	11%	252	n/a	14%	10%	9%
WA	11%	257	n/a	n/a	10%	11%
OH	11%	382	27%	9%	16%	5%
OK	11%	209	n/a	10%	15%	7%
VT	10%	259	n/a	n/a	19%	8%
NC	10%	430	5%	11%	12%	9%
NY	9%	307	n/a	8%	16%	6%
MO	9%	259	n/a	8%	10%	n/a
CT	9%	244	n/a	11%	2%	7%
VA	8%	472	6%	11%	6%	7%
Significantly Below Average						
FL	8%	824	n/a	11%	9%	5%
LA	7%	285	9%	n/a	7%	5%
TN	7%	331	n/a	11%	6%	1%
GA	6%	297	n/a	10%	9%	3%
PA	6%	418	0%	9%	10%	3%
KY	5%	298	n/a	4%	0%	7%
AZ	5%	415	n/a	10%	2%	4%
NCI Average	**12%**	**11,316**	**14%**	**13%**	**12%**	**10%**

±Item changed from previous years – analysis now only includes "often" response (see Appendix A)


Can Go on a Date
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
70%
17%
13%
Can Date, Is Married, or Living With Partner
With Restrictions or Rules
No

Table 78. Can go on a date if they want or can date with some restriction ('yes, can date' or 'is married or living with partner' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
VT	88%	197	n/a	n/a	93%	84%
WA	87%	184	n/a	n/a	85%	88%
TN	85%	253	n/a	90%	88%	80%
KS	85%	235	n/a	83%	92%	84%
GA	82%	267	n/a	70%	92%	83%
WI	82%	208	n/a	70%	89%	83%
SD	80%	255	n/a	77%	91%	69%
AL	79%	312	n/a	81%	85%	74%
FL	79%	639	n/a	66%	96%	75%
OH	79%	328	63%	86%	89%	71%
Within Average Range						
MO	77%	202	n/a	74%	79%	n/a
MA	77%	252	n/a	70%	96%	72%
MI	76%	230	n/a	69%	91%	72%
ME	74%	199	n/a	74%	87%	74%
UT	74%	210	n/a	72%	88%	68%
CO	74%	243	n/a	94%	91%	66%
AR	73%	182	78%	77%	80%	53%
PA	72%	320	n/a	68%	91%	69%
WY	72%	213	n/a	71%	80%	70%
IN	71%	461	n/a	n/a	83%	66%
NV	71%	312	n/a	70%	78%	56%
MN	70%	199	n/a	66%	95%	62%
MS	70%	198	n/a	67%	72%	64%
NY	69%	213	n/a	54%	93%	67%
RI	68%	302	n/a	71%	77%	57%
LA	68%	227	71%	n/a	75%	61%
OK	68%	192	n/a	70%	75%	55%
DC	66%	175	n/a	65%	n/a	61%
Significantly Below Average						
IL	59%	209	n/a	65%	70%	48%
VA	58%	398	71%	56%	66%	52%
KY	58%	233	n/a	51%	77%	55%
DE	57%	202	n/a	57%	60%	n/a
NC	56%	373	33%	55%	75%	54%
HI	52%	146	n/a	45%	n/a	52%
CT	49%	187	n/a	45%	73%	44%
AZ	17%	389	n/a	18%	36%	14%
NCI Average	**70%**	**9,345**	**63%**	**67%**	**82%**	**65%**

Satisfaction

People are satisfied with the services and supports they receive.

There are five Satisfaction indicators:

1. Proportion of people who reported they like their home
2. Proportion of people who reported they would like to live somewhere else
3. Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and who reported they like where they work
4. Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and who reported they want to work somewhere else
5. Proportion of people who reported that services and supports were helping them live a good life

In addition, NCI collects information as to why people do not like where they live and whether they want to spend more, less, or the same amount of time at their day program or workshop. Tables 79 through 85 present items that correspond to the Satisfaction domain. The corresponding charts show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.


Likes Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
89%
6%
4%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 79. Like their home ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	98%	420	n/a	96%	91%	99%
IN	97%	587	n/a	n/a	93%	99%
HI	94%	200	n/a	89%	n/a	95%
TN	93%	336	n/a	92%	91%	97%
Within Average Range						
OK	93%	212	n/a	96%	87%	94%
AR	93%	223	79%	88%	94%	100%
LA	92%	293	87%	n/a	89%	98%
VT	92%	288	n/a	n/a	96%	96%
FL	91%	872	n/a	82%	90%	98%
IL	91%	260	n/a	87%	90%	96%
VA	91%	508	91%	87%	86%	98%
RI	91%	350	n/a	92%	85%	99%
UT	91%	243	n/a	90%	83%	96%
NC	90%	448	89%	85%	81%	97%
GA	90%	311	n/a	85%	85%	93%
CT	90%	247	n/a	84%	88%	98%
PA	90%	447	83%	84%	82%	96%
SD	89%	289	n/a	88%	90%	97%
MI	89%	287	n/a	86%	87%	94%
KY	89%	301	n/a	81%	89%	96%
WI	89%	292	76%	77%	90%	96%
AL	89%	334	n/a	81%	88%	98%
MN	89%	253	n/a	88%	85%	93%
DC	88%	195	n/a	90%	n/a	92%
MS	87%	315	n/a	82%	84%	96%
OH	87%	403	78%	84%	83%	91%
NY	86%	323	n/a	79%	81%	97%
CO	86%	308	n/a	74%	81%	93%
WA	86%	267	n/a	n/a	80%	93%
KS	86%	273	n/a	77%	87%	100%
MA	86%	314	n/a	83%	78%	92%
WY	86%	236	n/a	86%	84%	85%
MO	85%	274	n/a	82%	84%	100%
DE	83%	278	n/a	81%	86%	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
ME	83%	287	n/a	74%	92%	89%
NV	80%	349	n/a	74%	84%	93%
NCI Average	**89%**	**11,823**	**83%**	**84%**	**87%**	**96%**


Reasons Doesn't Like Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
1%
1%
1%
1%
3%
1%
2%
3%
3%
Accessibility
Feel Unsafe in Home or Neighborhood
Home Needs Repairs or Upkeep
Doesn't Feel Like Home
Problem With Roommates
Problems With Staff
Want to be Closer to Family or Friends
Wants More Independence
Other

Table 80A. Reasons why person does not like home (categories are not mutually exclusive) º

State	Accessibility	Feel Unsafe in Home or Neighborhood	Home Needs Repair or Upkeep	Doesn't Feel Like Home
AL	0%	0%	1%	1%
AR	0%	0%	1%	1%
AZ	1%	0%	0%	0%
CO	0%	2%	1%	1%
CT	0%	0%	0%	0%
DC	1%	2%	2%	1%
DE	1%	3%	1%	4%
FL	0%	1%	1%	1%
GA	0%	1%	0%	0%
HI	1%	0%	0%	0%
IL	0%	2%	0%	1%
IN	0%	0%	1%	0%
KS	0%	0%	0%	1%
KY	0%	2%	3%	2%
LA	0%	2%	1%	2%
MA	1%	2%	1%	1%
ME	0%	1%	1%	2%
MI	0%	1%	1%	1%
MN	0%	1%	1%	3%
MO	1%	1%	2%	2%
MS	1%	2%	1%	3%
NC	0%	0%	1%	1%
NV	2%	1%	0%	3%
NY	1%	2%	1%	1%
OH	1%	1%	1%	1%
OK	0%	0%	0%	0%
PA	1%	1%	1%	0%
RI	1%	1%	1%	1%
SD	0%	1%	1%	2%
TN	0%	1%	1%	1%
UT	1%	2%	2%	2%
VA	0%	1%	0%	1%
VT	0%	0%	0%	1%
WA	2%	1%	1%	1%
WI	1%	0%	1%	1%
WY	1%	0%	1%	1%
NCI Average	**1%**	**1%**	**1%**	**1%**

ºNew variable to reporting

Table 81B. Reasons why person does not like home (continued, categories are not mutually exclusive) º

State	Problem With Roommates	Problems With Staff	Want to Be Closer to Family or Friends	Wants More Independence	Other
AL	3%	0%	1%	3%	2%
AR	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%
AZ	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
CO	4%	2%	3%	6%	6%
CT	1%	1%	2%	3%	4%
DC	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%
DE	8%	6%	3%	4%	1%
FL	2%	0%	1%	2%	3%
GA	0%	1%	2%	2%	4%
HI	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%
IL	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%
IN	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
KS	2%	1%	2%	4%	5%
KY	7%	2%	1%	2%	1%
LA	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
MA	5%	3%	4%	5%	3%
ME	5%	2%	5%	4%	5%
MI	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%
MN	5%	4%	2%	4%	4%
MO	3%	2%	4%	4%	5%
MS	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%
NC	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%
NV	5%	3%	2%	7%	10%
NY	1%	1%	2%	2%	5%
OH	2%	1%	2%	2%	6%
OK	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
PA	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%
RI	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%
SD	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%
TN	3%	1%	0%	1%	2%
UT	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
VA	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%
VT	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
WA	2%	1%	0%	2%	6%
WI	2%	1%	2%	4%	5%
WY	3%	2%	3%	5%	5%
NCI Average	**3%**	**1%**	**2%**	**3%**	**3%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Wants to Live Somewhere Else
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
27%
9%
65%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 82. Want to live somewhere else ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
NV	46%	335	n/a	49%	43%	41%
DE	43%	263	n/a	45%	46%	n/a
SD	37%	278	n/a	41%	31%	40%
Within Average Range						
OH	32%	388	59%	31%	35%	27%
DC	32%	189	n/a	28%	n/a	34%
AR	32%	222	45%	35%	31%	23%
OK	31%	206	n/a	33%	33%	27%
CT	31%	236	n/a	30%	27%	33%
LA	29%	275	50%	n/a	25%	22%
MI	29%	281	n/a	36%	31%	20%
MN	29%	250	n/a	41%	20%	23%
WI	28%	289	17%	37%	30%	24%
AL	28%	329	n/a	34%	21%	23%
MO	28%	269	n/a	32%	32%	9%
NC	28%	433	50%	23%	41%	24%
UT	28%	239	n/a	30%	33%	22%
RI	27%	338	n/a	32%	25%	27%
VT	27%	272	n/a	n/a	38%	34%
ME	27%	282	n/a	33%	17%	25%
IL	27%	252	n/a	33%	19%	21%
WY	26%	237	n/a	36%	25%	20%
MA	26%	301	n/a	31%	27%	22%
VA	25%	482	38%	31%	19%	15%
MS	23%	311	n/a	33%	24%	15%
HI	23%	196	n/a	44%	n/a	21%
PA	23%	435	26%	29%	23%	18%
KS	23%	260	n/a	28%	19%	12%
WA	22%	258	n/a	n/a	22%	21%
GA	21%	302	n/a	24%	20%	22%
Significantly Below Average						
FL	23%	856	n/a	30%	26%	17%
IN	21%	560	n/a	n/a	22%	20%
NY	20%	317	n/a	23%	30%	13%
CO	20%	304	n/a	24%	25%	15%
KY	19%	297	n/a	25%	12%	15%
TN	17%	332	n/a	20%	21%	7%
AZ	13%	418	n/a	21%	20%	10%
NCI Average	**27%**	**11,492**	**41%**	**32%**	**27%**	**22%**


Likes Paid Community Job
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
92%
6%
2%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 83. Has a paid job in the community and likes where they work ('yes' responses only) ˇ

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
AL	100%	28	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	100%	20	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OH	97%	94	n/a	n/a	97%	98%
PA	97%	60	n/a	n/a	95%	96%
AZ	97%	58	n/a	n/a	n/a	97%
KY	96%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OK	96%	50	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a
GA	96%	72	n/a	n/a	96%	95%
NY	96%	48	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	95%	131	n/a	n/a	95%	94%
VT	95%	128	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
MO	94%	36	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a
NC	93%	76	n/a	100%	n/a	93%
KS	93%	44	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ME	93%	72	n/a	100%	92%	n/a
RI	93%	57	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%
IL	93%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
SD	93%	107	n/a	96%	92%	n/a
MN	92%	124	n/a	90%	87%	97%
CO	91%	47	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WA	91%	138	n/a	n/a	88%	94%
WY	91%	91	n/a	91%	89%	93%
MA	91%	89	n/a	92%	92%	88%
MS	90%	52	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a
CT	90%	108	n/a	76%	93%	98%
LA	89%	47	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a
TN	89%	47	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a
FL	89%	122	n/a	n/a	80%	97%
WI	89%	36	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	88%	57	n/a	86%	89%	n/a
MI	87%	31	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VA	86%	51	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a
UT	84%	64	n/a	75%	95%	n/a
DE	84%	56	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**92%**	**2,295**	**n/a**	**89%**	**92%**	**95%**

ˇReported for those who were reported to have a paid community job from Background section

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): DC, HI


Would Like a Different Paid Community Job
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
27%
12%
61%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 84. Has a paid job in the community and wants to work somewhere else˘ ('yes' responses only) ˘

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
DE	46%	56	n/a	46%	n/a	n/a
AL	41%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	39%	28	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
UT	37%	63	n/a	46%	29%	n/a
MA	36%	84	n/a	30%	41%	32%
MI	35%	31	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	34%	121	n/a	41%	24%	28%
VA	33%	49	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a
RI	32%	57	n/a	n/a	n/a	29%
NV	31%	55	n/a	29%	32%	n/a
WI	31%	36	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CT	29%	106	n/a	42%	37%	18%
CO	28%	46	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	28%	124	n/a	n/a	n/a	29%
WY	28%	90	n/a	26%	31%	21%
SD	28%	108	n/a	42%	23%	n/a
NY	27%	44	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	27%	52	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a
ME	27%	67	n/a	17%	23%	n/a
AR	25%	20	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	25%	73	n/a	n/a	20%	23%
PA	25%	57	n/a	n/a	24%	25%
OH	24%	94	n/a	n/a	19%	23%
NC	24%	74	n/a	13%	n/a	31%
OK	23%	48	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a
TN	22%	45	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a
IN	21%	124	n/a	n/a	17%	25%
WA	20%	136	n/a	n/a	22%	16%
FL	19%	122	n/a	n/a	15%	20%
AZ	17%	54	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%
KS	14%	44	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
LA	13%	46	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a
MO	12%	34	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a
KY	11%	27	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**27%**	**2,242**	**n/a**	**32%**	**23%**	**24%**

˘Reported for those who were reported to have a paid community job from Background section

Note: The following states are not included in analysis due to low N (<20): DC, HI


Wants to Spend More, Less, or the Same Amount of Time at Day Program or Workshop
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
23%
62%
15%
More
About the Same
Less

Table 85. Attends a day program or workshop and wants to go more, less, or the same amount of time º

State	More	About the Same Amount	Less	N
AL	23%	55%	23%	304
AR	28%	53%	19%	134
AZ	8%	88%	4%	218
CO	18%	69%	13%	182
CT	38%	51%	11%	99
DE	27%	40%	33%	187
FL	12%	74%	14%	354
GA	8%	85%	7%	202
HI	27%	60%	13%	128
IL	21%	63%	16%	198
IN	22%	66%	11%	303
KS	7%	80%	13%	170
KY	5%	75%	20%	215
LA	21%	68%	11%	132
MA	22%	60%	18%	194
ME	10%	72%	19%	200
MI	27%	62%	11%	140
MN	29%	52%	18%	119
MO	18%	70%	12%	108
MS	32%	42%	26%	216
NC	24%	67%	9%	243
NV	36%	47%	16%	225
NY	19%	75%	5%	166
OH	17%	65%	18%	239
OK	24%	54%	22%	63
PA	23%	67%	10%	211
RI	25%	55%	20%	222
SD	28%	54%	18%	165
TN	21%	66%	13%	148
UT	15%	68%	17%	133
VA	14%	71%	14%	312
VT	42%	44%	14%	36
WA	52%	39%	9%	23
WI	26%	60%	14%	108
WY	24%	55%	22%	102
NCI Average	**23%**	**62%**	**15%**	**6,199**

ºNew variable to reporting

Note: DC is not included in analysis due to low N (<20)


Services and Supports Help Person Live a Good Life
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
91%
7%
2%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 86. Services and supports help person live a good life ('yes' responses only) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	97%	416	n/a	95%	93%	98%
TN	97%	328	n/a	94%	98%	99%
AL	95%	333	n/a	93%	98%	98%
IN	95%	566	n/a	n/a	92%	96%
FL	94%	827	n/a	90%	93%	97%
Within Average Range						
WA	94%	258	n/a	n/a	95%	94%
VA	94%	494	84%	95%	97%	93%
UT	94%	239	n/a	92%	93%	97%
IL	94%	254	n/a	91%	97%	95%
AR	93%	225	79%	92%	92%	100%
HI	93%	195	n/a	93%	n/a	93%
CT	93%	241	n/a	97%	92%	90%
RI	92%	335	n/a	96%	91%	95%
SD	92%	282	n/a	95%	89%	97%
NC	92%	418	91%	91%	86%	95%
GA	92%	300	n/a	87%	93%	94%
PA	92%	423	n/a	92%	86%	93%
KY	92%	296	n/a	82%	95%	98%
MA	91%	309	n/a	92%	88%	91%
MN	91%	250	n/a	94%	88%	90%
MS	91%	292	n/a	89%	93%	91%
NV	91%	346	n/a	92%	88%	96%
LA	91%	283	92%	n/a	93%	89%
KS	90%	252	n/a	88%	93%	95%
OH	90%	384	86%	93%	88%	90%
OK	89%	209	n/a	95%	87%	80%
CO	89%	307	n/a	92%	87%	86%
MO	89%	259	n/a	84%	89%	95%
DC	88%	168	n/a	95%	n/a	83%
WI	88%	289	76%	93%	83%	91%
VT	87%	254	n/a	n/a	85%	91%
DE	87%	230	n/a	86%	92%	n/a
NY	87%	307	n/a	90%	78%	89%
WY	86%	234	n/a	82%	91%	83%
MI	86%	267	n/a	83%	89%	84%
ME	85%	280	n/a	82%	90%	91%
NCI Average	**91%**	**11,350**	**85%**	**91%**	**91%**	**92%**

ºNew variable to reporting

Service Coordination

Service coordinators are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning.

There are eight Service Coordination indicators:

1. Proportion of people who reported they met their case manager/service coordinator
2. Proportion of people who reported their case manager/service coordinator asks them what they want
3. Proportion of people who reported their case manager/service coordinator gets back to them right away
4. Proportion of people who reported taking part in the last service planning meeting
5. Proportion of people who reported that they understood what was discussed in last service planning meeting
6. Proportion of people who reported that service planning meeting included people the person wanted to be there
7. Proportion of people who reported that they were able to choose the services that were received as part of service plan
8. Proportion of people who reported support workers come and leave when they are supposed to

Tables 86 through 93 present the results for these seven core indicators. The corresponding charts show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.


Met Case Manager/Service Coordinator
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
95%
2%
3%
Yes
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 87. Met their case manager/service coordinator ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
WY	100%	234	n/a	100%	100%	100%
VT	100%	277	n/a	n/a	100%	99%
FL	99%	857	n/a	99%	100%	99%
TN	99%	325	n/a	98%	99%	100%
ME	98%	283	n/a	96%	100%	100%
MO	98%	266	n/a	98%	98%	96%
AZ	98%	423	n/a	95%	100%	98%
Within Average Range						
UT	98%	234	n/a	97%	95%	100%
NY	97%	313	n/a	98%	98%	96%
KY	97%	300	n/a	98%	95%	98%
AL	97%	334	n/a	98%	100%	96%
IL	97%	254	n/a	97%	100%	97%
SD	97%	285	n/a	96%	98%	100%
MI	97%	283	n/a	97%	97%	98%
WI	97%	289	100%	94%	97%	97%
AR	97%	216	100%	96%	98%	92%
KS	97%	269	n/a	98%	96%	93%
PA	96%	427	n/a	97%	96%	96%
NV	96%	344	n/a	95%	99%	93%
IN	96%	576	n/a	n/a	95%	96%
OH	96%	387	88%	93%	98%	98%
HI	96%	199	n/a	89%	n/a	98%
NC	96%	353	95%	93%	98%	98%
LA	95%	278	97%	n/a	95%	95%
VA	95%	493	86%	95%	94%	96%
DC	95%	185	n/a	94%	n/a	89%
MA	94%	309	n/a	99%	94%	87%
MS	94%	281	n/a	95%	95%	94%
CT	94%	242	n/a	89%	94%	97%
CO	93%	303	n/a	87%	97%	91%
RI	91%	336	n/a	91%	86%	92%
MN	91%	243	n/a	89%	89%	91%
GA	91%	295	n/a	89%	97%	89%
OK	90%	209	n/a	89%	89%	91%
Significantly Below Average						
WA	87%	264	n/a	n/a	87%	86%
DE	81%	223	n/a	80%	88%	n/a
NCI Average	**95%**	**11,389**	**94%**	**94%**	**96%**	**95%**

Case Manager/Service Coordinator Asks What Person Wants
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
88%
8%
4%
Yes
Sometimes
No

Table 88. Case manager/service coordinator asks person what s/he want ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
TN	98%	319	n/a	98%	98%	98%
FL	95%	818	n/a	95%	96%	95%
AZ	95%	408	n/a	96%	90%	96%
IN	95%	533	n/a	n/a	94%	96%
AL	94%	324	n/a	94%	90%	95%
WA	93%	222	n/a	n/a	95%	95%
PA	92%	388	n/a	88%	88%	95%
Within Average Range						
WY	92%	228	n/a	91%	94%	92%
KY	92%	291	n/a	86%	95%	95%
AR	92%	212	93%	100%	93%	88%
KS	91%	250	n/a	91%	88%	94%
LA	90%	265	87%	n/a	93%	89%
IL	90%	239	n/a	93%	90%	86%
VA	89%	466	85%	91%	91%	87%
OH	89%	354	93%	78%	85%	93%
MN	89%	211	n/a	89%	88%	91%
UT	88%	223	n/a	87%	85%	93%
CT	88%	226	n/a	91%	89%	84%
CO	87%	280	n/a	84%	88%	86%
GA	87%	264	n/a	85%	82%	89%
NY	87%	303	n/a	85%	85%	88%
NC	87%	324	100%	85%	86%	91%
MS	87%	260	n/a	85%	86%	86%
SD	86%	272	n/a	86%	86%	83%
HI	86%	180	n/a	78%	n/a	89%
RI	86%	302	n/a	94%	90%	82%
MO	86%	247	n/a	89%	85%	95%
WI	86%	269	74%	83%	85%	91%
OK	85%	179	n/a	90%	85%	78%
MA	85%	282	n/a	84%	83%	85%
NV	84%	315	n/a	80%	83%	97%
MI	84%	265	n/a	82%	88%	82%
DC	82%	167	n/a	89%	n/a	84%
Significantly Below Average						
ME	78%	262	n/a	74%	91%	71%
VT	74%	257	n/a	n/a	79%	61%
DE	70%	171	n/a	68%	71%	n/a
NCI Average	**88%**	**10,576**	**89%**	**87%**	**88%**	**89%**


Able to Contact Case Manager/Service Coordinator When Wants
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
87%
6%
7%
Yes
Sometimes
No

Table 89. Able to contact case manager/service coordinator when wants ('yes' responses only) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
TN	97%	322	n/a	96%	98%	98%
IN	96%	472	n/a	n/a	97%	97%
AZ	96%	410	n/a	95%	98%	96%
LA	93%	260	97%	n/a	92%	93%
FL	93%	773	n/a	90%	93%	94%
Within Average Range						
WI	91%	278	91%	90%	91%	93%
OH	91%	353	93%	91%	87%	94%
KY	91%	293	n/a	82%	95%	94%
NY	91%	278	n/a	88%	89%	95%
AR	90%	214	96%	85%	95%	86%
ME	90%	196	n/a	88%	98%	89%
CO	90%	292	n/a	94%	93%	84%
WY	89%	231	n/a	80%	94%	94%
MO	89%	212	n/a	88%	89%	n/a
SD	88%	278	n/a	87%	89%	94%
UT	88%	217	n/a	87%	88%	93%
WA	88%	181	n/a	n/a	89%	89%
MS	88%	265	n/a	87%	89%	91%
PA	88%	321	n/a	85%	91%	89%
RI	88%	308	n/a	91%	82%	86%
MN	87%	222	n/a	82%	96%	85%
NC	87%	315	95%	81%	98%	91%
GA	87%	219	n/a	85%	91%	87%
IL	86%	235	n/a	84%	90%	87%
AL	86%	302	n/a	80%	98%	90%
MA	86%	271	n/a	88%	89%	83%
KS	86%	230	n/a	84%	83%	96%
OK	85%	195	n/a	82%	90%	87%
CT	85%	226	n/a	75%	88%	93%
MI	84%	276	n/a	79%	87%	86%
VA	83%	438	88%	87%	81%	76%
HI	82%	181	n/a	76%	n/a	83%
DC	82%	172	n/a	92%	n/a	79%
Significantly Below Average						
NV	76%	336	n/a	71%	81%	79%
VT	73%	203	n/a	n/a	71%	62%
DE	61%	179	n/a	60%	64%	n/a
NCI Average	**87%**	**10,154**	**93%**	**85%**	**89%**	**89%**

±Item changed from previous years – question rephrased (see Appendix A)


Took Part in Last Service Planning Meeting
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
97%
2%
1%
Yes
Had the Option But Chose Not to
No

Table 90. Took part in last service planning meeting ('yes' and 'had the option but chose not to' responses) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AL	100%	324	n/a	100%	100%	100%
GA	100%	292	n/a	100%	100%	100%
IN	100%	503	n/a	n/a	100%	100%
WA	100%	250	n/a	n/a	100%	100%
KY	100%	280	n/a	100%	100%	100%
VA	100%	434	100%	100%	100%	100%
FL	99%	771	n/a	99%	100%	100%
Within Average Range						
LA	100%	254	100%	n/a	100%	99%
SD	100%	235	n/a	100%	99%	100%
IL	100%	234	n/a	100%	100%	99%
OK	99%	181	n/a	100%	100%	98%
HI	99%	178	n/a	100%	n/a	100%
AZ	99%	139	n/a	100%	100%	99%
MI	99%	229	n/a	97%	100%	100%
ME	99%	228	n/a	99%	100%	100%
PA	99%	334	n/a	99%	100%	100%
WY	99%	221	n/a	100%	100%	98%
RI	99%	299	n/a	100%	96%	99%
MA	99%	287	n/a	100%	100%	96%
NY	99%	286	n/a	99%	100%	98%
CO	99%	278	n/a	97%	100%	99%
MO	99%	238	n/a	100%	98%	100%
NV	99%	308	n/a	98%	99%	100%
CT	99%	206	n/a	100%	100%	96%
OH	98%	335	100%	96%	97%	99%
UT	98%	214	n/a	99%	95%	100%
MN	98%	202	n/a	99%	95%	100%
KS	98%	246	n/a	96%	100%	100%
NC	98%	333	100%	100%	95%	98%
DC	98%	174	n/a	98%	n/a	100%
WI	97%	257	95%	100%	98%	97%
AR	97%	181	100%	100%	98%	93%
TN	96%	325	n/a	98%	97%	93%
VT	96%	168	n/a	n/a	100%	98%
DE	96%	114	n/a	95%	n/a	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
MS	93%	184	n/a	94%	90%	96%
NCI Average	**99%**	**9,722**	**99%**	**99%**	**99%**	**99%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Knew What Was Being Talked About at Last Service Planning Meeting
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
83%
13%
4%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 91. Knew what was talked about at last service planning meeting (yes responses only) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AL	93%	317	n/a	91%	95%	95%
KY	93%	268	n/a	92%	98%	93%
NY	91%	273	n/a	87%	93%	94%
KS	91%	233	n/a	90%	90%	91%
FL	90%	751	n/a	89%	95%	88%
TN	89%	302	n/a	90%	88%	87%
Within Average Range						
LA	89%	244	83%	n/a	93%	89%
MA	88%	271	n/a	87%	91%	85%
IL	88%	225	n/a	86%	93%	88%
AR	87%	170	85%	n/a	90%	76%
IN	86%	484	n/a	n/a	92%	84%
MN	86%	189	n/a	82%	95%	84%
AZ	85%	130	n/a	78%	88%	87%
WA	85%	240	n/a	n/a	86%	84%
OH	85%	310	85%	81%	93%	79%
UT	85%	200	n/a	86%	87%	81%
WY	84%	206	n/a	83%	91%	80%
NC	84%	311	85%	89%	85%	84%
PA	84%	321	n/a	88%	95%	76%
MO	83%	213	n/a	86%	85%	n/a
VA	83%	406	86%	85%	94%	77%
GA	83%	283	n/a	76%	88%	81%
SD	82%	222	n/a	77%	87%	80%
NV	81%	286	n/a	82%	82%	76%
MS	81%	156	n/a	85%	91%	69%
HI	80%	169	n/a	80%	n/a	80%
DC	80%	167	n/a	78%	n/a	89%
CT	79%	192	n/a	83%	87%	70%
MI	79%	214	n/a	77%	82%	79%
DE	78%	101	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a
VT	78%	157	n/a	n/a	84%	79%
RI	77%	273	n/a	80%	80%	76%
WI	77%	243	n/a	63%	83%	72%
Significantly Below Average						
ME	73%	213	n/a	71%	78%	78%
OK	71%	161	n/a	80%	61%	62%
CO	71%	271	n/a	52%	85%	69%
NCI Average	**83%**	**9,172**	**85%**	**82%**	**88%**	**81%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Last Service Planning Meeting Included People Person Wanted
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
92%
5%
3%
Yes
In-between
No

Table 92. Last service planning meeting included people person wanted to be there (yes responses only) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
KS	97%	239	n/a	97%	97%	100%
TN	97%	316	n/a	94%	98%	97%
GA	97%	282	n/a	90%	98%	99%
FL	97%	757	n/a	95%	97%	97%
CT	96%	204	n/a	96%	100%	94%
PA	96%	322	n/a	95%	100%	96%
IN	96%	495	n/a	n/a	97%	95%
Within Average Range						
HI	95%	167	n/a	95%	n/a	94%
WI	95%	253	91%	93%	98%	94%
WA	94%	246	n/a	n/a	93%	94%
NC	94%	318	91%	96%	100%	95%
MA	94%	284	n/a	96%	87%	92%
LA	94%	243	77%	n/a	97%	99%
AL	94%	318	n/a	93%	93%	95%
VA	94%	429	100%	93%	97%	92%
KY	93%	281	n/a	88%	98%	95%
IL	93%	231	n/a	91%	97%	94%
MN	93%	202	n/a	95%	100%	82%
SD	93%	242	n/a	94%	94%	92%
OH	93%	324	93%	91%	90%	95%
MO	92%	219	n/a	89%	95%	n/a
NY	92%	270	n/a	88%	93%	97%
ME	92%	220	n/a	91%	93%	95%
RI	92%	285	n/a	95%	89%	94%
UT	91%	211	n/a	90%	95%	94%
WY	91%	215	n/a	88%	94%	90%
MI	91%	211	n/a	87%	91%	92%
AR	91%	172	80%	90%	92%	94%
AZ	89%	133	n/a	92%	88%	91%
NV	88%	303	n/a	86%	86%	100%
CO	88%	274	n/a	76%	88%	90%
VT	88%	155	n/a	n/a	94%	85%
DC	88%	161	n/a	87%	n/a	89%
OK	86%	169	n/a	84%	88%	91%
MS	86%	168	n/a	87%	84%	89%
Significantly Below Average						
DE	79%	106	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**92%**	**9,425**	**89%**	**91%**	**94%**	**94%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Able to Choose Services as Part of Service Plan
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
75%
19%
6%
Yes
Had Some Input
No

Table 93. Person was able to choose services they get as part of service plan (yes responses only) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
KY	93%	280	n/a	87%	93%	96%
UT	91%	204	n/a	87%	98%	91%
IN	91%	490	n/a	n/a	92%	91%
FL	89%	759	n/a	84%	93%	90%
TN	88%	321	n/a	92%	88%	81%
VA	86%	430	67%	87%	88%	88%
GA	85%	284	n/a	78%	88%	86%
LA	84%	251	78%	n/a	87%	86%
Within Average Range						
HI	82%	168	n/a	81%	n/a	80%
PA	81%	304	n/a	76%	89%	81%
KS	81%	243	n/a	77%	82%	86%
AR	81%	175	n/a	95%	82%	66%
ME	79%	217	n/a	77%	91%	85%
DC	79%	163	n/a	82%	n/a	83%
NY	78%	271	n/a	75%	88%	74%
IL	77%	226	n/a	74%	80%	81%
OH	77%	319	65%	83%	78%	75%
SD	77%	236	n/a	74%	81%	75%
WA	74%	231	n/a	n/a	79%	74%
WY	74%	213	n/a	62%	80%	84%
RI	73%	288	n/a	73%	74%	71%
AL	72%	319	n/a	68%	88%	72%
MI	72%	219	n/a	65%	75%	72%
MO	72%	208	n/a	56%	79%	n/a
NV	72%	301	n/a	71%	73%	76%
MA	71%	273	n/a	67%	78%	77%
NC	70%	308	52%	68%	74%	82%
CT	69%	201	n/a	64%	77%	73%
OK	67%	167	n/a	69%	75%	56%
MN	66%	197	n/a	62%	78%	70%
AZ	64%	132	n/a	59%	72%	61%
Significantly Below Average						
CO	63%	273	n/a	49%	72%	64%
WI	62%	250	62%	42%	65%	69%
VT	59%	163	n/a	n/a	78%	59%
DE	56%	95	n/a	56%	n/a	n/a
MS	55%	181	n/a	52%	63%	52%
NCI Average	**75%**	**9,360**	**65%**	**72%**	**81%**	**77%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Staff Show Up and Leave When They Are Supposed to
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
93%
5%
2%
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 94. Staff show up and leave when they are supposed to ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
TN	99%	326	n/a	99%	99%	99%
AL	98%	313	n/a	97%	98%	99%
AR	98%	200	100%	100%	98%	96%
AZ	96%	300	n/a	93%	90%	98%
IN	96%	500	n/a	n/a	97%	96%
FL	96%	746	n/a	93%	96%	97%
Within Average Range						
LA	96%	254	98%	n/a	96%	95%
IL	96%	225	n/a	95%	100%	95%
UT	96%	232	n/a	94%	98%	96%
OK	95%	185	n/a	96%	91%	97%
VA	95%	460	91%	96%	94%	95%
MS	95%	278	n/a	95%	95%	95%
WA	95%	237	n/a	n/a	93%	96%
OH	95%	312	97%	88%	96%	96%
WI	94%	204	100%	96%	92%	96%
KY	94%	283	n/a	87%	98%	98%
DC	94%	163	n/a	95%	n/a	94%
NV	93%	323	n/a	94%	91%	95%
PA	93%	312	n/a	97%	90%	91%
CT	93%	222	n/a	90%	94%	95%
ME	93%	266	n/a	95%	87%	93%
NC	92%	380	84%	95%	96%	90%
NY	92%	255	n/a	92%	87%	96%
SD	91%	253	n/a	94%	90%	92%
MO	91%	250	n/a	86%	93%	n/a
MN	91%	226	n/a	91%	89%	93%
VT	91%	217	n/a	n/a	91%	86%
MI	90%	218	n/a	86%	91%	93%
KS	90%	252	n/a	92%	91%	87%
CO	89%	267	n/a	83%	89%	87%
GA	88%	290	n/a	85%	89%	88%
WY	88%	215	n/a	84%	90%	96%
RI	87%	295	n/a	92%	86%	93%
HI	87%	178	n/a	86%	n/a	86%
Significantly Below Average						
MA	87%	263	n/a	83%	93%	93%
DE	85%	214	n/a	85%	86%	n/a
NCI Average	**93%**	**10,114**	**95%**	**92%**	**93%**	**94%**

Access

Publicly funded services are readily available to individuals who need and qualify for them.

There are four Access indicators:

1. Proportion of people who reported they always have a way to get places when they need to go somewhere
2. Proportion of people who reported they always have a way to get places when they want to do something for fun
3. Proportion of people who reported their staff have adequate training to meet their needs
4. Proportion of people who report needing additional services

Tables 94 through 98 present the results from these indicators. The corresponding charts show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions by state are displayed in Appendix D.


Has a Way to Get Places Needs to Go
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
93%
7%
1%
Yes, almost always
Sometimes
No, almost never

Table 95. Has a way to get places needs to go ('yes almost always' responses only) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
TN	99%	326	n/a	98%	99%	100%
AR	98%	226	96%	100%	97%	98%
OK	98%	210	n/a	99%	100%	95%
MA	97%	311	n/a	97%	96%	97%
IN	96%	567	n/a	n/a	96%	96%
Within Average Range						
CT	96%	240	n/a	98%	96%	96%
MN	96%	253	n/a	97%	94%	96%
OH	96%	390	91%	93%	94%	98%
AZ	95%	417	n/a	92%	98%	96%
WA	95%	261	n/a	n/a	93%	97%
RI	95%	340	n/a	95%	91%	95%
MO	95%	264	n/a	94%	95%	91%
PA	94%	435	n/a	100%	90%	95%
LA	94%	289	94%	n/a	94%	95%
NC	94%	428	95%	95%	86%	95%
ME	94%	280	n/a	97%	98%	87%
CO	93%	306	n/a	97%	93%	90%
HI	93%	198	n/a	89%	n/a	95%
DE	93%	228	n/a	92%	96%	n/a
WI	93%	294	96%	97%	89%	95%
AL	93%	334	n/a	96%	88%	91%
VA	93%	496	88%	95%	83%	92%
FL	92%	830	n/a	92%	93%	92%
SD	92%	277	n/a	92%	94%	83%
VT	92%	269	n/a	n/a	84%	96%
IL	92%	255	n/a	91%	94%	92%
MS	91%	295	n/a	92%	91%	88%
KS	90%	266	n/a	88%	87%	98%
UT	90%	234	n/a	90%	91%	88%
WY	90%	235	n/a	89%	90%	89%
DC	90%	193	n/a	93%	n/a	94%
NV	89%	344	n/a	90%	85%	93%
NY	89%	310	n/a	90%	86%	88%
MI	88%	284	n/a	80%	93%	90%
Significantly Below Average						
KY	85%	293	n/a	76%	84%	91%
GA	81%	301	n/a	83%	76%	83%
NCI Average	**93%**	**11,479**	**93%**	**93%**	**92%**	**93%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Able to Get Places When Wants to Do Something Outside of the Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
83%
15%
3%
Yes
Sometimes
No

Table 96. Has a way to get places when wants to go outside of home—like going out to see friends, for entertainment, or to do something fun ('yes almost always' responses only) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
TN	96%	327	n/a	92%	96%	99%
PA	93%	432	n/a	95%	90%	94%
AR	92%	225	71%	92%	96%	93%
MN	91%	253	n/a	95%	92%	88%
WA	91%	263	n/a	n/a	87%	94%
AZ	91%	424	n/a	82%	91%	94%
VA	88%	494	73%	88%	83%	95%
Within Average Range						
OK	88%	206	n/a	86%	98%	89%
HI	88%	191	n/a	88%	n/a	89%
OH	87%	388	83%	87%	87%	90%
MA	87%	309	n/a	85%	92%	89%
LA	86%	289	82%	n/a	88%	87%
KY	85%	297	n/a	75%	89%	92%
CT	85%	241	n/a	90%	82%	85%
MO	85%	264	n/a	85%	84%	82%
IN	84%	563	n/a	n/a	81%	86%
CO	83%	304	n/a	86%	86%	77%
KS	82%	264	n/a	77%	81%	93%
UT	82%	239	n/a	79%	80%	82%
NY	81%	301	n/a	80%	76%	84%
NC	81%	429	84%	74%	83%	87%
FL	81%	832	n/a	74%	84%	83%
SD	80%	281	n/a	78%	83%	81%
IL	80%	250	n/a	78%	77%	82%
DC	80%	192	n/a	82%	n/a	87%
WI	80%	289	79%	76%	78%	82%
RI	79%	335	n/a	85%	79%	77%
MI	79%	278	n/a	73%	77%	84%
AL	77%	332	n/a	79%	70%	77%
WY	77%	235	n/a	69%	81%	79%
Significantly Below Average						
MS	75%	291	n/a	73%	75%	76%
GA	74%	293	n/a	78%	73%	73%
NV	74%	339	n/a	73%	73%	77%
ME	73%	264	n/a	77%	76%	63%
DE	71%	219	n/a	67%	86%	n/a
VT	67%	254	n/a	n/a	71%	65%
NCI Average	**83%**	**11,387**	**79%**	**81%**	**83%**	**84%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Staff Have Right Training to Meet Person's Needs
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
90%
6%
3%
Yes
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 97. Staff have adequate training to meet the person's needs ('yes' responses only; proxy responses allowed but not asked if proxy is staff)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
TN	98%	354	n/a	97%	98%	98%
AR	96%	246	96%	100%	96%	93%
FL	94%	1,152	n/a	87%	97%	96%
Within Average Range						
OK	95%	177	n/a	96%	97%	94%
UT	94%	273	n/a	96%	96%	92%
LA	94%	313	94%	n/a	94%	94%
AL	93%	332	n/a	93%	93%	94%
IL	93%	256	n/a	93%	93%	92%
PA	93%	424	n/a	91%	92%	93%
MO	93%	245	n/a	89%	93%	96%
KS	92%	270	n/a	89%	93%	100%
MN	92%	307	n/a	92%	90%	92%
CT	92%	234	n/a	86%	92%	96%
AZ	92%	355	n/a	92%	85%	93%
HI	92%	218	n/a	92%	n/a	92%
WA	92%	311	n/a	n/a	90%	93%
SD	91%	275	n/a	94%	90%	94%
KY	91%	317	n/a	82%	93%	97%
ME	91%	292	n/a	91%	94%	91%
DC	91%	161	n/a	91%	n/a	100%
OH	91%	351	88%	90%	92%	90%
NC	90%	508	95%	87%	93%	90%
MS	90%	369	n/a	90%	94%	88%
VA	89%	566	89%	93%	88%	84%
MA	88%	277	n/a	87%	84%	89%
RI	88%	337	n/a	94%	77%	89%
GA	87%	292	n/a	83%	85%	90%
VT	87%	219	n/a	n/a	89%	84%
MI	87%	256	n/a	90%	89%	81%
WY	87%	261	n/a	87%	85%	88%
CO	86%	327	n/a	76%	91%	83%
WI	86%	266	73%	95%	84%	92%
Significantly Below Average						
IN	87%	735	n/a	n/a	87%	88%
NY	84%	299	n/a	88%	80%	82%
NV	83%	350	n/a	77%	88%	87%
DE	81%	193	n/a	83%	78%	n/a
NCI Average	**90%**	**12,118**	**89%**	**90%**	**90%**	**91%**


Additional Services Needed
Service Coordination, Case Management
13%
Respite or Family Support
16%
Transportation
26%
Job Assistance
26%
Day Services (Not Employment)
14%
Education
23%
Health Care Coordination
12%
Dental Care Coordination
17%
Housing Assistance
14%
Residential Support Services
12%
Social or Relationship
24%
Communication Technology
14%
Environmental Adaptations
9%
Benefits Information
10%
Other
26%
0%
20%
40%
60%
80%
100%

Table 98. Additional services needed (categories are not mutually exclusive; proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Service Coordination, Case Management	Respite or Family Support	Transportation	Job Assistance	Day Services (Not Employment)	Education	Health Care Coordination
AL	1%	3%	27%	59%	1%	14%	3%
AR	2%	7%	6%	13%	3%	13%	3%
AZ	5%	20%	26%	20%	9%	26%	11%
CO	20%	28%	35%	30%	18%	27%	15%
CT	15%	24%	37%	24%	21%	28%	16%
DC	3%	6%	26%	31%	5%	28%	6%
DE	38%	22%	24%	50%	20%	42%	18%
FL	3%	13%	14%	18%	8%	9%	5%
GA	11%	12%	26%	21%	3%	14%	11%
HI	4%	21%	23%	11%	5%	14%	4%
IL	5%	5%	12%	16%	4%	9%	5%
IN	1%	22%	21%	11%	10%	15%	6%
KS	45%	7%	33%	21%	36%	14%	44%
KY	3%	9%	20%	22%	9%	11%	5%
LA	2%	8%	11%	25%	4%	19%	7%
MA	11%	16%	15%	19%	12%	15%	7%
ME	3%	11%	15%	34%	5%	20%	2%
MI	7%	16%	31%	33%	22%	33%	10%
MN	26%	23%	44%	36%	23%	35%	17%
MO	6%	6%	16%	31%	11%	24%	10%
MS	13%	28%	34%	25%	15%	40%	18%
NC	15%	27%	23%	26%	14%	32%	13%
NV	28%	26%	49%	37%	30%	35%	33%
NY	24%	14%	33%	19%	15%	21%	30%
OH	20%	14%	31%	32%	23%	36%	15%
OK	16%	16%	34%	18%	13%	30%	5%
PA	6%	18%	22%	16%	12%	18%	6%
RI	19%	19%	38%	43%	23%	38%	22%
SD	29%	14%	51%	33%	19%	25%	25%
TN	6%	11%	8%	27%	2%	22%	2%
UT	6%	10%	13%	16%	8%	19%	7%
VA	8%	19%	19%	21%	13%	18%	9%
VT	13%	23%	22%	29%	35%	11%	3%
WA	15%	25%	25%	26%	16%	18%	13%
WI	17%	17%	42%	20%	14%	27%	14%
WY	32%	13%	29%	30%	21%	17%	22%
NCI Average	**13%**	**16%**	**26%**	**26%**	**14%**	**23%**	**12%**

±Item changed from previous years – question and response options rephrased (see Appendix A)

Table 99. Additional services needed, continued (categories are not mutually exclusive; proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Dental Care Coordination	Housing Assistance	Residential Support Services	Social or Relationship	Communication Technology	Environmental Adaptations	Benefits Information	Other
AL	2%	29%	8%	37%	4%	5%	2%	9%
AR	12%	6%	2%	14%	8%	7%	2%	54%
AZ	16%	14%	9%	19%	16%	11%	12%	35%
CO	13%	12%	13%	32%	16%	11%	17%	14%
CT	14%	14%	22%	31%	19%	11%	13%	19%
DC	9%	16%	5%	18%	17%	5%	1%	22%
DE	18%	23%	15%	44%	21%	4%	5%	9%
FL	17%	4%	5%	19%	7%	8%	2%	32%
GA	26%	8%	15%	8%	9%	0%	2%	12%
HI	14%	11%	2%	6%	9%	4%	7%	50%
IL	5%	8%	5%	12%	7%	3%	2%	55%
IN	5%	7%	17%	16%	9%	6%	4%	33%
KS	42%	7%	36%	20%	5%	7%	27%	13%
KY	3%	22%	5%	17%	8%	5%	7%	14%
LA	16%	18%	6%	20%	8%	13%	4%	39%
MA	8%	10%	12%	20%	11%	5%	9%	39%
ME	7%	12%	4%	31%	15%	4%	1%	48%
MI	21%	17%	21%	33%	17%	7%	7%	8%
MN	21%	24%	16%	30%	17%	17%	18%	4%
MO	19%	14%	8%	23%	14%	11%	8%	20%
MS	28%	10%	15%	22%	20%	22%	29%	8%
NC	16%	11%	13%	26%	21%	15%	7%	36%
NV	46%	36%	23%	42%	20%	9%	23%	27%
NY	27%	18%	9%	36%	12%	5%	30%	18%
OH	17%	21%	14%	28%	22%	13%	15%	23%
OK	6%	10%	10%	15%	18%	18%	10%	45%
PA	8%	13%	9%	18%	11%	9%	5%	26%
RI	19%	23%	21%	42%	27%	18%	21%	22%
SD	27%	23%	31%	25%	29%	12%	29%	6%
TN	1%	12%	4%	21%	18%	8%	3%	53%
UT	16%	7%	7%	15%	9%	9%	5%	38%
VA	36%	7%	10%	18%	22%	14%	4%	20%
VT	3%	9%	1%	28%	4%	1%	1%	25%
WA	18%	11%	9%	21%	12%	10%	8%	17%
WI	24%	17%	19%	29%	12%	19%	23%	23%
WY	20%	16%	24%	18%	13%	5%	8%	25%
NCI Average	**17%**	**14%**	**12%**	**24%**	**14%**	**9%**	**10%**	**26%**

±Item changed from previous years – question and response options rephrased (see Appendix A)

Health

People secure needed health services.

The Health indicators are collected in the Background Information section of the Adult Consumer Survey. The 10 core Health indicators are:

1. Proportion of people who were reported to have a primary care practioner
2. Proportion of people who were reported to be in poor health
3. Proportion of people who were reported to have had a complete physical exam in the past year
4. Proportion of people who were reported to have had a dental exam in the past year
5. Proportion of people who were reported to have had an eye exam in the past year
6. Proportion of people who were reported to have had a hearing test in the past five years
7. Proportion of women who were reported to have had a Pap test in the past three years
8. Proportion of women over 40 who were reported to have had a mammogram test in the past two years
9. Proportion of people 50 or over who were reported to have had a colorectal cancer screening in the past year
10. Proportion of people who were reported to have had a flu vaccine in the past year

Tables 99 through 108 present the results for these 10 core indicators. The corresponding charts show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.

IMPORTANT NOTE ON CHANGES TO THE SURVEY TOOL AND ANALYSIS. In examining the results included in the Health section, we found that a number of states had a large amount of missing data for these questions. States for which 25% or more of their sample were missing data for specific questions are indicated with an asterisk. The quantity of missing data can be a result of several factors. We do not know, however, whether the data are missing systematically or randomly; for example, for a specific state, are the data missing across the board for those in a specific waiver? If so, the resulting data are not representative of the entire sample or population. As a result, we advise caution when examining the health data.


Has Primary Care Doctor
98%
2%
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
Yes
No

Table 100. Has a primary care doctor or primary care practitioner (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DC	100%	346	100%	100%	100%	100%
WI	100%	391	100%	100%	99%	100%
MO	99%	398	n/a	100%	100%	98%
AL	99%	393	n/a	99%	100%	100%
Within Average Range						
MA	99%	417	n/a	99%	100%	100%
WA	99%	401	n/a	100%	100%	98%
NV	99%	393	n/a	99%	98%	100%
KS	99%	371	n/a	99%	99%	99%
SD	99%	362	n/a	100%	97%	100%
DE	99%	424	n/a	99%	100%	n/a
OK	99%	400	n/a	99%	99%	98%
HI	99%	395	n/a	98%	n/a	99%
RI **	99%	375	n/a	100%	98%	99%
MN	99%	409	100%	98%	100%	98%
CT	98%	341	n/a	99%	100%	98%
VA	98%	778	100%	98%	98%	97%
WY	98%	313	n/a	98%	99%	96%
NC	98%	817	96%	98%	100%	98%
NY	98%	497	98%	98%	97%	98%
ME	98%	397	n/a	98%	96%	98%
VT	98%	326	n/a	100%	98%	98%
FL	98%	1,442	n/a	100%	97%	98%
GA	98%	462	n/a	99%	98%	97%
IN	98%	827	n/a	100%	99%	97%
KY	98%	450	n/a	96%	96%	99%
MI	97%	431	n/a	98%	96%	97%
AR	97%	390	98%	97%	98%	97%
UT	97%	386	100%	98%	96%	97%
OH	97%	593	98%	97%	99%	96%
PA	97%	627	98%	96%	96%	98%
LA	97%	433	94%	n/a	97%	99%
IL	97%	393	n/a	98%	97%	97%
AZ	97%	463	n/a	98%	93%	98%
MS	97%	395	n/a	97%	97%	97%
TN	96%	435	n/a	98%	95%	95%
CO	96%	405	n/a	99%	96%	94%
NCI Average	**98%**	**17,076**	**98%**	**99%**	**98%**	**98%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)


Overall Health
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
19%
48%
30%
3%
Excellent
Very Good
Fairly Good
Poor

Table 101. In poor health ('poor health' response only; proxy responses allowed)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
KY	7%	443	n/a	6%	6%	9%
Within Average Range						
WY	5%	303	n/a	5%	5%	7%
MS	5%	397	n/a	6%	2%	6%
OH	5%	537	9%	5%	6%	2%
MN	4%	403	7%	4%	2%	4%
TN	4%	433	n/a	4%	3%	7%
WI	4%	403	5%	2%	7%	2%
VT	4%	321	n/a	5%	8%	4%
KS	4%	353	n/a	5%	3%	2%
MO	4%	388	n/a	2%	4%	8%
SD	4%	334	n/a	6%	2%	3%
OK	4%	397	n/a	3%	4%	4%
DE	3%	372	n/a	3%	4%	n/a
VA	3%	806	5%	2%	0%	5%
IN	3%	815	n/a	n/a	2%	3%
AR	3%	368	2%	9%	4%	1%
ME	3%	376	n/a	2%	2%	5%
FL	3%	1,420	n/a	2%	3%	3%
UT	3%	387	4%	3%	4%	3%
MI	3%	427	n/a	4%	2%	2%
AZ	3%	465	n/a	2%	2%	3%
CO	3%	404	n/a	3%	3%	3%
LA	3%	423	2%	n/a	4%	2%
NV	3%	393	n/a	3%	2%	0%
WA	3%	396	n/a	0%	5%	1%
MA	2%	408	n/a	4%	0%	1%
NC	2%	739	2%	2%	0%	3%
PA	2%	660	6%	3%	4%	2%
RI	2%	449	n/a	3%	2%	2%
IL	2%	368	n/a	1%	3%	3%
HI	2%	374	n/a	3%	n/a	2%
DC	2%	390	3%	2%	0%	1%
Significantly Below Average						
NY	1%	483	2%	2%	0%	1%
CT	1%	323	n/a	1%	0%	1%
GA	1%	447	n/a	1%	1%	1%
AL	1%	376	n/a	1%	0%	0%
NCI Average	**3%**	**16,781**	**4%**	**3%**	**3%**	**3%**


Last Complete Physical Exam
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
89%
11%
Past Year
One Year or More

Table 102. Had a complete physical exam in the past year ('within the last year or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
OK	97%	400	n/a	97%	98%	96%
AR	97%	377	100%	94%	96%	95%
MO	96%	391	n/a	98%	96%	88%
SD	95%	353	n/a	98%	95%	87%
ME	95%	388	n/a	95%	91%	95%
CT	95%	316	n/a	98%	96%	89%
LA	94%	409	95%	n/a	94%	95%
FL	94%	1,438	n/a	96%	92%	93%
AL	93%	392	n/a	96%	100%	85%
NC	93%	774	97%	98%	80%	90%
MA	93%	406	n/a	95%	81%	90%
TN	93%	432	n/a	97%	94%	83%
PA	92%	604	91%	99%	84%	89%
Within Average Range						
NV	91%	383	n/a	94%	91%	78%
NY	90%	487	98%	94%	87%	81%
MI	90%	397	n/a	96%	91%	81%
UT	90%	361	100%	97%	86%	80%
IN	90%	809	n/a	n/a	94%	89%
GA	89%	454	n/a	93%	83%	89%
CO	89%	387	n/a	93%	81%	86%
KY	88%	412	n/a	91%	83%	87%
RI **	88%	319	n/a	95%	88%	80%
VT	88%	316	n/a	100%	80%	83%
VA	86%	749	94%	90%	80%	79%
IL	86%	372	n/a	94%	84%	74%
WA	86%	395	n/a	90%	83%	86%
OH **	85%	498	100%	90%	84%	77%
DE	84%	398	n/a	83%	85%	n/a
DC **	83%	268	83%	83%	91%	79%
Significantly Below Average						
KS	81%	363	n/a	86%	82%	72%
AZ **	81%	365	n/a	89%	85%	76%
WY	80%	314	n/a	80%	82%	79%
HI	76%	396	n/a	77%	n/a	75%
MN	64%	386	72%	80%	43%	40%
NCI Average	**89%**	**15,509**	**93%**	**92%**	**87%**	**83%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: The following states were not included in analysis due to low N (<20): MS and WI


Last Dentist Exam
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
46%
35%
19%
Within Last Six Months
Within the Past Year
One Year or More

Table 103. Had a dental exam in the past year (within the last year or less responses; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
SD	97%	346	n/a	96%	98%	96%
CT	95%	300	n/a	97%	96%	90%
MA	93%	401	n/a	95%	82%	93%
NY	91%	457	96%	97%	79%	82%
TN	89%	409	n/a	95%	94%	74%
DE	89%	390	n/a	90%	86%	n/a
RI **	88%	247	n/a	94%	83%	79%
AR	87%	318	99%	97%	81%	78%
OK	86%	388	n/a	89%	83%	86%
NC	85%	739	100%	90%	77%	80%
Significantly Above Average						
DC **	86%	249	86%	87%	95%	79%
VT	86%	295	n/a	n/a	81%	86%
MO	86%	374	n/a	89%	86%	69%
IN	85%	795	n/a	n/a	81%	85%
KY	84%	363	n/a	93%	74%	76%
PA **	83%	528	90%	95%	59%	79%
AL	81%	345	n/a	91%	87%	61%
KS	80%	312	n/a	84%	75%	81%
FL	80%	1,404	n/a	91%	78%	76%
OH **	80%	419	92%	91%	79%	68%
UT	80%	318	96%	84%	74%	72%
IL	80%	327	n/a	91%	69%	63%
ME	79%	369	n/a	80%	79%	83%
NV	79%	345	n/a	85%	75%	62%
WA	78%	399	n/a	90%	82%	76%
GA	77%	402	n/a	89%	69%	74%
WY	76%	306	n/a	77%	76%	78%
AZ **	75%	313	n/a	75%	75%	72%
LA	75%	357	98%	n/a	69%	57%
Significantly Below Average						
MI	74%	341	n/a	77%	75%	67%
MN	73%	396	80%	84%	60%	58%
VA **	72%	645	90%	76%	72%	63%
CO	71%	384	n/a	83%	65%	54%
MS **	64%	258	n/a	68%	66%	61%
HI	56%	319	n/a	50%	n/a	59%
NCI Average	**81%**	**14,558**	**93%**	**87%**	**78%**	**74%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI is not included in analysis due to low N (<20)


Last Eye Exam or Vision Screening
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
59%
25%
6%
3%
5%
2%
Within the Past Year
Within Past 2 Years
Within Past 3 Years
Within Past 5 Years
5 Years Or More
Never Had Test/Screening

Table 104. Had an eye exam in the past year (within the last year or less responses; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
TN	80%	396	n/a	87%	86%	55%
DC **	76%	187	68%	78%	n/a	76%
IL **	73%	298	n/a	83%	67%	49%
NV **	72%	307	n/a	74%	75%	62%
SD	71%	346	n/a	77%	73%	40%
AR **	70%	285	80%	89%	68%	51%
KY **	69%	304	n/a	86%	52%	53%
NY	69%	450	88%	73%	59%	61%
PA **	69%	454	70%	84%	52%	60%
MO	67%	375	n/a	77%	66%	55%
OK	66%	385	n/a	69%	75%	56%
DE	64%	351	n/a	66%	58%	n/a
GA **	64%	306	n/a	77%	66%	57%
LA **	61%	329	87%	n/a	47%	44%
MA	61%	376	n/a	64%	60%	54%
AZ **	61%	226	n/a	72%	73%	52%
CT **	59%	231	n/a	63%	73%	44%
KS **	59%	282	n/a	60%	62%	51%
MS **	58%	195	n/a	68%	64%	44%
RI **	58%	235	n/a	54%	65%	59%
WY	57%	297	n/a	58%	54%	58%
MN	56%	351	63%	64%	52%	39%
FL	54%	1,342	n/a	63%	54%	49%
VT	54%	250	n/a	n/a	53%	45%
VA **	53%	467	56%	57%	41%	46%
OH **	53%	393	83%	57%	46%	37%
IN	51%	772	n/a	n/a	58%	48%
HI **	50%	282	n/a	45%	n/a	48%
NC **	50%	647	60%	60%	50%	40%
WA	49%	346	n/a	48%	61%	41%
UT **	49%	202	62%	58%	41%	29%
AL	49%	313	n/a	57%	48%	32%
MI **	48%	293	n/a	50%	52%	43%
CO	41%	335	n/a	44%	51%	36%
ME	39%	356	n/a	40%	43%	31%
NCI Average	**59%**	**12,964**	**72%**	**66%**	**59%**	**48%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI is not included in analysis due to low N (<20)


Last Hearing Test
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
61%
26%
13%
Within Past 5 Years
5 Years Or More
Never Had Test/Screening

Table 105. Had a hearing test in the past five years ('within five years or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
CO **	99%	77	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DC **	98%	156	96%	100%	n/a	90%
TN	91%	354	n/a	95%	94%	80%
PA **	86%	310	97%	96%	77%	75%
IL **	78%	210	n/a	81%	n/a	69%
NY	77%	395	93%	84%	62%	62%
CT **	76%	152	n/a	81%	n/a	59%
DE **	73%	111	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a
HI **	71%	231	n/a	59%	n/a	75%
AZ **	70%	162	n/a	86%	n/a	64%
AR **	69%	217	86%	n/a	68%	39%
AL **	65%	168	n/a	74%	72%	45%
MN **	63%	230	n/a	65%	n/a	55%
MA **	61%	318	n/a	71%	59%	39%
OH **	61%	242	81%	70%	44%	47%
GA **	61%	218	n/a	66%	55%	57%
VT **	59%	181	n/a	n/a	64%	63%
RI **	58%	150	n/a	73%	45%	44%
NV **	58%	151	n/a	63%	54%	n/a
LA **	56%	251	81%	n/a	38%	34%
KY **	56%	179	n/a	56%	64%	50%
UT **	56%	133	96%	49%	n/a	35%
MO **	55%	249	n/a	56%	59%	26%
NC **	50%	485	76%	54%	36%	36%
SD	49%	278	n/a	51%	45%	37%
FL	48%	1,125	n/a	53%	42%	48%
MI **	48%	224	n/a	57%	40%	39%
ME **	47%	190	n/a	53%	45%	40%
VA **	46%	282	96%	48%	43%	31%
MS **	46%	144	n/a	58%	41%	43%
KS **	46%	149	n/a	52%	34%	44%
OK **	42%	375	n/a	40%	45%	45%
WY **	38%	197	n/a	30%	37%	47%
IN	32%	698	n/a	n/a	42%	29%
WA **	31%	284	n/a	n/a	37%	26%
NCI Average	**61%**	**9,276**	**89%**	**65%**	**52%**	**49%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI is not included in analysis due to low N (<20)


Last Pap Test (Females Only)
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
40%
18%
7%
5%
9%
20%
Within the Past Year
Within Past 2 Years
Within Past 3 Years
Within Past 5 Years
5 Years Or More
Never Had Test/Screening

Table 106. Had a Pap test in the past three years (among women; 'within the past three years or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DC **	100%	34	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	92%	124	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a
GA	83%	116	n/a	79%	82%	89%
NV	82%	102	n/a	88%	89%	n/a
MS	81%	145	n/a	81%	87%	76%
CT **	80%	92	n/a	88%	90%	65%
NY	79%	158	n/a	83%	82%	62%
AL	78%	147	n/a	85%	92%	57%
PA	76%	135	n/a	85%	n/a	58%
Within Average Range						
KY **	74%	97	n/a	83%	n/a	58%
LA	74%	111	86%	n/a	78%	63%
AR	72%	115	78%	n/a	79%	44%
TN	72%	103	n/a	76%	74%	n/a
AZ **	70%	57	n/a	n/a	n/a	59%
IL **	68%	85	n/a	71%	n/a	52%
OH	67%	118	70%	77%	81%	50%
WY	63%	105	n/a	75%	63%	48%
RI **	62%	82	n/a	73%	64%	n/a
SD	60%	128	n/a	46%	75%	n/a
MO	59%	119	n/a	66%	66%	n/a
VA	59%	182	n/a	61%	n/a	51%
VT **	58%	99	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%
MI	58%	120	n/a	59%	64%	44%
CO **	56%	54	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS **	52%	77	n/a	50%	78%	n/a
UT **	48%	60	n/a	76%	n/a	17%
Significantly Below Average						
NC	56%	247	76%	67%	71%	39%
FL	56%	541	n/a	65%	79%	41%
MA	55%	149	n/a	52%	67%	42%
ME	55%	108	n/a	38%	82%	n/a
MN	54%	102	n/a	62%	60%	n/a
WA	50%	114	n/a	n/a	63%	37%
HI	49%	110	n/a	n/a	n/a	30%
OK	46%	170	n/a	56%	72%	23%
IN	40%	308	n/a	n/a	56%	34%
NCI Average	**65%**	**4,614**	**77%**	**71%**	**75%**	**50%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI is not included in analysis due to low N (<20)


Last Mammogram (Females 40 and Older Only)
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
60%
16%
5%
4%
4%
12%
Within the Past Year
Within Past 2 Years
Within Past 3 Years
Within Past 5 Years
5 Years Or More
Never Had Test/Screening

Table 107. Had a mammogram in the past two years (among women age 40 and over; 'within the past two years or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
KY **	93%	45	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV **	90%	52	n/a	83%	96%	n/a
IL **	88%	59	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a
AL **	87%	103	n/a	93%	88%	75%
SD **	87%	67	n/a	88%	86%	n/a
MO **	85%	74	n/a	90%	88%	n/a
TN **	85%	94	n/a	88%	83%	n/a
CT **	84%	64	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a
GA **	84%	67	n/a	76%	90%	n/a
AR **	83%	58	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a
LA **	82%	61	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a
OH **	82%	66	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN **	80%	70	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a
AZ **	80%	25	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE **	80%	88	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a
NY **	79%	87	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a
MA **	79%	112	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a
VT **	78%	55	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
PA **	78%	80	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a
RI **	76%	55	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a
FL **	76%	225	n/a	76%	85%	68%
ME **	75%	83	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a
MS **	73%	70	n/a	65%	93%	57%
WY **	72%	53	n/a	72%	81%	n/a
NC **	72%	130	78%	75%	n/a	59%
VA **	71%	109	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a
MI **	69%	83	n/a	70%	91%	n/a
OK **	65%	80	n/a	69%	64%	n/a
UT **	61%	33	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN **	60%	173	n/a	n/a	78%	48%
WA **	60%	42	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a
KS **	59%	34	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a
CO **	55%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI **	53%	62	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**61%**	**9,276**	**89%**	**65%**	**52%**	**49%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: The following states were not included in analysis due to low N (<20): DC and WI


Last Colorectal Cancer Screening (Individuals 50 and Older Only)
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
21%
15%
8%
12%
12%
31%
Within the Past Year
Within Past 2 Years
Within Past 3 Years
Within Past 5 Years
5 Years Or More
Never Had Test/Screening

Table 108. Had a colorectal cancer screening in the past year (among people age 50 and over; 'within the last year or less' responses; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
NV **	44%	39	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a
KY **	36%	47	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NY **	36%	134	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a
PA **	36%	73	n/a	38%	n/a	n/a
GA **	34%	83	n/a	37%	38%	n/a
DE **	27%	79	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a
KS **	24%	66	n/a	23%	n/a	n/a
AL **	24%	83	n/a	24%	n/a	n/a
VA **	22%	123	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a
WA **	22%	69	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a
VT **	21%	82	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OK	20%	115	n/a	16%	28%	n/a
MS **	19%	77	n/a	31%	21%	n/a
NC **	19%	173	19%	13%	n/a	17%
TN **	19%	105	n/a	18%	23%	n/a
IN **	19%	178	n/a	n/a	25%	14%
IL **	18%	76	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a
UT **	18%	38	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI **	18%	98	n/a	20%	23%	n/a
FL **	18%	262	n/a	23%	17%	14%
OH **	18%	88	11%	9%	n/a	n/a
CT **	18%	91	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a
MO **	17%	104	n/a	19%	16%	n/a
LA **	17%	70	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR **	17%	53	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a
MA **	17%	142	n/a	18%	10%	n/a
SD	16%	108	n/a	13%	21%	n/a
RI **	15%	81	n/a	13%	13%	n/a
MN **	15%	89	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a
WY **	14%	56	n/a	17%	19%	n/a
ME **	14%	78	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a
CO **	12%	33	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI **	8%	26	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**21%**	**3,019**	**16%**	**23%**	**22%**	**15%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: The following states were not included in analysis due to low N (<20): AZ, DC, WI


Had a Flu Vaccine in the Past 12 Months
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
78%
22%
Yes
No

Table 109. Had a flu vaccine in the past year (within the last year or less responses; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Within Average Range						
MN **	100%	142	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a
DE **	97%	275	n/a	98%	n/a	n/a
SD	93%	346	n/a	94%	93%	89%
RI **	92%	264	n/a	98%	87%	86%
CT **	90%	201	n/a	94%	100%	61%
VA **	87%	538	98%	89%	77%	78%
UT **	86%	218	96%	95%	86%	70%
MO	86%	356	n/a	93%	87%	64%
IL **	84%	237	n/a	93%	n/a	56%
ME **	83%	260	n/a	86%	71%	78%
MA	81%	375	n/a	87%	76%	70%
CO	81%	392	n/a	93%	72%	72%
AR **	81%	301	92%	83%	72%	79%
DC **	80%	46	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
AZ **	80%	166	n/a	85%	n/a	73%
LA **	80%	266	99%	n/a	54%	66%
MS **	80%	174	n/a	84%	93%	71%
TN **	80%	344	n/a	86%	82%	68%
NY **	79%	403	83%	90%	82%	57%
KS **	78%	283	n/a	86%	84%	56%
OH **	78%	305	96%	87%	68%	60%
MI **	77%	303	n/a	87%	72%	63%
VT	77%	251	n/a	n/a	78%	62%
NC **	75%	614	99%	82%	57%	63%
PA **	74%	309	84%	86%	63%	62%
WA	74%	395	n/a	97%	76%	65%
AL **	72%	276	n/a	82%	75%	53%
KY **	72%	229	n/a	84%	59%	62%
OK	70%	392	n/a	75%	78%	55%
GA **	69%	338	n/a	74%	65%	65%
WY	66%	270	n/a	79%	63%	49%
IN	60%	793	n/a	n/a	63%	58%
NV **	60%	214	n/a	60%	61%	57%
FL	52%	1,316	n/a	67%	52%	45%
HI **	45%	238	n/a	36%	n/a	41%
NCI Average	**78%**	**11,830**	**93%**	**85%**	**74%**	**64%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI is not included in analysis due to low N (<20)

Medications

Medications are managed effectively and appropriately.

There is one Medications indicator collected using the Background Information section of the Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who take at least one medication for mood, anxiety, psychotic disorder, and/or behavioral challenges

In addition, NCI collects information on:

1. Proportion of people who were reported to take medication for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, psychotic disorders
2. Proportion of people who were reported to take medication for behavior challenges
3. Proportion of people reported to have a behavior plan
4. Proportion of people who take meds for behavior challenges who have a behavior plan

Tables 109 through 115 present the results for this core indicator. The corresponding chart shows un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.

IMPORTANT NOTE ON CHANGES TO THE SURVEY TOOL AND ANALYSIS. In examining the results included in this section, we found that a number of states had a large amount of missing data for these questions. States for which 25% or more of their sample were missing data for specific questions are indicated with an asterisk. The quantity of missing data can be a result of several factors. We do not know, however, whether the data are missing systematically or randomly; for example, for a specific state, are the data missing across the board for those in a specific waiver? If so, the resulting data are not representative of the entire sample or population. As a result, we advise caution when examining data that are indicated as having high rates of “don’t know” responses.


Takes at Least One Medication for Mood, Anxiety, Psychotic Disorder and/or Behavioral Challenges
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
55%
45%
Yes
No

Table 110. Takes at least one medication for mood disorders, anxiety, psychotic disorders, and/or behavior (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
MO	70%	393	n/a	69%	78%	29%
DE	67%	386	n/a	70%	60%	n/a
VA	66%	764	58%	79%	78%	44%
ME	64%	363	n/a	78%	55%	40%
TN	63%	424	n/a	76%	70%	36%
UT	62%	386	75%	86%	57%	38%
Within Average Range						
MA	61%	410	n/a	73%	44%	38%
RI **	61%	331	n/a	85%	71%	31%
NV	60%	391	n/a	68%	59%	30%
OK	60%	400	n/a	70%	69%	38%
KY	59%	433	n/a	77%	47%	45%
MI	59%	422	n/a	74%	57%	42%
KS	59%	374	n/a	67%	66%	40%
AR	59%	378	66%	51%	68%	37%
VT	58%	325	n/a	62%	67%	39%
WY	55%	306	n/a	73%	54%	24%
CO	55%	396	n/a	64%	41%	39%
NC	54%	798	50%	70%	51%	43%
LA	53%	436	65%	n/a	62%	42%
NY	53%	474	65%	66%	40%	34%
DC **	53%	256	66%	63%	62%	24%
IL	53%	394	n/a	67%	52%	36%
CT	52%	327	n/a	67%	47%	43%
OH	52%	570	72%	73%	66%	31%
AL	52%	382	n/a	65%	53%	33%
GA	51%	455	n/a	79%	54%	39%
MN	51%	397	61%	61%	47%	37%
PA	50%	611	46%	77%	40%	37%
SD	50%	353	n/a	54%	51%	22%
MS	47%	350	n/a	55%	62%	34%
Significantly Below Average						
WA	46%	399	n/a	67%	62%	30%
FL	45%	1,420	n/a	70%	46%	31%
IN	41%	816	n/a	55%	41%	40%
AZ	40%	451	n/a	64%	49%	30%
HI	30%	388	n/a	51%	n/a	15%
NCI Average	**55%**	**16,159**	**62%**	**68%**	**57%**	**35%**

State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%); **Note: WI excluded due to low N (<20)


Takes at Least One Medication for Mood, Anxiety, and/or Psychotic Disorder
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
50%
50%
Yes
No

Table 111. Takes medication for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
MO	67%	393	n/a	67%	75%	21%
VA	61%	774	52%	74%	70%	39%
TN	61%	423	n/a	73%	69%	34%
DE	61%	389	n/a	62%	60%	n/a
ME	59%	370	n/a	71%	51%	35%
UT	59%	391	75%	82%	57%	36%
MA	58%	413	n/a	72%	44%	33%
Within Average Range						
VT	57%	323	n/a	62%	67%	36%
RI **	56%	335	n/a	80%	68%	28%
MI	56%	422	n/a	71%	56%	38%
NV	56%	389	n/a	62%	57%	28%
KY	56%	436	n/a	74%	45%	41%
AR	55%	382	59%	50%	66%	36%
KS	55%	379	n/a	63%	65%	34%
OK	55%	400	n/a	66%	67%	29%
CO	53%	398	n/a	62%	39%	35%
WY	52%	308	n/a	68%	53%	21%
OH	50%	574	70%	72%	65%	28%
AL	49%	381	n/a	63%	51%	30%
NY	49%	483	58%	61%	40%	29%
LA	49%	437	61%	n/a	59%	35%
NC	48%	809	41%	64%	49%	38%
SD	48%	356	n/a	52%	48%	22%
DC **	48%	261	54%	58%	59%	20%
IL	47%	399	n/a	60%	52%	31%
CT	47%	334	n/a	61%	45%	36%
PA	46%	621	43%	73%	37%	33%
GA	45%	465	n/a	72%	52%	31%
MN	45%	397	57%	52%	39%	33%
Significantly Below Average						
WA	43%	402	n/a	55%	59%	28%
MS	40%	375	n/a	50%	56%	25%
FL	40%	1,424	n/a	61%	43%	27%
IN	38%	816	n/a	55%	39%	36%
AZ	36%	458	n/a	62%	43%	27%
HI	18%	397	n/a	30%	n/a	10%
NCI Average	**50%**	**16,314**	**57%**	**64%**	**54%**	**31%**

State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%); **Note: WI excluded due to low N (<20).


Number of Medications Taken for Mood, Anxiety, and/or Psychotic Disorder (If Taking At Least One)
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
69%
26%
5%
0%
1-2 Medications
3-4 Medications
5-10 Medications
11 or More Medications

Table 112. Number of medications taken for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section)

State	1-2 Medications	3-4 Medications	5-10 Medications	11 or More Medications	N
AL	68%	28%	4%	0%	188
AR	66%	30%	3%	0%	202
AZ	63%	30%	7%	0%	151
CO	68%	27%	5%	0%	204
CT	76%	21%	3%	0%	149
DC **	96%	4%	0%	0%	68
DE	72%	22%	5%	0%	203
FL	77%	21%	2%	0%	559
GA	70%	21%	9%	0%	208
HI	79%	20%	1%	0%	71
IL	78%	20%	2%	0%	183
IN	85%	15%	0%	0%	307
KS	66%	30%	4%	0%	206
KY	70%	26%	4%	0%	232
LA	66%	28%	5%	1%	209
MA	59%	31%	10%	0%	237
ME	69%	25%	6%	1%	189
MI	61%	32%	7%	0%	234
MN	68%	25%	7%	1%	169
MO	60%	32%	9%	0%	262
MS	78%	16%	6%	0%	134
NC	69%	25%	7%	0%	378
NV	61%	29%	9%	1%	216
NY	61%	33%	5%	1%	228
OH	70%	21%	9%	0%	267
OK	65%	29%	6%	0%	220
PA	66%	30%	5%	0%	277
RI	71%	25%	3%	1%	181
SD	62%	30%	8%	0%	169
TN	56%	35%	9%	0%	247
UT	63%	32%	5%	0%	222
VA	61%	29%	10%	0%	467
VT	68%	25%	7%	0%	182
WA	70%	27%	3%	0%	172
WY	73%	25%	3%	0%	158
NCI Average	**69%**	**26%**	**5%**	**0%**	**7,749**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI excluded due to low N (<20)


Takes at Least One Medication for Behavioral Challenges
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
26%
74%
Yes
No

Table 113. Takes medication for behavior challenges (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DE	47%	371	n/a	49%	41%	n/a
UT	36%	380	56%	58%	22%	17%
RI **	35%	330	n/a	59%	20%	14%
NV	35%	388	n/a	44%	28%	13%
AR	34%	374	43%	29%	37%	21%
VA	33%	744	29%	40%	32%	23%
MI	33%	419	n/a	48%	28%	21%
NY	32%	476	56%	41%	13%	19%
NC	31%	799	38%	43%	18%	23%
Within Average Range						
DC **	33%	238	48%	41%	n/a	13%
CT	33%	321	n/a	47%	20%	25%
KS	32%	368	n/a	38%	34%	19%
ME	30%	359	n/a	41%	12%	19%
MN	30%	393	29%	37%	24%	21%
IL	29%	388	n/a	41%	16%	17%
TN	29%	420	n/a	31%	38%	13%
MO	28%	384	n/a	28%	29%	14%
AZ	28%	447	n/a	52%	29%	19%
LA	27%	435	43%	n/a	25%	17%
CO	25%	385	n/a	45%	15%	12%
VT	22%	324	n/a	33%	19%	11%
KY	20%	426	n/a	27%	10%	18%
Significantly Below Average						
FL	20%	1,425	n/a	38%	15%	13%
PA	20%	599	24%	32%	9%	15%
AL	19%	381	n/a	27%	19%	9%
MA	19%	409	n/a	25%	4%	14%
WY	18%	305	n/a	31%	7%	7%
OH	17%	563	36%	23%	17%	9%
HI	17%	389	n/a	32%	n/a	8%
GA	15%	461	n/a	25%	17%	12%
MS	15%	334	n/a	19%	13%	13%
IN	15%	814	n/a	n/a	12%	16%
SD	15%	353	n/a	16%	12%	8%
WA	14%	402	n/a	23%	18%	9%
OK	13%	400	n/a	14%	7%	13%
NCI Average	**26%**	**16,004**	**40%**	**36%**	**20%**	**15%**

State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%); **Note: WI excluded due to low N (<20).


Number of Medications Taken for Behavioral Challenges (If Taking At Least One)
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
78%
18%
4%
0%
1-2 Medications
3-4 Medications
5-10 Medications
11 or More Medications

Table 114. Number of medications taken for behavior challenges (information comes from Background Information section)

State	1-2 Medications	3-4 Medications	5-10 Medications	11 or More Medications	N
AL	84%	15%	1%	0%	68
AR	66%	30%	4%	0%	122
AZ	73%	20%	7%	0%	111
CO	83%	15%	2%	0%	86
CT	81%	17%	3%	0%	103
DC **	92%	8%	0%	0%	37
DE	80%	18%	3%	0%	142
FL	81%	17%	3%	0%	280
GA	77%	21%	1%	0%	70
HI	67%	21%	12%	0%	66
IL	83%	15%	3%	0%	110
IN	88%	11%	1%	0%	121
KS	80%	18%	2%	0%	114
KY	83%	17%	0%	0%	83
LA	77%	19%	4%	0%	112
MA	72%	26%	3%	0%	78
ME	80%	14%	6%	0%	90
MI	74%	21%	4%	1%	132
MN	87%	6%	5%	1%	111
MO	75%	23%	3%	0%	106
MS	80%	16%	4%	0%	45
NC	76%	21%	3%	0%	241
NV	62%	33%	5%	0%	132
NY	66%	26%	8%	0%	145
OH	75%	19%	6%	0%	88
OK	82%	16%	2%	0%	51
PA	80%	16%	4%	0%	105
RI	81%	16%	3%	0%	107
SD	76%	22%	2%	0%	51
TN	69%	26%	5%	0%	112
UT	73%	22%	5%	0%	133
VA	80%	16%	5%	0%	235
VT	77%	16%	7%	0%	69
WA	90%	10%	0%	0%	58
WY	87%	11%	2%	0%	53
NCI Average	**78%**	**18%**	**4%**	**0%**	**3,767**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI excluded due to low N (<20)


Has a Behavior Plan
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
29%
71%
Yes
No

Table 115. Has a behavior plan (information comes from Background Information section) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DE	64%	418	n/a	67%	60%	n/a
DC **	58%	218	80%	67%	n/a	30%
KS	50%	369	n/a	63%	52%	27%
NV	50%	395	n/a	66%	41%	9%
AR	47%	387	57%	44%	53%	25%
CT	42%	338	n/a	76%	25%	14%
IL	42%	390	n/a	66%	28%	14%
UT	41%	400	54%	81%	21%	11%
OK	41%	400	n/a	52%	51%	15%
CO	39%	397	n/a	60%	22%	10%
Within Average Range						
VT	36%	326	n/a	62%	27%	14%
NY	34%	489	68%	52%	5%	8%
RI **	33%	349	n/a	61%	16%	11%
IN	32%	821	n/a	65%	41%	29%
PA	30%	605	43%	63%	14%	14%
TN	29%	441	n/a	34%	38%	9%
KY	27%	448	n/a	40%	25%	14%
WY	26%	314	n/a	39%	19%	9%
MI	25%	423	n/a	39%	29%	10%
LA	24%	434	58%	n/a	16%	7%
Significantly Below Average						
VA	23%	769	50%	28%	20%	13%
WA	23%	403	n/a	52%	45%	2%
SD	22%	361	n/a	27%	16%	8%
NC	20%	809	46%	29%	5%	8%
AZ	19%	459	n/a	60%	15%	5%
MA	19%	415	n/a	31%	4%	3%
AL	19%	384	n/a	28%	24%	4%
MO	17%	395	n/a	14%	21%	7%
FL	15%	1,430	n/a	35%	8%	7%
ME	14%	360	n/a	18%	12%	8%
HI	13%	401	n/a	18%	n/a	8%
OH	12%	603	52%	7%	12%	2%
GA	7%	471	n/a	19%	10%	1%
MS	6%	357	n/a	7%	2%	6%
NCI Average	**29%**	**15,979**	**57%**	**45%**	**24%**	**11%**

ºNew variable to reporting

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: MN and WI excluded due to low N (<20).


Has a Behavior Plan and Takes at Least One Medication
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
62%
38%
Yes
No

Table 116. Has a behavior plan (of those who take medication for a behavior challenge; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DE	98%	170	n/a	99%	n/a	n/a
DC **	94%	77	n/a	98%	n/a	n/a
AR	91%	127	88%	n/a	89%	n/a
NV	88%	133	n/a	94%	83%	n/a
IL	87%	111	n/a	98%	n/a	54%
KS	83%	116	n/a	95%	76%	n/a
CO	81%	95	n/a	90%	n/a	n/a
CT	81%	104	n/a	100%	n/a	46%
UT	76%	135	n/a	97%	n/a	28%
NY	74%	151	96%	86%	n/a	31%
Within Average Range						
VT	73%	71	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI **	71%	112	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a
PA	67%	115	n/a	89%	n/a	38%
IN	67%	121	n/a	n/a	85%	63%
TN	63%	119	n/a	61%	69%	n/a
OK	61%	51	n/a	72%	n/a	n/a
SD	61%	51	n/a	60%	n/a	n/a
KY	59%	86	n/a	65%	n/a	47%
AL	59%	71	n/a	64%	n/a	n/a
WY	57%	54	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a
AZ	57%	117	n/a	95%	n/a	19%
MA	55%	76	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a
MI	54%	136	n/a	61%	57%	37%
LA	54%	116	85%	n/a	37%	18%
WA	47%	57	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a
HI	47%	66	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
FL	51%	281	n/a	68%	29%	32%
NC	46%	250	94%	53%	n/a	15%
VA	41%	243	n/a	43%	n/a	27%
OH	40%	94	87%	n/a	29%	4%
MO	34%	104	n/a	28%	41%	n/a
ME	33%	99	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a
GA	32%	71	n/a	45%	n/a	7%
MS	21%	47	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**62%**	**3,827**	**90%**	**73%**	**61%**	**31%**

ºNew variable to reporting; **State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%); **Note:** MN and WI excluded due to low N (<20).

Wellness

People are supported to maintain healthy habits.

There is one Wellness indicator composed of three items:

1. The proportion of people who maintain healthy habits in such areas as:
    a. Exercise
    b. Weight
    c. Smoking

Tables 116 through 118 present the results for these three core indicators.

The corresponding charts show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.

IMPORTANT NOTE ON CHANGES TO THE SURVEY TOOL AND ANALYSIS. In examining the results included in this section, we found that a number of states had a large amount of missing data for these questions. States for which 25% or more of their sample were missing data for specific questions are indicated with an asterisk. The quantity of missing data can be a result of several factors. We do not know, however, whether the data are missing systematically or randomly; for example, for a specific state, are the data missing across the board for those in a specific waiver? If so, the resulting data are not representative of the entire sample or population. As a result, we advise caution when examining data that are indicated as having high rates of "don't know" responses.

One item, whether people take part in physical activity, was moved from the BI section (where information may be more likely to be obtained from state records or proxy respondents) to Section II (where information may come from the individual receiving services or a proxy respondent).


Does Physical Activity
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
44%
32%
23%
At Least 3 Times a Week
1-2 Times a Week
No

Table 117. Engages in physical activity ('yes, regularly at least 3 times per week' and 'sometimes, 1 or 2 times per week' responses; proxy responses allowed) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
HI	92%	390	n/a	91%	n/a	92%
DC	88%	389	89%	90%	81%	87%
NV	85%	388	n/a	84%	84%	91%
UT	83%	385	92%	85%	75%	83%
RI	83%	447	n/a	82%	84%	78%
MN	83%	400	75%	86%	82%	82%
Within Average Range						
ME	81%	383	n/a	74%	95%	81%
AZ	80%	462	n/a	77%	82%	81%
NC	80%	742	63%	84%	79%	86%
AL	80%	378	n/a	79%	80%	81%
WY	80%	312	n/a	82%	81%	74%
WI	80%	404	66%	85%	85%	79%
CT	79%	325	55%	75%	88%	83%
MA	79%	410	n/a	74%	79%	89%
TN	79%	434	n/a	84%	74%	80%
OK	78%	395	n/a	78%	76%	81%
GA	78%	449	n/a	76%	83%	77%
WA	77%	392	n/a	90%	81%	76%
IL	77%	377	n/a	73%	78%	83%
CO	77%	402	n/a	67%	92%	71%
SD	76%	333	n/a	74%	76%	82%
VA	76%	800	68%	80%	60%	73%
PA	76%	665	65%	74%	85%	74%
LA	75%	423	75%	n/a	79%	73%
OH	75%	534	71%	71%	78%	76%
MI	75%	429	n/a	68%	86%	75%
MS	74%	396	n/a	86%	78%	67%
IN	73%	814	n/a	n/a	72%	73%
VT	72%	324	n/a	67%	71%	72%
NY	72%	483	79%	65%	83%	73%
MO	71%	395	n/a	58%	75%	79%
AR	71%	373	70%	65%	74%	67%
KS	70%	344	n/a	66%	78%	70%
Significantly Below Average						
FL	69%	1,422	n/a	67%	73%	69%
DE	67%	366	n/a	67%	85%	n/a
KY	45%	447	n/a	44%	34%	51%
NCI Average	**77%**	**16,812**	**72%**	**76%**	**78%**	**77%**

±Item changed from previous years – moved from BI section to Section II (see Appendix A)


BMI Category
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
6%
31%
29%
34%
Underweight
Healthy
Overweight
Obese

Table 118. BMI category (BMI calculated using data on weight and height; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Underweight	Healthy	Overweight	Obese	N
AL	3%	25%	27%	45%	368
AR	5%	27%	32%	36%	328
AZ **	5%	44%	27%	23%	228
CO	6%	32%	32%	31%	392
CT **	5%	26%	40%	29%	212
DC	7%	33%	30%	29%	312
DE	3%	31%	30%	36%	397
FL	8%	35%	31%	26%	1,206
GA **	4%	36%	29%	30%	341
HI	6%	32%	29%	33%	382
IL	7%	30%	26%	37%	378
IN	5%	29%	27%	39%	781
KS	6%	28%	29%	37%	314
KY	7%	26%	24%	42%	434
LA	5%	29%	25%	41%	404
MA	4%	33%	29%	34%	411
ME	5%	26%	31%	38%	316
MI	6%	29%	31%	34%	379
MN	5%	34%	32%	30%	358
MO	5%	31%	29%	35%	366
MS **	6%	27%	27%	40%	301
NC	8%	31%	28%	32%	713
NV	3%	29%	29%	39%	397
NY	5%	34%	32%	30%	476
OH **	5%	32%	31%	33%	429
OK	9%	33%	25%	33%	400
PA **	5%	29%	33%	33%	374
RI **	7%	33%	28%	32%	358
SD	4%	27%	25%	44%	360
TN	4%	29%	30%	37%	404
UT	8%	35%	26%	31%	378
VA **	6%	33%	26%	35%	645
VT	5%	28%	30%	38%	324
WA	6%	36%	27%	31%	379
WY	6%	38%	27%	30%	301
NCI Average	**6%**	**31%**	**29%**	**34%**	**14,546**

**State had a large number of “don’t know” responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI excluded due to low N (<20).


Uses Nicotine or Tobacco
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
7%
93%
Yes
No

Table 119. Uses nicotine or tobacco products (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
KY	15%	443	n/a	16%	30%	6%
Within Average Range						
WY	11%	309	n/a	11%	18%	3%
TN	10%	437	n/a	11%	13%	4%
SD	10%	361	n/a	12%	9%	6%
OH	9%	567	5%	10%	20%	5%
KS	9%	367	n/a	3%	25%	3%
LA	9%	382	15%	n/a	12%	4%
CO	9%	399	n/a	1%	20%	4%
NC	8%	793	2%	12%	22%	6%
VT	8%	322	n/a	5%	16%	3%
PA	8%	586	2%	8%	24%	3%
AR	8%	365	3%	9%	14%	1%
MO	8%	394	n/a	4%	10%	5%
ME	7%	381	n/a	7%	15%	8%
DE	7%	412	n/a	6%	20%	n/a
IL	7%	376	n/a	7%	24%	4%
NV	7%	387	n/a	8%	9%	0%
WA	7%	392	n/a	0%	14%	3%
MS	7%	397	n/a	9%	9%	4%
MN	7%	409	0%	9%	19%	2%
OK	6%	400	n/a	9%	11%	0%
UT	6%	395	4%	7%	16%	2%
VA	5%	750	2%	7%	8%	4%
IN	5%	812	n/a	10%	11%	3%
AZ	5%	441	n/a	10%	7%	2%
NY	5%	488	2%	4%	15%	2%
MA	5%	413	n/a	6%	7%	1%
CT	4%	334	n/a	5%	6%	2%
AL	4%	386	n/a	4%	8%	3%
MI	4%	420	n/a	5%	7%	1%
RI **	4%	362	n/a	3%	12%	2%
Significantly Below Average						
FL	5%	1,417	n/a	5%	13%	1%
GA	4%	468	n/a	7%	10%	1%
DC **	2%	229	3%	2%	n/a	1%
HI	2%	293	n/a	3%	n/a	0%
NCI Average	**7%**	**16,087**	**4%**	**7%**	**14%**	**3%**

**State had a large number of "don't know" responses and missing data (at least 25%)

Note: WI excluded due to low N (<20).

Respect and Rights

People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community.

There are four Respect and Rights indicators:

1. The proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others, including:
    a. Proportion of people who reported people always knock before entering home
    b. Proportion of people who report having a key to their home
    c. Proportion of people who reported people always knock before entering bedroom
    d. Proportion of people who report they can lock their bedroom
    e. Proportion of people who reported they could be alone at home with visitors
    f. Proportion of people who report that there are rules about having friends or visitors
    g. Proportion of people who reported people never read their mail without permission
    h. Proportion of people who reported they can use phone and internet without restriction
2. The proportion of people who have a place to be alone in the home
3. The proportion of people indicating that most support staff treat them with respect
4. The proportion of people who have participated in a self-advocacy group meeting, conference, or event
5. The proportion of people who report having voted

Tables 119 through 130 present items from the Respect and Rights sub-domain. The corresponding charts show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.

IMPORTANT NOTE ON CHANGES TO THE SURVEY TOOL AND ANALYSIS. Several questions in this section were moved from Section II (where information may come from the individual receiving services or a proxy respondent) to Section I (where only the person receiving services may respond).


Has a Key to Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
46%
1%
53%
Yes
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 120. Has a key to the home ('yes' responses only; proxy responses allowed) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
WI	68%	394	50%	45%	88%	69%
SD	64%	318	n/a	40%	95%	50%
AZ	64%	461	n/a	24%	91%	77%
NV	62%	386	n/a	46%	85%	71%
WY	61%	310	n/a	36%	91%	64%
WA	57%	389	n/a	26%	70%	60%
GA	56%	411	n/a	28%	88%	58%
IN	56%	803	n/a	n/a	82%	50%
Within Average Range						
KS	52%	312	n/a	31%	79%	56%
RI	51%	442	n/a	24%	87%	58%
AL	51%	375	n/a	46%	80%	48%
OH	50%	511	6%	40%	69%	59%
MS	50%	381	n/a	36%	80%	46%
LA	49%	397	12%	n/a	84%	52%
CO	49%	382	n/a	26%	93%	57%
DC	47%	356	17%	52%	62%	43%
TN	47%	428	n/a	43%	53%	46%
MN	47%	380	28%	35%	94%	49%
CT	46%	300	n/a	18%	94%	61%
FL	46%	1,331	n/a	21%	88%	44%
AR	46%	349	6%	36%	85%	34%
PA	42%	641	8%	22%	90%	46%
VT	42%	313	n/a	20%	85%	56%
MA	41%	402	n/a	23%	91%	60%
MO	39%	386	n/a	16%	52%	34%
ME	39%	377	n/a	22%	83%	58%
Significantly Below Average						
NC	37%	719	5%	30%	93%	45%
UT	37%	368	8%	33%	83%	30%
MI	33%	421	n/a	5%	67%	50%
DE	33%	372	n/a	29%	78%	n/a
HI	33%	354	n/a	10%	n/a	45%
NY	33%	483	2%	19%	94%	39%
IL	31%	365	n/a	11%	84%	47%
KY	30%	440	n/a	8%	70%	43%
OK	28%	397	n/a	22%	58%	20%
VA	27%	784	7%	18%	83%	34%
NCI Average	**46%**	**16,238**	**13%**	**28%**	**81%**	**50%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Others Let Person Know Before Entering Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
89%
7%
4%
Yes
Sometimes
No

Table 121. Others let person know before entering home ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	98%	417	n/a	97%	95%	98%
TN	97%	327	n/a	96%	96%	99%
IN	95%	555	n/a	n/a	96%	96%
WA	95%	261	n/a	n/a	95%	95%
KY	94%	298	n/a	89%	95%	97%
WI	94%	279	87%	97%	92%	95%
FL	92%	838	n/a	87%	93%	93%
Within Average Range						
MN	93%	248	n/a	93%	94%	93%
LA	92%	285	83%	n/a	94%	95%
OK	92%	210	n/a	93%	87%	92%
MS	91%	313	n/a	88%	88%	97%
OH	91%	383	77%	89%	90%	94%
PA	91%	424	n/a	88%	90%	92%
MA	91%	306	n/a	88%	94%	96%
CO	91%	306	n/a	82%	90%	94%
NC	90%	427	68%	93%	92%	95%
KS	90%	269	n/a	86%	95%	94%
AL	90%	331	n/a	88%	100%	89%
VA	90%	489	91%	88%	100%	91%
RI	89%	342	n/a	93%	90%	90%
WY	89%	235	n/a	85%	88%	96%
CT	88%	242	n/a	87%	92%	91%
NV	88%	343	n/a	88%	89%	84%
DC	88%	193	n/a	94%	n/a	92%
AR	88%	222	56%	100%	91%	91%
MO	88%	260	n/a	75%	91%	n/a
HI	87%	191	n/a	75%	n/a	92%
IL	87%	257	n/a	81%	87%	94%
GA	87%	294	n/a	73%	94%	88%
VT	85%	269	n/a	n/a	89%	81%
UT	84%	236	n/a	82%	86%	88%
SD	84%	283	n/a	79%	89%	91%
Significantly Below Average						
NY	82%	306	n/a	77%	92%	83%
MI	82%	266	n/a	72%	86%	88%
ME	77%	274	n/a	72%	86%	77%
DE	75%	258	n/a	75%	78%	n/a
NCI Average	**89%**	**11,437**	**77%**	**86%**	**91%**	**92%**


Can Lock Bedroom
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
46%
4%
50%
Yes
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 122. Can lock bedroom if they want ('yes' responses only; proxy responses allowed) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
GA	76%	385	n/a	72%	91%	74%
AZ	67%	453	n/a	32%	72%	80%
FL	66%	1,317	n/a	56%	80%	67%
AL	64%	375	n/a	56%	90%	67%
MS	62%	379	n/a	80%	70%	50%
SD	61%	306	n/a	69%	63%	30%
NV	58%	340	n/a	55%	67%	56%
VA	53%	788	20%	58%	51%	51%
Within Average Range						
WY	55%	274	n/a	57%	63%	44%
TN	53%	418	n/a	52%	53%	54%
KY	53%	417	n/a	35%	79%	63%
AR	49%	365	19%	94%	65%	44%
HI	49%	337	n/a	48%	n/a	54%
KS	47%	277	n/a	46%	62%	35%
UT	46%	363	24%	52%	55%	40%
NC	46%	698	21%	52%	77%	45%
CT	45%	304	15%	39%	63%	51%
IN	45%	782	n/a	n/a	65%	39%
OH	44%	510	19%	55%	52%	45%
CO	44%	376	n/a	28%	64%	45%
DE	42%	351	n/a	39%	67%	n/a
MN	42%	383	26%	45%	64%	36%
MO	41%	360	n/a	31%	48%	27%
Significantly Below Average						
PA	40%	590	20%	35%	63%	40%
RI	39%	414	n/a	29%	53%	42%
LA	38%	407	17%	n/a	64%	38%
ME	38%	349	n/a	43%	38%	25%
WI	37%	343	46%	39%	41%	32%
OK	37%	395	n/a	44%	46%	22%
VT	36%	269	n/a	n/a	53%	30%
WA	35%	358	n/a	30%	50%	28%
IL	34%	352	n/a	27%	80%	34%
MA	33%	378	n/a	29%	59%	34%
MI	33%	413	n/a	29%	51%	27%
NY	32%	464	6%	27%	62%	37%
DC	31%	351	10%	36%	n/a	28%
NCI Average	**46%**	**15,641**	**20%**	**46%**	**62%**	**43%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Others Let Person Know Before Entering Bedroom
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
83%
8%
8%
Yes
Sometimes
No

Table 123. Others let person know before entering bedroom ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	95%	419	n/a	95%	95%	95%
KY	94%	292	n/a	91%	98%	95%
TN	92%	330	n/a	91%	92%	90%
CO	90%	292	n/a	89%	94%	83%
FL	87%	814	n/a	80%	91%	89%
Within Average Range						
WA	88%	244	n/a	n/a	92%	85%
WI	88%	274	87%	85%	88%	87%
NV	88%	338	n/a	88%	90%	79%
GA	87%	296	n/a	87%	93%	84%
LA	86%	274	83%	n/a	89%	86%
WY	85%	226	n/a	83%	91%	78%
VA	85%	485	85%	85%	91%	80%
DC	85%	186	n/a	91%	n/a	85%
NC	85%	430	74%	87%	87%	84%
PA	85%	403	91%	86%	87%	82%
KS	85%	247	n/a	82%	89%	81%
MN	85%	239	n/a	85%	91%	83%
MA	84%	299	n/a	84%	85%	83%
AR	84%	218	86%	100%	86%	78%
CT	83%	240	n/a	81%	85%	84%
IL	83%	242	n/a	85%	80%	81%
AL	82%	329	n/a	85%	88%	78%
MO	82%	249	n/a	76%	84%	n/a
RI	82%	338	n/a	89%	91%	69%
SD	81%	269	n/a	75%	88%	80%
OH	81%	381	86%	85%	79%	80%
MS	80%	290	n/a	81%	81%	79%
UT	79%	232	n/a	81%	79%	81%
OK	79%	201	n/a	87%	80%	63%
NY	78%	301	n/a	75%	93%	73%
MI	77%	253	n/a	74%	90%	65%
HI	76%	179	n/a	68%	n/a	76%
VT	76%	241	n/a	n/a	88%	75%
Significantly Below Average						
IN	78%	525	n/a	n/a	88%	74%
DE	74%	260	n/a	74%	79%	n/a
ME	73%	267	n/a	78%	75%	58%
NCI Average	**83%**	**11,103**	**85%**	**84%**	**88%**	**80%**


Others Read Mail or Email Without Asking
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
13%
87%
Yes
No

Table 124. Others read mail or email without asking first ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
DE	28%	205	n/a	29%	11%	n/a
HI	28%	166	n/a	14%	n/a	33%
RI	24%	316	n/a	22%	19%	24%
IL	22%	227	n/a	20%	23%	26%
Within Average Range						
DC	22%	149	n/a	15%	n/a	30%
UT	21%	212	n/a	23%	12%	21%
OK	21%	194	n/a	18%	30%	19%
CT	19%	213	n/a	19%	12%	24%
IN	18%	541	n/a	n/a	11%	20%
AL	17%	293	n/a	14%	11%	24%
MO	17%	233	n/a	18%	16%	n/a
OH	16%	352	25%	17%	14%	18%
MI	15%	261	n/a	19%	7%	17%
WY	15%	209	n/a	16%	14%	15%
MA	15%	282	n/a	20%	8%	13%
VA	14%	450	28%	14%	12%	12%
SD	14%	265	n/a	14%	15%	4%
NY	13%	265	n/a	15%	3%	19%
PA	12%	411	n/a	8%	8%	14%
NV	12%	319	n/a	13%	9%	16%
AR	11%	213	8%	8%	11%	16%
KS	11%	239	n/a	12%	4%	15%
CO	11%	283	n/a	14%	3%	15%
MN	9%	236	n/a	6%	4%	19%
WI	9%	283	5%	10%	6%	13%
GA	9%	273	n/a	18%	5%	6%
LA	9%	275	12%	n/a	13%	4%
Significantly Below Average						
FL	10%	770	n/a	10%	9%	10%
NC	8%	371	3%	4%	5%	14%
KY	7%	288	n/a	7%	2%	8%
MS	7%	274	n/a	6%	5%	7%
WA	4%	252	n/a	n/a	2%	5%
ME	3%	266	n/a	3%	0%	10%
VT	3%	253	n/a	n/a	0%	5%
AZ	2%	379	n/a	0%	2%	3%
TN	2%	289	n/a	1%	1%	2%
NCI Average	**13%**	**10,507**	**13%**	**13%**	**9%**	**15%**

±Item changed from previous years – question was moved from Section II to Section I (see Appendix A)


Can Use Phone and Internet Whenever Wants
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
89%
11%
Yes
No

Table 125. Can use phone and internet whenever they want ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
WI	97%	276	95%	100%	99%	94%
WA	97%	254	n/a	n/a	94%	100%
OH	95%	358	100%	95%	93%	96%
GA	94%	295	n/a	94%	97%	92%
MS	94%	276	n/a	92%	94%	94%
KS	93%	228	n/a	95%	90%	95%
IL	93%	239	n/a	93%	100%	92%
NV	93%	335	n/a	94%	93%	89%
Within Average Range						
MI	93%	269	n/a	87%	97%	96%
AR	91%	221	93%	88%	97%	81%
KY	91%	289	n/a	86%	93%	96%
MN	90%	242	n/a	87%	96%	92%
PA	90%	400	85%	95%	91%	88%
NC	90%	406	97%	84%	98%	90%
SD	90%	267	n/a	82%	96%	100%
CT	90%	224	n/a	81%	96%	94%
RI	90%	324	n/a	87%	89%	84%
VA	89%	482	94%	86%	97%	92%
OK	89%	201	n/a	91%	95%	85%
IN	89%	532	n/a	n/a	97%	86%
FL	88%	800	n/a	89%	88%	89%
MA	88%	303	n/a	88%	96%	85%
AL	88%	321	n/a	90%	86%	85%
UT	88%	230	n/a	85%	96%	85%
MO	88%	245	n/a	86%	88%	n/a
NY	87%	270	n/a	86%	98%	81%
CO	87%	285	n/a	92%	90%	92%
TN	87%	300	n/a	93%	85%	79%
LA	86%	279	79%	n/a	92%	86%
DC	86%	166	n/a	78%	n/a	88%
AZ	84%	402	n/a	78%	77%	87%
ME	84%	261	n/a	78%	94%	85%
WY	83%	220	n/a	71%	93%	87%
Significantly Below Average						
VT	80%	238	n/a	n/a	91%	84%
DE	76%	225	n/a	74%	89%	n/a
HI	75%	172	n/a	58%	n/a	77%
NCI Average	**89%**	**10,835**	**92%**	**86%**	**93%**	**89%**

±Item changed from previous years – question was moved from Section II to Section I (see Appendix A)


Can Be Alone With Friends and Visitors at Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
83%
17%
Yes
No

Table 126. Can be alone with visitors at home ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
WI	92%	270	96%	90%	97%	89%
GA	91%	281	n/a	88%	97%	90%
KY	91%	293	n/a	86%	98%	94%
MS	90%	274	n/a	90%	90%	88%
VA	89%	456	100%	85%	97%	92%
KS	89%	256	n/a	88%	88%	90%
AZ	89%	400	n/a	82%	81%	92%
PA	88%	379	n/a	83%	95%	90%
Within Average Range						
MI	88%	257	n/a	83%	92%	90%
DC	88%	171	n/a	92%	n/a	84%
SD	88%	275	n/a	85%	92%	93%
WA	88%	240	n/a	n/a	85%	86%
TN	87%	319	n/a	86%	90%	85%
AR	86%	216	81%	72%	87%	92%
IN	84%	519	n/a	n/a	91%	82%
FL	84%	765	n/a	77%	94%	81%
AL	84%	302	n/a	82%	93%	83%
MO	83%	242	n/a	84%	81%	n/a
UT	83%	235	n/a	80%	91%	83%
RI	83%	302	n/a	88%	96%	71%
OH	83%	358	88%	82%	80%	84%
CO	83%	281	n/a	86%	95%	77%
WY	82%	208	n/a	72%	93%	79%
MA	82%	284	n/a	80%	94%	71%
CT	81%	227	n/a	80%	90%	76%
NV	80%	323	n/a	76%	89%	78%
ME	80%	224	n/a	75%	91%	82%
OK	80%	192	n/a	80%	86%	78%
NY	79%	277	n/a	77%	93%	73%
MN	79%	232	n/a	68%	100%	79%
IL	78%	231	n/a	80%	90%	72%
Significantly Below Average						
NC	75%	383	78%	75%	93%	66%
LA	72%	269	76%	n/a	74%	69%
VT	72%	177	n/a	n/a	90%	69%
HI	70%	149	n/a	63%	n/a	76%
DE	64%	201	n/a	60%	83%	n/a
NCI Average	**83%**	**10,468**	**86%**	**80%**	**90%**	**82%**

±Item changed from previous years – question was moved from Section II to Section I (see Appendix A)


There Are Rules to Having Friends or Visitors in the Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
34%
66%
Yes
No

Table 127. There are rules to having friends or visitors at home º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AZ	87%	389	n/a	91%	67%	89%
NC	51%	345	52%	63%	30%	54%
DE	47%	184	n/a	50%	38%	n/a
NV	47%	318	n/a	61%	29%	37%
RI	43%	286	n/a	58%	31%	48%
Within Average Range						
HI	43%	149	n/a	50%	n/a	35%
OK	43%	188	n/a	47%	38%	38%
IL	43%	214	n/a	46%	10%	49%
CT	43%	207	n/a	51%	21%	47%
CO	41%	273	n/a	32%	15%	51%
WY	41%	209	n/a	54%	30%	39%
OH	38%	334	42%	44%	30%	43%
NY	37%	273	n/a	43%	13%	46%
LA	37%	246	40%	n/a	31%	39%
MS	36%	258	n/a	39%	35%	33%
VT	36%	177	n/a	n/a	23%	45%
AR	36%	211	54%	41%	33%	38%
KY	35%	293	n/a	44%	18%	36%
DC	34%	158	n/a	29%	n/a	33%
UT	34%	220	n/a	39%	24%	35%
MN	33%	227	n/a	46%	11%	27%
MI	32%	246	n/a	39%	30%	24%
VA	30%	412	15%	37%	19%	26%
SD	29%	263	n/a	33%	21%	37%
MA	28%	276	n/a	33%	14%	28%
ME	27%	214	n/a	29%	16%	31%
MO	26%	222	n/a	24%	27%	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
IN	27%	503	n/a	n/a	20%	29%
PA	23%	355	n/a	33%	10%	25%
WA	21%	230	n/a	n/a	17%	27%
GA	19%	276	n/a	31%	5%	20%
FL	19%	738	n/a	30%	8%	19%
KS	18%	238	n/a	18%	21%	16%
WI	17%	262	22%	34%	10%	18%
TN	16%	319	n/a	19%	15%	15%
AL	15%	300	n/a	17%	10%	16%
NCI Average	**34%**	**10,013**	**37%**	**41%**	**23%**	**35%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Has a Place to Be Alone at Home
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
96%
4%
Yes
No

Table 128. Has a place to be alone at home ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
GA	100%	304	n/a	100%	98%	100%
AZ	99%	423	n/a	96%	100%	99%
MN	99%	251	n/a	98%	100%	100%
KS	99%	267	n/a	97%	99%	100%
CO	98%	307	n/a	100%	97%	98%
WA	98%	263	n/a	n/a	100%	98%
IN	98%	575	n/a	n/a	96%	98%
Within Average Range						
WY	98%	236	n/a	99%	97%	98%
ME	98%	281	n/a	96%	100%	100%
NV	97%	349	n/a	96%	100%	93%
MO	97%	268	n/a	94%	98%	100%
WI	97%	288	91%	100%	97%	98%
MA	97%	306	n/a	97%	100%	96%
CT	97%	246	n/a	98%	98%	97%
AL	97%	331	n/a	96%	100%	97%
FL	97%	856	n/a	94%	99%	97%
VT	96%	272	n/a	n/a	98%	96%
TN	96%	335	n/a	95%	98%	96%
LA	96%	290	87%	n/a	99%	98%
PA	96%	434	76%	97%	97%	97%
NC	95%	438	95%	93%	98%	97%
AR	95%	225	90%	100%	95%	94%
MS	95%	295	n/a	96%	96%	95%
IL	95%	256	n/a	92%	100%	96%
OH	94%	393	81%	93%	97%	97%
MI	94%	285	n/a	89%	100%	98%
UT	94%	239	n/a	94%	94%	96%
SD	94%	286	n/a	93%	96%	94%
KY	94%	298	n/a	87%	95%	98%
RI	93%	346	n/a	95%	92%	92%
VA	93%	486	72%	93%	100%	95%
DC	93%	185	n/a	97%	n/a	80%
OK	93%	211	n/a	95%	91%	93%
NY	91%	300	n/a	88%	98%	91%
DE	91%	259	n/a	91%	96%	n/a
Significantly Below Average						
HI	86%	199	n/a	69%	n/a	90%
NCI Average	**96%**	**11,583**	**85%**	**94%**	**98%**	**96%**

±Item changed from previous years – question rephrased (see Appendix A)


Staff Are Respectful
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
92%
7%
1%
Yes, All Staff
Sometimes or Some Staff
No

Table 129. Staff (at home, work, and/or day activity or program) are respectful ('yes, all staff' responses only) ±

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
TN	99%	329	n/a	99%	98%	100%
IN	97%	519	n/a	n/a	98%	98%
LA	96%	256	94%	n/a	97%	96%
VA	95%	483	97%	94%	97%	97%
PA	95%	347	90%	92%	96%	98%
FL	95%	762	n/a	91%	95%	98%
Within Average Range						
AR	96%	203	92%	100%	94%	98%
AL	95%	317	n/a	93%	98%	98%
AZ	95%	313	n/a	94%	80%	97%
IL	95%	231	n/a	93%	93%	98%
GA	95%	305	n/a	91%	94%	98%
WA	94%	244	n/a	n/a	90%	99%
CT	94%	229	n/a	91%	96%	96%
NC	93%	395	89%	94%	96%	93%
MI	93%	227	n/a	91%	97%	95%
WI	93%	220	83%	96%	96%	93%
KY	93%	284	n/a	84%	95%	99%
OH	93%	324	94%	90%	91%	95%
RI	93%	321	n/a	91%	92%	95%
UT	93%	233	n/a	90%	95%	95%
HI	92%	182	n/a	83%	n/a	94%
MN	92%	232	n/a	87%	98%	91%
NY	92%	265	n/a	88%	93%	94%
SD	91%	257	n/a	93%	92%	88%
DC	91%	167	n/a	97%	n/a	92%
KS	91%	256	n/a	90%	92%	96%
OK	90%	188	n/a	91%	90%	92%
CO	90%	278	n/a	83%	90%	94%
MA	90%	283	n/a	88%	89%	94%
ME	90%	273	n/a	89%	91%	92%
NV	89%	323	n/a	85%	91%	95%
VT	88%	223	n/a	n/a	86%	85%
MO	87%	256	n/a	80%	89%	90%
MS	87%	285	n/a	87%	86%	90%
WY	87%	212	n/a	75%	89%	98%
Significantly Below Average						
DE	79%	225	n/a	78%	79%	n/a
NCI Average	**92%**	**10,447**	**91%**	**90%**	**93%**	**95%**

±Item changed from previous years – question rephrased (see Appendix A)


Attended a Self Advocacy Meeting, Group, or Event
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
23%
5%
72%
Yes
Had the Opportunity But Chose Not to
No

Table 130. Have attended a self-advocacy event or had opportunity but chose not to ('yes' and 'had opportunity but chose not to' responses; proxy responses allowed)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
AL	49%	352	n/a	50%	81%	36%
SD	45%	314	n/a	51%	42%	35%
WY	42%	242	n/a	39%	57%	24%
HI	40%	351	n/a	55%	n/a	42%
NC	40%	544	70%	35%	48%	27%
CT	40%	284	n/a	37%	48%	41%
VT	39%	314	n/a	29%	46%	46%
MO	37%	321	n/a	28%	42%	38%
RI	36%	403	n/a	35%	43%	34%
Within Average Range						
KS	35%	298	n/a	30%	43%	33%
IL	31%	226	n/a	27%	52%	31%
MN	30%	360	29%	32%	24%	33%
UT	30%	354	84%	29%	31%	22%
LA	29%	342	29%	n/a	30%	27%
MA	28%	345	n/a	30%	28%	23%
OH	28%	485	21%	23%	40%	26%
ME	27%	358	n/a	30%	37%	22%
NV	27%	374	n/a	27%	24%	37%
MS	25%	316	n/a	25%	25%	30%
OK	25%	370	n/a	28%	38%	12%
VA	25%	661	19%	27%	45%	19%
MI	25%	372	n/a	20%	43%	22%
WI	24%	385	6%	16%	31%	24%
TN	24%	343	n/a	26%	24%	20%
AR	23%	270	24%	32%	27%	14%
NY	22%	370	13%	28%	33%	12%
Significantly Below Average						
IN	23%	756	n/a	n/a	23%	23%
CO	21%	382	n/a	17%	21%	25%
DC	20%	340	15%	25%	30%	14%
WA	20%	374	n/a	14%	26%	16%
FL	19%	1,260	n/a	15%	24%	20%
GA	17%	369	n/a	16%	14%	20%
DE	16%	322	n/a	16%	23%	n/a
KY	15%	362	n/a	17%	17%	14%
PA	13%	506	21%	12%	9%	12%
AZ	7%	362	n/a	8%	20%	4%
NCI Average	**28%**	**14,387**	**30%**	**27%**	**34%**	**25%**


Has Voted in a Federal, State, or Local Election
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
33%
6%
61%
Yes
Had the Opportunity But Chose Not to
No

Table 131. Has ever voted in local, state, or federal election, or had the opportunity and chose not to ('yes' and 'had opportunity to register to vote but chose not to' responses; proxy responses allowed) º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
NV	61%	371	n/a	60%	66%	60%
AL	55%	371	n/a	51%	75%	53%
KS	51%	298	n/a	49%	62%	49%
MS	51%	385	n/a	54%	64%	42%
MN	50%	394	36%	45%	77%	53%
RI	49%	431	n/a	43%	74%	48%
CO	49%	396	n/a	36%	70%	48%
AZ	48%	456	n/a	43%	43%	51%
IL	47%	339	n/a	42%	81%	45%
LA	47%	392	42%	n/a	57%	45%
OH	46%	523	24%	38%	59%	50%
MA	46%	387	n/a	43%	67%	49%
NC	45%	711	39%	47%	71%	41%
Within Average Range						
WI	46%	399	32%	26%	61%	40%
SD	42%	324	n/a	32%	52%	41%
DE	41%	320	n/a	39%	69%	n/a
PA	41%	646	22%	38%	65%	40%
WY	40%	302	n/a	37%	57%	24%
CT	40%	311	n/a	25%	75%	44%
WA	38%	378	n/a	24%	42%	38%
ME	37%	357	n/a	34%	57%	32%
FL	37%	1,356	n/a	29%	65%	31%
VT	37%	308	n/a	25%	52%	38%
DC	35%	358	23%	36%	43%	36%
MI	34%	408	n/a	22%	56%	32%
Significantly Below Average						
VA	32%	778	31%	31%	61%	25%
TN	30%	416	n/a	31%	32%	27%
IN	30%	814	n/a	n/a	46%	25%
GA	29%	404	n/a	18%	40%	33%
NY	29%	461	8%	27%	59%	26%
AR	29%	356	7%	41%	44%	23%
UT	28%	370	21%	31%	43%	20%
HI	28%	347	n/a	26%	n/a	33%
MO	20%	385	n/a	13%	24%	13%
KY	17%	413	n/a	11%	47%	17%
OK	14%	382	n/a	14%	25%	6%
NCI Average	**39%**	**16,047**	**26%**	**34%**	**57%**	**37%**

ºNew variable to reporting

Safety

People are safe from abuse, neglect, and injury.

There are two Safety indicators:

1. The proportion of people who report that there are places where they feel afraid or scared
2. The proportion of people who report having someone to go to for help when they feel afraid

Table 131 and Table 132 present the results for the four survey items measuring these indicators. The corresponding charts show un-collapsed averages.

All un-collapsed state data frequencies for these survey questions are displayed in Appendix D.


Places Where Person Feels Afraid or Scared
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
7%
3%
1%
7%
3%
Home
Day Program
Work
Walking in the Community
In Transport

Table 132. There is at least one place where the person feels afraid or scared º

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
ME	46%	281	n/a	54%	42%	36%
WY	35%	222	n/a	40%	30%	38%
CO	34%	302	n/a	22%	42%	35%
AR	34%	217	41%	36%	28%	37%
RI	31%	318	n/a	40%	24%	30%
Within Average Range						
HI	32%	155	n/a	32%	n/a	33%
KS	30%	222	n/a	29%	32%	27%
UT	30%	223	n/a	33%	30%	24%
MN	30%	247	n/a	34%	30%	27%
MA	29%	290	n/a	31%	33%	21%
IL	28%	246	n/a	25%	33%	31%
NV	28%	327	n/a	28%	22%	39%
VT	27%	261	n/a	n/a	35%	28%
MI	27%	267	n/a	31%	29%	22%
NC	27%	423	25%	22%	29%	29%
SD	27%	269	n/a	35%	17%	31%
DE	26%	251	n/a	25%	41%	n/a
CT	24%	222	n/a	28%	24%	20%
OK	23%	206	n/a	22%	22%	29%
WI	22%	285	24%	20%	22%	22%
OH	21%	377	17%	24%	24%	18%
IN	19%	549	n/a	n/a	18%	20%
PA	19%	413	20%	17%	20%	20%
WA	19%	246	n/a	n/a	21%	17%
VA	19%	462	16%	19%	24%	18%
MS	19%	286	n/a	14%	23%	17%
DC	18%	191	n/a	18%	n/a	20%
LA	18%	276	24%	n/a	12%	19%
NY	18%	290	n/a	21%	23%	13%
Significantly Below Average						
MO	16%	251	n/a	11%	20%	0%
TN	15%	309	n/a	18%	11%	16%
FL	14%	812	n/a	15%	18%	11%
AZ	13%	415	n/a	12%	14%	14%
GA	8%	272	n/a	11%	3%	8%
KY	8%	213	n/a	12%	0%	9%
AL	7%	326	n/a	9%	10%	4%
NCI Average	**23%**	**10,922**	**24%**	**25%**	**24%**	**22%**

ºNew variable to reporting


Has Someone to Go to for Help If Afraid or Scared
100%
80%
60%
40%
20%
0%
94%
3%
4%
Maybe, Not Sure
No

Table 133. Have someone to go to for help if they ever feel scared ('yes' responses only)

State	Overall in State	N	ICF/IID and Other Institutional Settings	Community-based Group Residential Settings	Own Home	Parent's or Relative's Home
Significantly Above Average						
TN	99%	334	n/a	99%	98%	99%
KY	99%	298	n/a	98%	100%	99%
AL	97%	328	n/a	94%	98%	100%
Within Average Range						
MO	96%	253	n/a	96%	95%	n/a
OH	96%	379	94%	96%	95%	97%
AZ	96%	420	n/a	91%	93%	97%
ME	96%	290	n/a	97%	96%	98%
WA	96%	259	n/a	n/a	96%	98%
LA	96%	282	97%	n/a	96%	95%
GA	96%	295	n/a	92%	97%	96%
NC	96%	425	95%	96%	95%	96%
MN	95%	247	n/a	97%	92%	96%
WI	95%	285	96%	93%	93%	98%
IN	95%	560	n/a	n/a	95%	95%
PA	95%	416	n/a	94%	96%	95%
FL	95%	838	n/a	94%	96%	94%
MA	95%	294	n/a	97%	92%	91%
VA	94%	488	88%	95%	94%	95%
SD	94%	280	n/a	95%	93%	100%
OK	94%	209	n/a	96%	89%	96%
IL	94%	241	n/a	92%	97%	96%
UT	94%	238	n/a	97%	93%	91%
KS	94%	265	n/a	94%	97%	94%
CO	93%	299	n/a	100%	91%	88%
NV	93%	340	n/a	90%	96%	93%
CT	92%	238	n/a	90%	92%	93%
NY	92%	311	n/a	89%	95%	95%
DE	92%	250	n/a	93%	86%	n/a
MS	92%	289	n/a	92%	94%	89%
RI	92%	344	n/a	88%	92%	93%
WY	92%	225	n/a	87%	98%	93%
AR	91%	220	86%	100%	92%	85%
DC	89%	187	n/a	91%	n/a	87%
VT	89%	265	n/a	n/a	94%	85%
MI	89%	271	n/a	89%	82%	92%
HI	88%	195	n/a	85%	n/a	88%
NCI Average	**94%**	**11358**	**93%**	**94%**	**94%**	**94%**

II. NCI History and Activities

This section briefly describes the history of the National Core Indicators and NCI Surveys

Overview of National Core Indicators

In December 1996, the National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services (NASDDDS), in collaboration with the Human Services Research Institute (HSRI), launched the Core Indicators Project (CIP). The aim of the project was to support state developmental disabilities operating agencies in the development and implementation of performance and outcome indicators—and related data collection strategies—so that they could measure service delivery system performance. This effort, now called National Core Indicators (NCI), strives to provide states with valid and reliable tools to help improve system performance and better serve people with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their families. Moreover, NASDDDS' active sponsorship of NCI facilitates pooled knowledge, expertise, and resources among the states.

In 1997, 15 states convened to discuss the scope and content of a potential performance measurement framework. Directors and staff from these 15 states worked to identify the major domains and sub-domains of performance, indicators, measures, and data sources. The original 61 indicators, developed through a consensus process, were intended to provide a system-level "snapshot" of how well each state was performing. The states were guided by a set of criteria that was designed to select indicators that were:

1. Measurable;
2. Related to issues the states had some ability to influence; and
3. Important to all individuals they served, regardless of level of disability or residential setting.

During this initial phase, data collection protocols were developed and field-tested, including a face-to-face Adult Consumer Survey (for individuals age 18 and older who were receiving services) and a mail-out Adult Family Survey (for families who have an adult family member living at home). Seven states volunteered to pilot test the indicators. Eight additional states served on the Steering Committee.

Since the initial field test, NCI has expanded its scope to include outcomes of services for children with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their families. In addition, NCI continues to develop and refine the indicators and expand state participation. For more information about NCI states, technical reports, and other resources, please visit http://www.nationalcoreindicators.org.

State Participation

During the 2015-16 data collection cycle, 45 states, the District of Columbia, and 22 sub-state entities participated in NCI. State participation is entirely voluntary, and the participating states are shown in the chart below. Not all NCI member-states participate in all surveys in each year.

Figure 1. NCI State Participation



The Core Indicators

The Core Indicators are the standard measures used across states to assess the outcomes of services provided to individuals and families. Indicators address key areas of concern, including employment, respect/rights, service planning, community inclusion, choice, and health and safety. An example of a Core Indicator would be, "The proportion of people who have a paid job in the community." To see the entire list of Core Indicators, please visit http://www.nationalcoreindicators.org/indicators.

Each survey instrument is designed to measure certain Core Indicators. While most indicators correspond to a single survey question, a few refer to clusters of related questions. For example, the indicator that measures Community Inclusion (the proportion of people who regularly participate in everyday integrated activities in their communities) is measured by several survey questions that ask about several separate community activities.

The current set of performance indicators includes approximately 100 consumer, family, system, and health and safety outcomes—outcomes that are important to understanding the overall health of public

developmental disabilities agencies. Indicators are organized across five broad domains: Individual Outcomes; Health, Welfare and Rights; Staff Stability and Competency; Family Outcomes; and System Performance. Each domain is broken down into sub-domains (see Figure 2). Four data sources are used to assess outcomes: the Adult Consumer Survey, three Family Surveys; NCI also produces a Staff Stability Survey (e.g., staff turnover).

The indicators have remained generally consistent over the last several years and thus can be used to analyze system-level trends over time. However, the NCI program is a dynamic effort that allows for measures to be added, dropped, or changed to reflect current and future priorities of participating states, so year-to-year comparisons should be made with caution.

The data collection tools used to gather indicator data are regularly refined and tested to ensure they remain valid, reliable, and applicable to current issues within the field. Details on the design and testing of this tool are provided in the next section of this report.

Figure 2 Adult Consumer Survey Indicators: Domains and Sub-Domains

Domain	Sub-Domain	Concern Statement
Individual Outcomes	Work	People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment.
	Community Inclusion, Participation and Leisure	People have support to participate in everyday community activities and do things they like to do.
	Choice and Decision-Making	People make choices about their lives and are actively engaged in planning their services and supports.
	Self-direction	People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services.
	Relationships	People have friends and relationships.
	Satisfaction	People are satisfied with the services and supports they receive.
Health, Welfare, and Rights	Safety	People feel safe and free from fear.
	Health	People secure needed health services.
	Medications	Medications are managed effectively and appropriately.
	Wellness	People are supported to maintain healthy habits.
	Respect/Rights	People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community.
System Performance	Service Coordination	Service are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning.
	Access to Supports	People have access to quality supports.

How NCI Data Are Used

The Core Indicators provide information for quality management and are intended to be used in conjunction with other state data sources, such as risk management information, regional level performance data, results of provider monitoring processes, and administrative information gathered at the individual service coordination level. States typically use the indicator data to inform strategic planning, produce legislative reports, and prioritize quality improvement initiatives. Some states use NCI as a data source for supplemental performance measures in their home and community-based services (HCBS) waiver quality management systems and include the information in support of evidentiary reports to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Many states share the indicator data with stakeholder groups such as Quality Councils and use the stakeholder feedback to help set priorities and establish policy direction. It is also important to note that states do not use the information to sanction service providers, nor do they use the results to remediate individual issues (unless specifically requested by the participant or required by law as in the case of suspected abuse, neglect, or mistreatment).

Caution and Limitations

This report does not provide benchmarks for acceptable or unacceptable levels of performance. Rather, it is up to each state to decide whether its score or percentage is an acceptable performance level. States that fall into the "below average" tier on any scale or indicator are not necessarily underperforming; instead, this placement indicates only that the state's scale score or indicator percentage is significantly lower than the average of all states—where "significantly" means "not due to chance." The results tables throughout this report displays states' scores relative to one another and show which states tend to have similar results. Notably, the difference between a "below average" state and the average across the other states may be very small, and it is up to public managers, policy-makers, and other stakeholders to decide whether a state's result relative to the NCI Average suggests that changes or further investigation are necessary.

Moreover, the NCI Average should not be interpreted as defining "acceptable" levels of performance or satisfaction. Instead, it represents a multi-state "norm" that describes average levels of performance or satisfaction across the participating states. In some instances, there are few significant differences among the states; this denotes that most states are performing similarly. Instances in which several states' results are especially high (statistically significantly above the average level) indicate the levels of performance or satisfaction achieved in those states might define a level of performance that may serve as a guidepost for other states.

Data from previous years are not presented in this report. Comparisons of results from year to year should be made with caution: even slight changes in wording or response options of certain questions may affect comparability of results from one year to the next; the mix of participating states differs

slightly each year and may affect the NCI Averages; and states draw new samples each year rather than following the same group of individuals.

III. Methodology

This section describes the protocol for administering the Adult Consumer Survey, including sampling criteria, administration guidelines, and surveying procedures.

Administration

A key principle of NCI is the importance of gathering information directly from service recipients. The indicators are meant to apply to all individuals receiving services from the state developmental disability agency, regardless of disability type, and NCI administration protocols dictate that every person selected into the sample be given an opportunity to respond (no one is prescreened or predetermined to be unable to respond). Moreover, survey questions should not be marked "not applicable" on the basis of a person's level of ability.

Information for the Adult Consumer Survey Sections I and II are collected via a direct conversation with the person receiving services. Additionally, background information is primarily collected from the individual's record. Section I questions, which pertain to personal experiences and require subjective responses, may only be answered by the individual receiving services. Section II of the survey—which consists of objective questions on the individual's involvement in the community, their choices, respect and rights, and their access to services—allows for the use of "proxy" or other respondents who know the individual well (such as a family member or friend).

Proxy Respondents

Studies have found that the greatest discrepancies between individual and proxy responses occur when the information being collected is subjective (i.e., related to how a person feels; proxies would be aware of the correct answers only if the individual had previously expressed his or her feelings)[4]. Questions relating to observable behaviors tend to have higher levels of agreement between individual and proxy. By excluding proxies, a large percentage of individuals (many of whom may be unable to respond) would be unrepresented in the data. Thus, the NCI project determined at the outset that proxy respondents would be used, though only for specific questions and only in situations where the individual him/herself either could not effectively communicate with the surveyor or chose to have a proxy respondent.

As mentioned, the use of proxy respondents for the NCI tool is limited to questions in Section II, which relates to observable and/or measurable items: Community Inclusion, Choices, some questions related to Respect/Rights, and Access to Needed Services. State records are also used to report objective data on an individual's health status and exam history as well as employment status; this information is collected in the Background Section of the survey.

There are also ways to reduce discrepancies that may arise. First, it is important to make the questions as accessible as possible to increase participation by all individuals. NCI attempts to make the language in the Adult Consumer Survey as easy to understand as possible and the survey tool includes suggested

[4] Magaziner, Jay, Sheryl Zimmerman, Ann L. Gruber-Baldini, J. Richard Hebel, and Kathleen M. Fox. "Proxy Reporting in Five Areas of Functional Status Comparison with Self-Reports and Observations of Performance." American Journal of Epidemiology 146.5 (1997): 418-28.

rephrasing for questions to facilitate comprehension. Secondly, it is important to establish a set of standards for proxy respondents. NCI aims to increase the reliability of proxy responses by allowing only those people who know the individual well (family, friends, staff, etc.) to serve as proxy respondents. To avoid conflict, service coordinators are not allowed to respond as proxies. Further, if both the individual and a proxy respondent answer a question, the individual's answer is recorded so long as his/her answer is deemed reliable by the surveyor. Surveyors also keep track of who responds to each question—the individual or the proxy—and the proxy's relationship to the individual.

Surveyor Training

States employ a variety of individuals to conduct the face-to-face meetings for the NCI Adult Consumer Survey. To avoid conflict, surveys cannot be administered by the individual's service provider, relative, personal case manager, staff member, or other close contact. Given this constraint, states may use university students, state staff, private contractors, employees of advocacy organizations, and individuals with disabilities and their families to conduct the surveys.

Standardized training for surveyors is provided by HSRI and NASDDDS staff via on-site surveyor training to new states and states with a significant change in surveyor staffing as well as through refresher trainings (via webinar) for states that have previously participated. Trainings consist of a detailed review of the survey tool and an overview of general surveying techniques. Trainers are given a packet of standardized materials to be used at the on-site training sessions. In each state, one or more 'Lead Trainers' who are familiar with NCI survey administration may train additional surveyors using standard guidebook and materials developed by HSRI staff.

An additional session reviews the process for entering survey data in the Online Data Entry Survey Application (ODESA).

States are responsible for monitoring the fidelity of the survey administration and accomplish this through methods including survey shadowing (surveyors paired and review scoring after the session, supervisor observes one or more sessions), and meetings of surveyors to review questions that emerge as surveyors complete their first few surveys.

Criteria for Exclusion of Responses

All individuals selected in the survey sample are given an opportunity to participate in a face-to-face meeting. There are no prescreening procedures. Exclusion of responses occurs at the time of data analysis by HSRI, based on the criteria described as follows. There is no threshold of questions that a respondent must answer for the survey to be considered complete. The total number of surveys used for analysis in 2015-16 was 17,682.

Surveys were excluded from analysis for Section I if:

1) The surveyor indicated that the individual receiving supports did not respond consistently to questions in Section I.
2) All answers to the Section I questions were either missing or marked as 'N/A' or 'Don't Know'.

Surveys were excluded from analysis for Section II if:

1) The individual receiving supports was marked as the respondent to all questions in Section II but Section I was deemed invalid (for one of the reasons above).
2) No questions were answered in Section II.

Responses for Section I

Only individuals receiving services may answer questions in Section I. As shown in Figure 3, among the entire 2015-16 sample the valid response rate to Section I was 70%; valid responses by state ranged from 50% to 91%.

Responses for Section II

Section II allows for multiple respondents who know the individual well (e.g., family, friend, support worker) to provide answers. If an individual's responses were excluded from Section I in the final analysis, responses from Section II were also excluded if the individual was the only respondent to Section II. For 2015-16, the valid response rate (proxies included) to Section II was 98%.

Sampling

Each state is instructed to attempt to complete surveys with a random sample of individuals age 18 and over who are receiving at least one publicly funded service in addition to case management. A sample size of 400 guarantees valid comparisons to be made across states with a 95% confidence level and a margin of error of +/- 5%, no matter how large the service population size. A 95% confidence level and a margin of error of +/-5% is mandatory for each state's sample to be included in this report. For states with smaller served populations, this total sample may be less than 400 to reach the 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error. Most states sample more than 400 individuals to account for refusals and surveys that may be deemed invalid. Figure 3 presents the number of surveys completed by state. A more detailed description of each state's sampling strategy can be found in Appendix C.

Why do we ask states to collect 400 surveys?

When NCI asks states to pull a representative sample of 400 surveys, the aim is to compile a dataset that can provide a high level of statistical accuracy. The goal is to create a dataset that **can be used to make accurate inferences about the entire population of people using public services in each state. To do this, we ask each participating state to pull a sample that reaches the 95% confidence level/5% margin of error threshold; for most states, this sample size is 400.**

A sample size that reaches the 95% confidence level/5% margin of error threshold means that if you sampled that number of people from the same population several times, 95% of your responses would fall within 5% above or below the actual percentages. So using this sample size, we can assume relative accuracy. The larger the sample size, the smaller the margin of error and the greater the accuracy.

Statistical accuracy is largely a function of sample size. The larger the sample size, the greater the statistical accuracy of results (lower margin of error). However, gains in statistical accuracy are not proportional to increases in sample size. There are diminishing returns. For example, for a large total population, if the sample size increases by 100, and then by another 100, and then by another 100, the statistical accuracy might increase by 5%, then by 3%, then by 1%.

As a result, the 400 sample size gives a statistical accuracy of ±5% and is considered the most "cost effective" sample size for large scale surveys. Meaning, you could sample more people but the increase in statistical accuracy that **those "extra" people would provide is minimal, and not worth the money.**

To play around with this, we recommend you check out **http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html**. NCI requires a margin of error at most 5% and a 95% confidence level for the Adult Consumer Survey sample. As you increase the population size (in our case, this represents the service population), you'll see that the recommended sample size does not increase proportionally.



http://answersresearch.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/Answers-Research-Magic-400-062315.pdf

Figure 3. Valid Surveys and Response Rates by State: 2015-16

State	% Valid Answers to Section I	% Valid Answers to Section II	Number of Valid Surveys Completed	% of Total Sample Size (N)
AL	84%	96%	399	2%
AR	61%	98%	397	2%
AZ	91%	100%	476	3%
CO	75%	100%	411	2%
CT	78%	98%	348	2%
DC	50%	99%	401	2%
DE	67%	91%	436	2%
FL	62%	99%	1,457	8%
GA	69%	99%	473	3%
HI	51%	99%	401	2%
IL	68%	98%	400	2%
IN	72%	99%	830	5%
KS	74%	97%	400	2%
KY	67%	100%	456	3%
LA	67%	99%	439	2%
MA	77%	99%	422	2%
ME	75%	98%	402	2%
MI	68%	100%	435	2%
MN	60%	96%	428	2%
MO	70%	100%	400	2%
MS	81%	97%	414	2%
NC	56%	99%	823	5%
NV	88%	100%	403	2%
NY	65%	99%	497	3%
OH	66%	100%	623	4%
OK	54%	100%	400	2%
PA	70%	98%	701	4%
RI	72%	97%	506	3%
SD	81%	96%	366	2%
TN	77%	99%	447	3%
UT	61%	98%	407	2%
VA	63%	100%	818	5%
VT	90%	100%	326	2%
WA	69%	99%	406	2%
WI	75%	99%	413	2%
WY	78%	99%	321	2%
Total	**Average: 70%**	**Average: 98%**	Total: 17,682	**100%**

IV. Data Analysis

This section explains the statistical methods used to analyze the Adult Consumer Survey data. It includes an explanation of how certain outcomes are "adjusted" for the purposes of making comparisons across states. This section also discusses scale construction and significance testing of results.

Data Management and Analysis

HSRI coordinates the NCI data management and analysis. States enter data into the Online Data Entry Survey Application (ODESA) system[5]; HSRI staff subsequently download the data into a data file. This data file is then "cleaned" (reviewed for completeness and compliance with standard NCI formats) and invalid responses are eliminated. Files from individual states are then merged into a single file. The merged file from 2015-16 was used for all analyses in this report. NCI uses SPSS software for data analysis.

Below is a summary of the statistical procedures used to analyze the Adult Consumer Survey data.

Outcome Adjustment

Outcome adjustment or "risk adjustment" is a statistical process used to control for differences in the individual characteristics of people who completed the NCI Survey across states. This procedure allows for more legitimate state comparisons by effectively "leveling the playing field." This analysis helps account for the fact that states have different eligibility definitions for services and may have samples with different characteristics. Only those indicators that are likely to be affected by individual characteristics were adjusted (e.g., indicators in the Choice and Decision-Making sub-domain). For example, a person who is older and has more cognitive limitations may be less likely to choose where they live. On the other hand, such characteristics should not affect whether a person has friends or staff who are respectful.

The indicators are risk-adjusted using the following characteristics: age, level of intellectual disability, level of mobility, and whether any behavioral supports are needed to prevent self-injury, disruptive, or destructive behavior. Risk adjustment was only done on items in the Choice and Decision-Making sub-domain for this report. In prior years, Community Inclusion items were also risk-adjusted; however, due to the change in types of questions asked, those items are no longer adjusted. In this report, results for indicators that were adjusted are labeled as such, and unadjusted results for these indicators are presented in Appendix D.

Outcome adjustment for the indicators in the Choice and Decision-Making sub-domain was performed using logistic regression. This statistical analysis produces a predicted value that one would expect to observe given the individual's characteristics. The state's average observed rate (i.e., the state average prior to risk adjustment) was adjusted by the average predicted rate to produce the risk-adjusted rate. As a result of this procedure, state differences in adjusted values reflect true state differences rather than differences due to the demographic or characteristic makeup of state service populations.

[5] Beginning in 2013-14, all states are required to enter NCI data into ODESA.

Scale Development

Scales are used to combine responses from multiple similar questions into one variable. In this way, we can measure an overarching concept rather than specific elements of the concept. For the Adult Consumer Survey, scales are used for the Choice and Decision-Making sub-domain and for Community Inclusion. To create a scale, statistical tests are required to ensure that the multiple items share common properties. Scales were created using factor analysis.

The Choice and Decision-Making sub-domain was divided into two scales: Life Decisions and Everyday Choices. These scales were created by averaging the items within those categories[6] for each individual. Higher scale scores represent higher levels of choice. These scales were also risk-adjusted.

The Community Inclusion scale was created by averaging the individual's responses to four of the questions relating to whether people went out in the community for particular activities. Higher scale scores represent higher level of community participation as indicated by taking part in these activities in the community. The Community Inclusion scale was not risk-adjusted.

Collapsing Data

For many of the indicators in this report, only "yes" responses are analyzed and reported for state to state comparisons and significance testing. However, there are several indicators for which the "yes" response was collapsed with the middle response (for example, a "sometimes" response, or a "some input" response) to form the "yes" indicator category, which was then analyzed and subsequently reported. For example, for choice indicators, responses "person chose" and "person had some input" were collapsed into one "yes, person chose" category. For those indicators, the two responses were considered to be equally indicative of a positive outcome. Appendix B contains the collapsing and recoding rules for all indicators and risk adjustment variables.

Significance Testing

In this report, for all non-adjusted items, each state's indicator score was compared to the NCI Average (the average across all NCI states estimates) for that indicator. These comparisons were conducted using t-tests.

The t-test analyses determined whether each state's percentage was:

1. Significantly higher than the other states' average (NCI Average, average of averages);
2. Within the average range (i.e., not statistically different from the NCI Average); or
3. Significantly lower than the other states' average.

[6] Indicators used for scales are listed in the corresponding sections.

A conservative cut-off point of $p < 0.005$ was used to determine significant differences. The placement of each state into one of these three groups is indicated in tables for each outcome.

Please Note: Statistical significance is influenced by the size of the state's sample. Therefore, it is possible that a state with a lower percentage but a larger sample will be classified as significantly above average while a state with a somewhat higher percentage but a smaller sample will not.

V. Appendices

Appendix A

Survey Changes 2014-15 to 2015-16

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
Demographics					
BI-3	Zip code			New question; Added question that automatically gets recoded as an urban/rural designation in ODESA. Zip is not saved	
BI-4	Date of Birth	BI-3	Date of birth	No change	
BI-5	Gender	BI-4	Gender	No change	
BI-6	What is this person's race and ethnicity?	BI-6	What is this person's race	In 2015-16 combined race and ethnicity	
BI-7	Does this person have a legal appointed guardian/conservator	BI-7	Does this person have a legal appointed guardian?	In 2015-16 added response option for those under guardianship but not sure if limited or full	
BI-8	If this person has a legal/court-appointed guardian, what is the guardian's relationship to the individual?			New question	
BI-9	Marital Status	BI-8	Marital Status	No change	
BI-10	Is this person a parent?			New question	
BI-10a	If the person has children under 18 years of age, does the child or children live with the person?			New question	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
BI-11	Is this person diagnosed with an intellectual disability (ID)?	BI-9	Is this person diagnosed with an intellectual disability (ID)?	No change	
BI-11a	If yes, what level of ID?	BI-9a	If yes, what level of ID?	No change	
BI-12	What other conditions are noted in this person's record?	BI-10	What other disabilities are noted in this person's record?	Changed word in question from "disabilities" to "conditions"	
BI-12a	What health conditions are noted in this person's record?	BI-10a	What health conditions are noted in this person's record?	No change	
BI-13	What is this person's primary language?	BI-11	What is this person's primary language?	No change	
BI-14	What is this person's preferred means of communication?	BI-14	What is this person's primary means of expression?	In 2015-16, used "preferred means of communication" as opposed to "primary means of expression"	
BI-15	How would you describe this person's mobility?	BI-13	How would you describe this person's mobility?	No change	
BI-16	Does this person have a primary care doctor or primary care practitioner?	BI-15	Does this person have a primary care doctor or primary care doctor?	In 15-16 used term "practitioner" instead of "doctor"	
BI-17	When was his/her last complete annual physical exam?	BI-16	When was his/her last complete annual physical exam?	No change	
BI-18	When was his/her last dentist exam?	BI-17	When was his/her last dentist visit?	2015-16: "exam"	
BI-19	When was the last time this person had an eye exam/vision screening?	BI-18	When was the last time this person had an eye exam/vision screening?	No change	
BI-20	When was the last time this person had a hearing test?	BI-19	When was the last time this person had a hearing test?	No change	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
BI-21	During the past 12 months, has this person had a flu vaccination?	BI-20	During the past 12 months has this person had a flu vaccination?	No change	
BI-22	How much does this person weigh?	BI-22	How much does this person weigh?	No change	
BI-23	How tall is this person?	BI-23	How tall is this person?	No change	
BI-24	Does this person use nicotine or tobacco products (e.g., cigarettes, e-cigarettes, chewing tobacco, etc.)?	BI-25	Does this person smoke or chew tobacco?	The question was made more specific.	
BI-25	If female, when was her last pap test screening?	BI-26	If female, when was her last pap test screening?	No change	
BI-26	If female, when was her last mammogram?	BI-27	If female, when was her last mammogram?	No change	
BI-27	When was the last time this person had a screening for colorectal cancer?	BI-28	When was the last time this person had a screening for colorectal cancer?	No change	
BI-28	If this person has a seizure disorder, how often do seizures occur?	BI-30	If this person has seizures, how often do they occur?	Slight wording change	
BI-29	Does this person currently take medications to treat mood disorders, anxiety and/or psychotic disorders?	BI-31	Does this person currently take medications to treat mood disorders, anxiety and/or psychotic disorders?	No change	
BI-29a	If yes, how many medications to treat mood disorders, anxiety and/or psychotic disorders does this person take?	BI-31a	If yes, how many medications to treat mood disorders, anxiety and/or psychotic disorders does this person take?	No change	
BI-30	Does this person currently take medications for behavioral challenges?	BI-31b	Does this person currently take medications for behavioral challenges?	No change	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
BI-30a	If yes, how many medications to treat behavioral challenges does this person take?	BI-31c	If yes, how many medications to treat behavioral challenges does this person take?	No change	
BI-31	Does this person have a behavior plan?	BI-31d	Does this person have a behavior plan?	No change	
BI-32	How long has this person lived in his/her current home?	BI-33	How long has this person lived in his/her current home?	No change	
BI-33	How would you characterize the place where this person lives?	BI-34	How would you characterize the place where this person lives?	Response options changed	
BI-33a	Is this person's residence owned or controlled by a provider agency?			New question	
BI-34	If this person lives in an Intermediate Care Facility for persons with ID/DD, a specialized institutional facility, or a group home, is it publicly or privately operated?	BI-34a	If this person lives in an Intermediate Care Facility for persons with ID/DD, a specialized institutional facility or a group home, is it publicly or privately operated?	No change	
BI-35	Is this person named on the lease?			New question	
BI-36	Does this person own his or her own home?			New question	
BI-37	Has this person ever lived longer than a year in an institutional setting such as a nursing home or ICF?			New question	
BI-38	What amount of paid support does this person receive at home?	BI-36	What amount of paid support does this person receive at home?	No change	
BI-39-BI-43 Employment matrix		BI-37-BI-40		Added BI-40: Paid small-group job in a community-based setting	This allowed people to report being involved in individual and group jobs separately.

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
BI-44	Is community employment a goal in this person's service plan?	BI-41	Is community employment a goal in this person's service plan?	No change	
BI-45	Is this person enrolled in school?	BI-42	Is this person enrolled in the public school system?	Response options in 15-16 delineated the type of enrollment (high school, vocational school, college, etc.). Response options in 14-15 were yes/no	
BI-46	Does this person receive paid time off?	BI-45	Does this person receive paid vacation and/or sick time at his/her job?	Wording changed	
BI-47	How long has this person been working at his/her current job?	BI-46	How long has this person been working at his/her current job?	No change	
BI-48	Is this person self-employed?			Self-employment was included as a response option in question BI-47 (What type of job does this person have?) in 2014-15	
BI-49	What type of job does this person have?	BI-47	What type of job does this person have?	Response options differ	
BI-50	Which of the following services/supports funded by the state (or county) agency does this person receive?	BI-48	Which of the following services/supports funded by the state (or county) agency does this person receive?	No change	
BI-51	Does this person receive either ICF-ID or Medicaid HCBS Waiver or State plan funding?	BI-48	Does this person receive either ICF-ID or Medicaid HCBS Waiver or State plan funding?	Response options differ	
BI-52	Does this person currently receive Medicare?	BI-32	Does this person currently receive Medicare?	No change	
BI-53	Is this person currently using a self-directed supports option?	BI-50	Is this person currently using a self-directed supports option?	No change	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
BI-54	If yes, who employs this person's support workers?	BI-51	If yes, who employs this person's support workers?	No change	
BI-55-BI-57-Behavioral support needs		BI-52-BI-54-Behavioral support needs		No change	

Questions not included in the 2015-16 ACS (that were included in the 2014-15 survey)

Ethnicity

Overall health moved to Section II

Pneumonia Vaccine

Physical activity questions moved to Section II

How often does this person require medical care?

Who owns or leases where this person lives?

Funding for employment/day supports

Did this person work 10 out of the last 12 months in a community job?

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
Home					
Q1-6	Home	9-14	Home	Moved to beginning Easier to understand, will typically begin with more positive response	
1	Do you like your home or where you live?	9	Do you like your home or where you live?	No change	
2	If In-between or No: What don't you like about where you live?			New question	
3	Would you like to live somewhere else?	10	Would you like to live somewhere else?	No change	
4	Do people let you know before they come into your home?	12	Do people let you know before they come into your home?	No change	
5	Do people let you know before coming into your bedroom?	13	Do people let you know before coming into your bedroom?	No change	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
6	Do you have a place to be alone in your home?	14	Do you have enough privacy at home?	Modified Easier to understand language	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution.
Deleted or Replaced Questions - Home **11. Do you ever talk with your neighbors?**					
Employment					
Q7-13	Employment/Day	1-8	Employment/Day	Moved to second sub-section Helps ease into questions	
7	Do you have a paid job in the community?	1	Do you have a paid job in the community?	No change	
8	If No, ask: Would you like to have a job in the community?	2	If No, ask: Would you like to have a job in the community?	No change	
9	Do you like working there?	3	Do you like working there?	No change	
10	Would you like to work somewhere else?	4	Would you like to work somewhere else?	No change	
11	Do you go to a program or workshop (program or center where other people with disabilities work)?	5	Do you go to a day program or do some other regularly scheduled activity during the day?	Modified Specific to non-inclusive day activity (later ask about classes/other activities)	Parallel comparisons cannot be made from previous years' data.
11a	Would you like to go more or less to the workshop (program or center)			New question Replaces former Q6. Helps determine level of satisfaction with activity and whether there are enough/too many hours devoted	
12	Do you take classes, training, or do something to help you get a job or a better job?			New question	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
13	Do you volunteer?	8	Do you do any volunteer work?	Modified Deleted 'work' per state/interviewer suggestion	
Deleted or Rephrased Questions- Employment **6. Do you like going there/doing this activity?** **7. Would you like to go somewhere else or do something else during the day?**					

Safety					
14	Are there any places where you feel afraid or scared?			Replaces former Q15-17. Question and response options changed to include more settings where people feel unsafe (e.g., during transport)	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution.
15	If you ever feel afraid, is there someone you can talk to?	18	If you ever feel afraid, is there someone you can talk to?	No change	
Deleted or Rephrased Questions - Safety **15. Are you ever afraid or scared when you are at home?** **16. Are you ever afraid or scared when you are out in your neighborhood?** **17. Are you ever afraid or scared at work or at your day program/other activity?**					
Relationships					
16	Do you have friends you like to talk to or do things with?	19	Do you have friends you like to talk to or do things with?	No change	
17	Do you want more help to make or keep in contact with your friends?			New Question Gets at whether people have support to make/maintain relationships	
18	Can you see your friends when you want to see them?	21	Can you see your friends when you want to see them?	No change	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
19	If no, why can't you see your friends when you want to?			New Question Help states determine whether there are actionable issues (e.g., staff, transportation)	
20	Do you have other ways of communicating with your friends when you cannot see them?			New Question Captures if people can keep in contact via technology	
21	Can you go on a date if you want to?	22	Can you go on a date if you want to?	No change	
22	Do you ever feel lonely?	23	Do you ever feel lonely?	No change	
23	Can you see or communicate with your family when you want to?	24	Do you have family that you see?	Modified Captures if people can keep in contact via technology; in particular, communication with family if the person does not live in the family home	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution.
Deleted or Rephrased Questions - Relationships **20. Do you have a best friend, or someone you are really close to?** **25. Can you see your family when you want to?** **26. Can you help other people if you want to?**					
Community Participation					
Q24, 24a, 25	Community Participation and Leisure			New Question Builds on Community Inclusion (Section II); asks more subjective if people do what they want and when m and if people have enough to do	
24	Are you able to go out and do the things you like to do?			New Question	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
24a	Do you get to do the things you like to do as much as you like?			New Question	
25	When you are at home, do you have enough things you like to do? (Do you have things to do so you are not bored at home?)			New Question	
Rights and Privacy					
26	Do people read your mail or email without asking you first?	64	Do people read your mail or email without asking you first?	Moved to Section I Determined that only individuals should answer	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution – specifically by looking only at surveys with a valid Section I and *only* answers given by the individual.
27	Can you be alone with friends or visitors at your home?	65	Can you be alone with friends or visitors at your home, or does someone have to be with you?	Moved to Section I Determined that only individuals should answer	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution – specifically by looking only at surveys with a valid Section I and *only* answers given by the individual.
27a	Are there rules about having friends or visitors in your home?			New question Gets at whether people can see friends *but* with rules/restrictions	
28	Can you use the phone and Internet when you want to?	66	Can you use the phone and Internet when you want to?	Moved to Section I Determined that only individuals should answer	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution – specifically by looking only at surveys with a valid Section I and *only* answers given by the individual.

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
Satisfaction					
29	Have you met your case manager/service coordinator?	27	Have you met your case manager/service coordinator?	No change	
30	Does your case manager/service coordinator ask you what you want?	30	Does your case manager/service coordinator ask you what you want?	No change	
31	Do you have a service plan?	28	Do you have a service plan?	No change	
32	Did you take part in the last service planning meeting?	29	Did you help make your service plan?	Modified Better reflects whether people take an active role in service planning	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution.
33	At the service planning meeting, did you know what was being talked about?			New question Determines whether preferred language was used and easy to understand terms.	
34	Did the service planning meeting include the people you wanted to be there?			New question Determines whether person has the people/advocates desired as part of meeting	
35	Were you able to choose the services that you get as part of your service plan?			New question Determines whether people are able to determine the services they want/need	
36	Are you able to contact your case manager/service coordinator when you want to?	32	If you call and leave a message, does your case manager/service coordinator take a long time to call you back, or does s/he call back right away?	Modified Easier to understand; more inclusive of various means to communicate (e.g., email)	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution.

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
37	Do you have staff who help you?	33	Do you have staff who help you?	No change	
38	Do your staff treat you with respect?	34	Do your staff treat you with respect?	No change	
39	Do your staff come and leave when they are supposed to?	35	Do your staff come when they are supposed to?	Modified Added “and leave”	
40	Do you have a way to get places you need to go (like work, appointments, etc.)?			New question Better gets at whether there is always transportation – specific to places person *needs* to go	
41	Are you able to get places when you want to do something outside your home, like going out to see friends, for entertainment, or to do something fun?	38	When you want to go somewhere, do you always have a way to get there?	Modified Better gets at whether there is always transportation – specific to places person *wants* to go	Comparisons to previous years’ data should be made with caution.
42	Are services and supports helping you to live a good life?			New question	
Deleted or Rephrased Questions - Satisfaction **Q31. If you ask for something, does your case manager/service coordinator help you get what you need?**					
Community Inclusion					
Q44-50	Community Inclusion	Q48-53	Community Inclusion	Modified (all) Now asks how many times a person went out, response options include frequency; still asks who the person typically goes with	
49	Do you participate in community groups or other activities in your community?			New question Helps get at whether people are integrated in their community	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
Deleted or Rephrased Questions - Community Inclusion **53. In the past month, did you go out for exercise?**					
Choice and Decision-Making					
Q51-59	Choice and Decision-Making	Q55-62	Choice and Decision-Making	No changes	
Rights					
60	Do you have a key to your home?			New question	Crosswalks to new HCBS Regulation.
61	Can you lock your bedroom if you want to?			New question	Crosswalks to new HCBS Regulation.
62	Have you ever participated in a self-advocacy group meeting, conference, or event?	67	Have you ever participated in a self-advocacy group meeting, conference, or event?	No change	
63	Have you voted?			New question Helps get at citizenship and rights	
Access					
64	Do you need any of these additional services?	68b	If additional services are needed, please note type of service or support below	Modified Combines former Q68 and Q68b	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution.
65	Do you feel your staff have the right training to meet your needs?	69	Do you feel your staff have the right training to meet your needs?	No change	
Deleted and Replaced Questions - Access **68. Do you get the services you need?**					
Health and Wellness					
66	Overall, how would you describe your health?	70	Overall, how would you describe your health?	No change	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
67	Do you exercise or do physical activity?		BI-24-24B	Moved from Background Information section. Data are not typically found in records, will get more accurate information from individuals/proxies	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution.

Self-Directing Module					
Q68-73	Self-direction	Q39-44	Self-direction	Moved to Section II open to anyone directing services (not just on a self-directing waiver) Allows for more respondents to these questions; questions are only asked if the person responding takes part in directing services	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution – in particular, data compared to previous years should note that these data include any person using a self-directed option *and* questions are only asked to those who take part in directing services.
68	Do you participate in decisions about how to use your supports budget, hiring staff, or managing the services you get?			New question This question will determine if the section should be asked – if no, don't know, or the person answering is staff, questions will not be asked	
69	Who makes decisions about how your budget for services is used?			New question Determines all people who help make decisions including person, family, someone else	
70	Do you hire and manage your staff?			New question Main tenet of self-direction	

2015-16		2014-15		Change Made	Note
71	Can you make changes to your budget/services if you need to?	41	Can you make changes to your budget/services if you want to?	No change	
72	Do you have enough help deciding how to use your budget/services?	42	Do you want more help deciding how to use your budget/services, or do you have enough help?	Modified Clearer question	Comparisons to previous years' data should be made with caution.
73	Do you get information about how much money is left in your budget/services?	43	Do you get information about how much money is left in your budget/services?	No change	
73a	If yes, is the information easy to understand?	44	If yes, is the information easy to understand?	No change	
Deleted and Replaced Questions - Self-Direction **39. Does someone talk with you about your budget and the services you can get?** **40. Is there someone who helps you decide how to use your budget/services?**					

Appendix B

Rules for Recoding and Combining Variables to Compute Core Indicators

Sub-Domain	Table label	Updated for 15-16	Variable name used in calculation	How its filtered	Tested for significance?
Demographics	Urban/Rural	New for 15-16	BIZIP	Don't Know shown	
	Age (Variable used for risk adjustment)		AGE		
	Age Category	New for 15-16	Age_categ *Categorical variable created for the following categories:* • *18 thru 22* • *23 thru 34* • *35 thru 54* • *55 thru 74* • *75 and above.* • *Unknown*		
	Gender		GENDER		
	Marital Status		MARSTAT	Don't Know shown	
	Person is a parent	New for 15-16	PARENT15_1 PARENT15_2 PARENT15_3 PARENT15_99	Don't Know shown	

	Race	Race and ethnicity combined into one variable for 15-16	RACE *Categorical variable created using variables:* *RACE151* *RACE152* *RACE153* *RACE154* *RACE155* *RACE156* *RACE157* *RACE1599* *"Two or more races" variable created by identifying the cases that have at least two races reported*	Don't Know shown	
	Type of Residence	Categories changed for 15-16	TYPEHOME15	Don't Know shown	
	Length of residence		RESIDLEN08	Don't Know shown	
	Persons residence owned or controlled by provider agency	New in 15-16	CONTPROV15	Don't Know shown	
	Person named on lease	New in 15-16	LEASE15	Don't Know shown	
	Person owns his or her own home	New in 15-16	OWNHOME15	Don't Know shown	
	Diagnosis of ID		DIAGMR	Don't Know shown	

	Level of ID (Variable used for risk adjustment)		LEVELMR08	Unknown and unspecified shown; filtered for those with a diagnosis of ID (DIAGMR=2)	
	Other Disabilities	Categories changed for 15-16	DXMIPDMD13 DXMIPDAD13 DXMIPDBC13 DXMIPDPD13 DXMIPDOTH13 DXAUTISM0813 DXCP13 DXBI13 DXNEURO13 DXCHEMDP13 DXDOWN0813 PRWISYND13 DXFETALALCH15 DXVISION15 DXHEARING15 DXNONE13	Don't Know included in denominators	
	Health variables	Categories changed for 15-16	HCCARVAS HCDIAB HCCANCER HCHIBP HCHICHL HCDYSPH15 HCPRESULC HCALZHEIM15	Don't Know included in denominators	
	Preferred Means of Expression	Wording of question changed 15-16	EXPRESS	Don't Know shown	
	Language		LANGUAGE		

	Overall Health	NO LONGER IN DEMOGRAPHICS SECTION			
	Mobility (Variable used for risk adjustment)		MOBILITY08	Don't Know shown	
	Support to Manage Self Injurious Behavior (Variable used for risk adjustment)		SELFINJ08	Don't Know shown	
	Support to Manage Disruptive Behavior (Variable used for risk adjustment)		DISBEH08	Don't Know shown	
	Support to Manage Destructive Behavior (Variable used for risk adjustment)		UNCPBEH08	Don't Know shown	
	Guardianship	Categories changed 15-16	LGLSTAT15	Don't Know shown	
	Relationship of guardian	New in 15-16	GUARDRELAT15	Don't Know shown	
	Owns or leases home	NO LONGER IN SURVEY			

Choice and Decision-making	Proportion of people who reported they chose or had some input in choosing where they live *if not living in the family home* (Adjusted Variable)		CHOSHOME2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0) and for residence type (typehome08 not equal to 5 (parent or relatives home) **Risk adjusted**	
	Proportion of people who reported they chose or had some input in choosing their roommates *if not living in the family home* (Adjusted Variable)		HOUSEMATES2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0) **Risk adjusted**	
	Proportion of people reported to have a job in the community and who reported they chose or had some input in choosing their job* (Adjusted Variable) * reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section		CHOSJOB2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0) and for PAIDCOMMJOB2=1 **Risk adjusted**	
	Proportion of people who reported they have a day program or activity and chose or had some input in choosing their day program or activity (Adjusted Variable)		CHOOSDAY2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0) **Risk adjusted**	
	Proportion of people who reported they choose or help		SCHEDULE2	Filtered for Section II	

	decide their daily schedule (Adjusted Variable)		*Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	(INVL_CR2_15=0) **Risk adjusted**	
	Proportion of people who reported they choose or help decide how to spend free time (Adjusted Variable)		FREETIME2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0) **Risk adjusted**	
	Proportion of people who reported they chose or help decide what to buy with their spending money (Adjusted Variable)		CHOOSBUY2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0) **Risk adjusted**	
	Proportion of people who reported they chose or were aware they could request to change their case manager/service coordinator (“yes” and “no, but can change” responses)		CHOOSCM2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they chose or were aware they could request to change their staff (“yes” and “no, but can change” responses)		CHOOSSTAF2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had at least some input*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0) **Risk adjusted**	YES

Work	Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community* * reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section	Changed how asked and analyzed in 15-16	PAIDCOMMJOB2 *Variable created indicating those who have at least one of the following marked "yes"* *1) PAIDCOMMJOBIND15* *2) PAIDCOMMJOBGRP15* *Creates and makes DK missing*		YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community by type of employment support* * reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section	Changed how asked and analyzed in 15-16	commwork_type_Individual commwork_type_Group Variables created to identify types of paid jobs in the community and whether public support is received for that job. Variables created using 1) *PAIDCOMMJOBIND15 and PCJISERV15* *2) PAIDCOMMJOBGRP15*	Filtered for PAIDCOMMJOB2=1	
	Average number of bi-weekly hours by type of community employment support	Changed how asked and analyzed in 15-16	Filter separately for commwork_type_Individual=1, commwork_type_Individual=2 and commwork_type_Group=1 Then compare means with the variable PCJIHOURS15 or PCJGHOURS15 (for commwork_type_group=1)	Filtered for PAIDCOMMJOB2=1	

	Average bi-weekly gross wages by type of community employment support	Changed how asked and analyzed in 15-16	Filter separately for commwork_type_Individual=1, commwork_type_Individual=2 and commwork_type_Group=1 Then compare means with the variable PCJIWAGES15 or PCJGWAGES15 (for commwork_type_group=1)	Filtered for PAIDCOMMJOB2=1	
	Average bi-weekly hourly wage by type of community employment support	Changed how asked and analyzed in 15-16	Filter separately for commwork_type_Individual=1, commwork_type_Individual=2 and commwork_type_Group=1 Then compare means with the variable PCJIHWAGE or PCJGHWAGE (for commwork_type_group=1) PCJIHWAGE and PCJGHWAGE were computed by dividing the wage in two week period by the wages earned in two week period	Filtered for PAIDCOMMJOB2=1	
	Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in community employment who worked 10 of the past 12 months in community employment	No Longer in Survey			
	Average length of employment in current job for people who were reported to have a job in the community		Calculated variable using JOBYRS and JOBMOS to equal total months		

	Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and received paid time off	Question changed in 15-16	PDTIMEOFF2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 receives paid time off.*		YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community in the four most common types of employment		JOBTYPE		
	Proportion of people who were reported not to have a job in the community and who reported they would like a job in the community* ("yes" responses only) *reported for those who were determined to not have a community job from Background section		LIKEAJOB2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, in-between* *1 yes.*	Filtered for PAIDCOMMJOB2=0 and Section I valid (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to have community employment as a goal in their service plan		IEGOAL2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 integrated employment in service plan.*		YES
	Proportion of people who report taking classes or training to help get a job, or	New for 15-16	TRAINING2 *Recoded variable in which response*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	yes

	get a better job		*options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 yes.*		
	Proportion of people who reported going to a day program or regular activity		HAVEDAYACT2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported doing volunteer work		VOLUNT2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
Self-Directed Services **In 15-16 moved to Section II**	Proportion of people who were reported to be using a self-directed supports option		SELFDRCT2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 yes.*		YES
	Proportion of people self-directing who reported they participate in decisions about using budget, hiring staff, or managing services ("yes" responses only)	New for 15-16 moved to Section II	BUDGDEC2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section 2 (INVL_CR2_15=0)and SELFDRCT2=1	YES

	Proportion of people self-directing who reported they make decisions about how budget for services is used	New for 15-16 moved to Section II	WHOBUDDEC2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 Individual mostly makes decisions, or has input but family friends also help with decisions* *0 Someone else makes decisions.*	Filtered for BUDGDEC2=1 Section 2 (INVL_CR2_15=0) and SELFDRCT2=1	YES
	Proportion of people self-directing who reported they hire and manage their staff ("yes" responses only)	New for 15-16 moved to Section II	HIRESTAFF2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 Yes* *0 No, maybe*	Filtered for BUDGDEC2=1 Section 2 (INVL_CR2_15=0) and SELFDRCT2=1	YES
	Proportion of people self-directing who reported they have enough help deciding how to use their budget/services ("yes" responses only)	New for 15-16 moved to Section II	ENUFHELP2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 Yes, have enough help* *0 No, want more help or maybe, not sure.*	Filtered for BUDGDEC2=1 Section 2 (INVL_CR2_15=0) and SELFDRCT2=1	YES
	Proportion of people self-directing who reported they receive information about money that's left in budget ("yes" responses only)	New for 15-16 moved to Section II	ENUFINFO2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 Yes* *0 No, maybe, not sure.*	Filtered for BUDGDEC2=1 Section 2 (INVL_CR2_15=0) and SELFDRCT2=1	YES
	Proportion of people self-directing who report they get enough information about	New for 15-16 moved to Section II	INFOUNDERST2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the*	Filtered for BUDGDEC2=1 Section 2 (INVL_CR2_15=0) and SELFDRCT2=1 and	YES

	their budget/services and information is easy to understand ("yes" responses only)		*following:* *1 Yes* *0 No, maybe, not sure.*	ENUFINFO15=2 or ENUFINFO15=3	
Community Inclusion, Participation and Leisure (new name 15-16)	Proportion of people who report being able to go out and do the things they like to do (yes responses only)	New in 15-16	GOOUT2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, in-between* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who report being able to go out and do the things they like to do enough (yes responses only)	New in 15-16	GOOUTENOUGH2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* 0 No 1 Yes.	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who report that they have enough things to do at home (yes only)	New in 15-16	HOMETODO2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* 0 Not enough, in the middle 1 Yes	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported going shopping at least once in the past month	Changed for 15-16	SHOPPING15_2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, 0 times* *1 at least once in past month.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Number of times people reported they went out shopping in the past month	No longer in report 15-16			

	(Adjusted Variable)				
	Proportion of people who reported going on errands at least once in the past month	Changed for 15-16	ERRANDS15_2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, 0 times* *1 at least once in past month.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Number of times people reported they went out on errands in the past month (Adjusted Variable)				
	Proportion of people who reported going out for entertainment at least once in the past month	Changed for 15-16	ENTERTAN15_2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, 0 times* *1 at least once in past month.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Number of times people reported they went out for entertainment in the past month (Adjusted Variable)	No longer in report 15-16			
	Proportion of people who reported going out to eat at least once in the past month	Changed for 15-16	EATOUT15_2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, 0 times* *1 at least once in past month.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES

	Number of times people reported they went out to eat in the past month (Adjusted Variable)	No longer in report 15-16			
	Proportion of people who reported going to religious services or spiritual practice at least once in the past month	Changed for 15-16	RELIGION15_2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, 0 times* *1 at least once in past month.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Number of times people reported they went out to religious services in the past month (Adjusted Variable)	No longer in report 15-16			
	In the past month, did you (did this person) go out for exercise?	No longer in report 15-16			
	Number of times people reported they went out for exercise in the past month (Adjusted Variable)	No longer in report 15-16			
	Proportion of people who reported participating in community groups (yes response only)	New 15-16	Commact2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* 0 no 1 yes	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES

	Proportion of people who reported having gone on vacation in the past year (yes only)		VACATION2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* 0 no 1 yes	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Number of times people reported they went on vacation in the past year (Adjusted Variable)	No longer in report 15-16			
Relationships	Proportion of people who reported they have friends who are not staff or family members ("yes, not staff or family" only)		HASFRNDS2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, only staff or family* *1 yes, not staff or family.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they have a best friend (may be staff or family)	No longer in survey 15-16			
	Proportion of people who reported they have family they see and the support needed to see their family when they want to ("yes" responses only)		SEEFAMLY2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, sometimes* *1 yes, whenever wants to, or chooses not to see family.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they have friends		SEEFRNDS2	Filtered for Section I	YES

	(may be staff or family) and the support needed to see their friends when they want to ("yes" responses only)		*Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, often unable to see friends, sometimes cannot see friends when wants* *1 Yes.*	(INVL_CR1_15=0)	
	Proportion of people who want more help to keep in contact with friends	New 15-16	FRNDSHELP2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No,* *1 Yes, maybe.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Reasons why can't see friends	New 15-16	WHYSEEFRNDS15	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	
	Proportion of people who reported they had other ways of communicating with friends (yes, but not often and yes, often responses)	New 15-16	FRNDSCOMM2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, Sometimes* *1 Yes*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they talk with their neighbors (yes, but not often and yes, often responses)	Not in survey 15-16			
	Proportion of people who reported they can go on a date if they want to or can date with some restriction ("yes, can date" responses)	15-16 Analyzed only the proportion of people who reported: "yes, or is married living with partner"	CANDATE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, Yes but with restrictions* *1 Yes or is married living with partner*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they feel lonely at least half the time ("often" responses)	15-16 Analyzed only the proportion of people who say OFTEN	LONELY2_15 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES

			0 no, not often, or sometimes *1 yes, often.*		
	Proportion of people who reported they can help other people if they want to at least some of the time ("yes" responses only**)**	Not in survey 15-16			
Satisfaction	Proportion of people who reported they like their home ("yes" responses only)		LIKEHOME2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, in-between* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who report disliking where they live for reasons indicated.	New 15-16	DON'TLIKE15_1 DON'TLIKE15_2 DON'TLIKE15_3 DON'TLIKE15_4 DON'TLIKE15_5 DON'TLIKE15_6 DON'TLIKE15_7 DON'TLIKE15_10 DON'TLIKE15_11 DON'TLIKE15_99	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	
	Proportion of people who reported they would like to live somewhere else ("yes" responses only)		HOMEELSE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, in-between* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES

	Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and who reported they like where they work ("yes" responses only) * * reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section		LIKEJOB2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, in-between* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0) and for PAIDCOMMJOB2=1	YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to have a job in the community and who reported they want to work somewhere else*("yes" responses only) * reported for those who were determined to have a paid community job from Background section		JOBELSE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, in-between* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0) and for PAIDCOMMJOB2=1	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they attend a day program or activity and like their day program or activity ("yes" responses only)	Not in 15-16 survey			
	Proportion of people who reported they attend a day program or activity and want to go or do something different during the day ("yes" responses only)	Not in 15-16 survey			
	Proportion of people who reported that they want to go to their day program less	New 15-16	LESSDAYACT2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0) and havedayact2=1	YES

	("less" responses only)		*following:* *0 more, same* *1 less.*		
	Proportion of people who reported that services and supports were helping them live a good life ("yes" responses only)	New 15-16	GOODLIFE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, in between* *1 Yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they met their service coordinator/case manager ("yes" responses only)		KNOWSCM082 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 maybe, no* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
Service Coordination	Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager asks them what they want ("yes" responses only)		ASKIMPOR2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 sometimes, no* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager helps them get what they need ("yes" responses only)	Not in survey 15-16			
	Proportion of people who reported their service coordinator/case manager gets them back right away	Question wording changed 15-16	GETSBACK2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES

	(”yes” responses only)		*following:* *0 No, sometimes* *1 Yes.*		
	Proportion of people who reported taking part in the last service planning meeting (“yes” responses only)	New in 15-16	MSPLAN2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No* *1 Yes, or had option but chose not to.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported that they understood what was discussed in last service planning meeting (“yes” responses only)	New in 15-16	PLANUNDRSTND2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, in-between* *1 Yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported that service planning meeting included people the person wanted to be there (“yes” responses only)	New in 15-16	PLANPEOPLE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, in-between* *1 Yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported that they were able to choose the services that were received as part of service plan (“yes” responses only)	New in 15-16	PLANCHOOSE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, had some input* *1 Yes*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES

	Proportion of people who reported support workers come and leave when they are supposed to ("yes" responses only)		SWORKCOME2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No, maybe not sure* *1 Yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they get the help they need to work out problems they have with their support workers ("yes" responses only)	Not in survey 15-16			
	Proportion of people who reported they helped make their service plan ("yes" responses only)	Not in survey 15-16			
	Proportion of people who reported they always they have a way to get places when they need to go somewhere ("yes" responses only)		TRANSPORT2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No almost never, sometimes* *1 Yes, almost always.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
Access	Proportion of people who reported they always they have a way to get places when they want to do something for fun ("yes" responses only)	New 15-16	GETPLACES2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No almost never, sometimes* *1 Yes, almost always.*		
	Proportion of people who use various types of transportation (multiple	Not in survey 15-16			

	responses allowed)				
	Proportion of people who reported their staff have adequate training to meet their needs ("yes" responses only)		STFTRN2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes* *0 no, maybe.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who report getting the services they need	Not in survey 15-16			
	Proportion of people who report needing additional services	Options and wordings changed 15-16	ADDSERVEDSCCM ADDSERVEDRESFAM ADDSERVEDTR ADDSERVEDJOBS13 ADDSERVEDDAY ADDSERVEDEDU ADDSERVEDHC ADDSERVEDDC13 ADDSERVEDHOUS ADDSERVEDRES ADDSERVEDSOC ADDSERVEDCOMM ADDSERVEDENV ADDSERVEDBENE ADDSERVEDOTH	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	
Health	Proportion of people who were reported to have a primary care practioner		PRIMDOC2_woDK *Removes DK responses and Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 doesnt have a primary doc* *1 has a primary doc.*		YES

	Proportion of people who were reported to be in poor health ("poor health" response only)		Health_poor *Recoded variable in which* *0 excellent or very good or fairly good* *1 poor*	INVL_CR2_15=0	YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to have had a complete physical exam in the past year ("within the past year" responses)		physexam2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 not in past year* *1 in past year.*	WI MS not included in 15-16	YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to have had a dental exam in the past year ("within the past year" or "within the past six months" responses)		dentvis2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 not in past year* *1 in past year.*	WI not included for 15-16	YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to have had an eye exam in the past year ("within the past year" responses)		EYEEXAM2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 not in past year* *1 in past year.*	WI not included for 15-16	YES

	Proportion of people who were reported to have had a hearing test in the past five years ("within the past five years" responses)		HEARTEST2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 not in the past 5 years* *1 hearing test in the past 5 years.*	WI not included for 15-16	YES
	Proportion of women who were reported to have had a Pap test in the past three years ("within the past three years" or less responses)		PAPTEST2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 more than 3 years* *1 in past 3 years.*	Filtered for GENDER=2 WI not included for 15-16	YES
	Proportion of women over 40 who were reported to have had a mammogram test in the past two years ("within the past two years" or "within past year" responses)		MAMMO2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 in last 2 years* *0 more than 2 years.*	Filtered for GENDER=2 and AGE>=40 WI DC not included for 15-16	YES
	Proportion of people 50 or over who were reported to have had a colorectal cancer screening in the past year ("within the past year" responses)		CCSCREEN2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 in past year* *0 more than 1 year.*	Filtered for AGE>=50 AZ DC WI not included 15-16	YES

	Proportion of people who were reported to have had a flu vaccine in the past year ("within the past year "responses)		FLUVACC2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 not in the past year* *1 flu vaccine in the past year.*	WI not included in 15-16	YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to have had a pneumonia vaccine	Not in 15-16			
	Proportion of people who take at least one med for mood disorder, anxiety, psychotic disorder, behavioral challenges		Totmeds *Recoded variable using MEDS15 and MEDBEHAV* *0 No meds* *1 At least one kind.*	WI not included 15-16	YES
	Proportion of people who were reported to take medication for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, psychotic disorders**		meds_mood *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No meds for mood, anxiety, psychotic* *1 Takes meds for mood, anxiety, psychotic.*	WI not included 15-16	YES

Wellness	Number of meds taken for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, psychotic disorders		NUMMEDS	WI not included 15-16	
	Proportion of people who were reported to take medication for behavior challenges**		meds_behav *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No meds for behavior challenges* *1 Takes meds for behavior challenges.*	WI not included 15-16	YES
	Number of meds taken for behavior challenges		NUMBEMEDS	WI not included 15-16	
	Proportion of people who were reported to engage in regular physical activity at least sometimes	Moved to section II for 15-16.	PhysAct_yes *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes, regularly at least 3 times per week or sometimes 1 or 2 times per week* *0 no.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES

	Proportion of people in each BMI category		BMI_categ BMI variable created using formula: BMI=(Weight/(height in inches*height in inches))*703 Creates categories for BMI 1 underweight 2 normal 3 overweight 4 obese.	WI not included 15-16	
	Proportion of people who were reported to chew or smoke tobacco**		TOBACCO2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 uses tobacco products.*	WI is N/A for 15-16	YES
	Proportion of people reported to have a behavior plan	New 15-16	BehPlan_yes *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No* *1 Yes.*	WI MN is N/A for 15-16	YES
	Proportion of people who take meds for behavior challenges who have a behavior plan	New 15-16	BehPlan_yes *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No* *1 Yes.*	Filtered for meds_behav=1	YES

Rights and Respect	Proportion of people who reported people always knock before entering home ("yes" responses only)		ENTERHM2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes* *0 sometimes, no.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported people always knock before entering bedroom ("yes" responses only)		ENTERBRM2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes* *0 sometimes, no.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they could be alone at home with visitors ("yes" responses only)	Moved to Section I in 15-16	ALONEGST2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes* *0 no.*	Filtered for Section 1 (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES

	Proportion of people who reported they have enough privacy at home		BEALONE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes* *0 no.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported people never read their mail without permission ("no" responses only)	Moved to Section I in 15-16	MAILOPEN2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes* *0 no.*	Filtered for Section 1 (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they can use phone and internet without restriction ("yes without restriction" response only)	Moved to Section I in 15-16	USEPHONE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes* *0 no.*	Filtered for Section 1 (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who have a place to be alone (can have time to self) ("yes" responses only)	New 15-16	BEALONE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes* *0 no.*	Filtered for Section 1 (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported their staff treat them with respect ("yes" responses only~~)~~	Wording changed 15-16	STAF2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 yes*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES

			0 no, sometimes, some staff.		
	Proportion of people who reported they have attended a self-advocacy event, or chose not to ("yes" and "chose not to" responses)		SELFADVO2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no* *1 had opportunity, yes.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who report having a key to their home ("yes" responses only~~)~~	New 15-16	KEY2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 Yes* *0 No, maybe not sure.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who report they can lock their bedroom ("yes" responses only~~)~~	New 15-16	LOCK2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 Yes* *0 No, maybe not sure.*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who report having voted ("yes" and "had opportunity" only)	New 15-16	VOTE2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *1 Yes or had opportunity to register to vote but chose not to*	Filtered for Section II (INVL_CR2_15=0)	YES

			0 No.		
	Proportion of people who report that there are rules about having friends or visitors ("yes" responses only~~)~~	New 15-16	VISITRULES2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No* *1 Yes*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid in their home** (no responses only)	Not in 15-16 survey			
	Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid in their neighborhood** ("no" responses only)	Not in 15-16 survey			
	Proportion of people who reported they never feel scared or afraid at their work or day program/regular activity** ("no" responses only)	Not in 15-16 survey			

Safety	Proportion of people who report that there is at least one place where s/he feels afraid.	New 15-16	Afraid_yes *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 No* *1 Yes, either in home, day program, work, community, transport, or other.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES
	Proportion of people who reported they have someone to go to for help if they feel scared ("yes" responses only)		AFRAIDHELP2 *Recoded variable in which response options are collapsed into the following:* *0 no, maybe* *1 yes.*	Filtered for Section I (INVL_CR1_15=0)	YES

Appendix C

Sampling Procedures

	SAMPLING AS REPORTED IN 2015-16 STATE WORK PLANS			
	Sampling Description	**Included Programs**	**Total Population in program (eligible for ACS)**	**Excluded Programs**
AL	Random sample from ~ 5,500 people receiving services through the ID and LAH Home and Community Based Services waivers. In addition, a selected sample of 30 individuals who have participated in a statewide planning pilot using Personal Outcome Measures (CQL) as the foundation for person-centered planning.	LAH 1915(c) Persons receiving supports and services in their own home or family home.	569	Non-waiver population
		ID 1915(c) Persons receiving supports and services through contracted residential providers	5,260	
AR	Stratified random sampling methodology (sample based on CM Provider.) Each CM provider has at least 1 case selected. (There are ~ 100 providers) ICF sample is pulled random sample. ICF system is separate.	1915 HCB WAIVER (There are about 100 programs-including residential and non-residential)	4,000+	
		ICF-ID	960	

AZ	Random pull from total service population	Long Term Care (LTC) 1115 Waiver	28,070	
		Non-LTC State only	7,000	
CO	Colorado is using a stratified random sample of all adults 18 and over enrolled in the Developmental Disabilities Waiver, the Supported Living Services Waiver, or receiving Supported Living Services through state funding, who receive at least one service in addition to case management. The sample will be stratified by the data team at HCPF based on the total number of clients served by each of 21 agencies.	HCBS-DD 1915 (c): All adults age 18 and over, enrolled in HCBS-DD who receive at least one service other than case management.	3,247	
		HCBS-SLS 1915 (c): All adults age 18 and over, enrolled in HCBS-SLS who receive at least one service other than case management.	2,769	
		State-SLS State General Fund: All adults age 18 and over, enrolled in State-SLS who receive at least one service other than case management.	642	
CT	CT DDS does a random sample pull from all individuals meeting the criteria of age 18 or over as of July 1, 2015 and receiving at least one funded service in addition to Case Management.	DDS Waiver Population HCBS 1915(c) Individuals in one of the four HCBS adult waivers DDS Manages including people in the Autism Waiver	9,869	People without case managers
	All individuals meeting these criteria are pulled from CT central database (CAMRIS); the state then uses Excel to assign each a random number. The records are then sorted by that random number and the first 2,400 are used as the randomly selected survey participants, from which CT tries to interview at least 400.	Non-Waivered DDS Funding Individuals who are not enrolled in an HCBS waiver but are receiving at least one funded service in addition to Case Management		
		ICF are included.		

DC	Sample of people who receive service coordination and at least one service. People must also affirmatively consent to participation in NCI.	HCBS Waiver: People who receive service coordination and at least one service	~ 1,575	State Plan Only Medicaid: People who receive service coordination and state plan services only
		ICF-ID: People who receive service coordination and live in an ICF.	~330	
DE	Sample was randomly pulled from list of individuals receiving services from the Delaware Division of Developmental Disabilities. Sample included HCBS waiver participants receiving case management services and individuals receiving Family Support Services, plus one other service	HCBS Waiver 1915(c): Adults age 21 and older who are receiving residential support services through the Home and Community Based Services Waiver.	1,106	HCBS receiving only FSS supports funded through HCBS 1915(c)
		HCBS Waiver 1915(c): Adults age 21 and older who live at home and receive Family Support Services (FSS) AND participate in a Day Program funded through the HCBS.		ICF (4 people remain)
FL	Stratified random sample taken from all individuals age 18 and over receiving services through the iBudget HCBS waiver. Stratified by Support Coordinator (there are 800-900) and sample up to two individuals from each coordinator.	HCBS 1915(c) Waiver: Individuals with IDD (primarily ID, Cerebral Palsy, Spina Bifida, Prader Willi, and Autism)	~30,000	Non-waiver population
GA	Individuals receiving services through the NOW and COMP Home and Community Based Services or receiving State Funded Services are included in the population. A random sample of 480 individuals is	HCBS 1915(C) Federal IDD	13,000	Individuals who received the NCI interview within the 12 previous months
		State Funded State Individuals age 18 and over with IDD	1,760	

	selected from the population, stratified by region (regional samples are proportionate to regional representation in total population).			are excluded.
HI	A random sample was drawn from the entire service population of adults over age 18 who receive at least one waiver service besides case management.	Developmental Disabilities Division HCBS 1915 (c) ID/DD	2,354	
IL	A random sample of 400 adults selected from the entire population of adults participating in Illinois' Adults with Developmental Disabilities Waiver. The selection of adults for the sample is completed by the Information Services department using a random number generator to assign numbers to all participants, placing the participants in numerical order, and selecting the first 400 names that appear. In addition, an additional 40 participants (numbers 401 – 440 on the randomly ordered list) have been selected for use as substitutes in the event that any of the original sample of 400 are unavailable or unwilling to participate. If substitutes are needed, they will be used in numerical order beginning at 401.	Adult waiver HCBS 1915	19,774	State operated developmental centers funded by ICF/ID ICF/DD ICF/ID

IN	The actual sample size will vary throughout the course of a year, as waiver participation changes with additions and departures from the waiver program. On a quarterly basis, Indiana calibrates the targeted sample size with actual waiver participation numbers and adjusts the sample size accordingly. The sample will be stratified by waiver population (Family Supports (FS) waiver, Community Integration and Habilitation (CIH) waiver populations, Money Follows the Person (MFP) waiver). Sample size for each waiver will be determined using a 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error threshold (based on population as of July 1, 2015). Sample is also proportional based on the total number of Individuals who reside in each BDDS District. The percentage of waiver participants by waiver type residing in each BDDS District shall be multiplied by the total number of targeted surveys to establish a proportioned sample population.	Family Supports Waiver (FSW) HCBS 1915(c) (capped waiver program).	10,613	Those selected for prior NCI survey in year prior from the potential pool of individuals
		Community Integration & Habilitation Waiver (CIH) HCBS 1915(c) (uncapped waiver program).	8,455	
		Money Follows the Person-- Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration Grant	99	
KS	The KDADS Fiscal Department pulls a random stratified sample of consumer names that consist of only those individuals who are on the IDD Waiver. KS stratifies the NCI-DD by the 26 Community Developmental Disability Organization (CDDO). KS uses unduplicated eligibility	IDD 1915 (c) waiver	8,787	

	(DD=8,787 customers) to come up with a percent for each CDDO. KS then uses that percent to determine the strata.			
KY	Stratified random sample by funding type	SCL 1915 (c)	4,279	
		Michelle P 1915 (c)	4,577	
		State general funds	430	
		MFP	99	
		ICF/IID	368	
LA	Random sample of all adults, 18 years and older, receiving developmental disability services. This includes ICF/DD residents, HCBS waiver recipients, and adults receiving state-funded services. All names are placed in a single file and a random sample is selected.	New Opportunity, Children's Choice, Residential Options, and Support Waivers Medicaid, HCBS waiver	10,426	
		Large & small, public & private ICF/DDs Medicaid, ICF/DD	2,326	
		Individual & Family Support State funding	7,548	

MA	A random sample of all adults 22 and over receiving at least one service since November 2, 2015 in addition to service coordination/ case management.	State fund and Waiver Participants Total population numbers	19,197	ICF/ID Autism Only—eligibility just changed. Small pool and in starter stage. Praeder Willi Psychiatric admissions Incarcerated Homeless If enrolled exclusively in one of the following; --Day Habilitation - Mass Health --Transportation --Assistive Technology-Contract --Occupancy --Stipends --Financial Assistance --Financial Assistance Administration

				--Assistive Technology-MassHealth --Financial Assistance - AWC
ME	Random selection of 1,000 consumers to produce a final sample of 400 surveys.	Case management (Medicaid): Medicaid State Plan Case Management is an entitlement for all persons who qualify for developmental services. Case management is not available to any other (adult) population.	6,263	
	Person needs to receive one other service in addition to Case Management services.			
	Sample selected from MaineCare DSS database			
MI	Random sample of adults 18 and over.	BHDDA enrollment: State/DDI funding source:	34,509	
	Medicaid and non-Medicaid individuals receiving at least 3 services (service outside of case management, assessment, crisis and respite)			
MN	The population includes any adult 18 years or over noted in state records as a person with developmental disabilities on a DD, or a long-term care screening document who	Home Care only, State Plan funded:	19,000	
		Waivers (DD, TBI, CADI, CAC) 1915c	34,500	

	also receives case management and at least one long-term service or support. This service could be a State Plan, or state grant service, or a service funded through a waiver.	Support Grants, State funded:	1,900	
		ICF/DD, state plan funded:	1,725	
MO	Random sample of the service population of adults over 18, enrolled in the Comprehensive Waiver, and receiving at least one service besides case management. Random sample is a statistically valid sample based upon the size of the total service population.	HCBS 1915(c) Comprehensive Waiver participants:	8,000	Support Wavier, Autism Waiver, Lopez Waiver, and Partnership for Hope Waiver HCBS 1915(c)
				ICF/ID
				DMH: General Revenue, PAC, SB40, etc.
MS	A random sample of 1,200 adults in the IDD Mississippi Medicaid Waiver MMIS Database. These adults will be 21 years or older, according to Mississippi's definition of an "adult."	IDD Waiver 1915c:	2,002	1915i funded by Medicaid
				State Funded-Only IDD
				Persons living in ICF-IDD: funded through Medicaid/CMH State Funded

NC	Random samples of adults 18 and over who received at least one I/DD service other than case management were selected from within each of the State's Local Management Entity-Managed Care Organization catchment areas and Developmental Treatment Centers. LME-MCO sample sizes were approximately proportional to their percentages of the state I/DD population served. Targeted sampling within Developmental Centers resulted in a final sample percentage of individuals served in these settings approximately three times the actual percentage.	ICF/ID and HCBS 1915b, 1915 b/c, 1915 c waivers	Approximately 20,000	
NY	Random sample of the entire service population of adults over 18 receiving at least one service besides case management. The sample includes people getting residential, day and family support services as well as people who are self-directing their services.	People receiving support through waivers and ICF/IID funding are included	103,000	Those receiving "light services"
NV	Using geographic stratification, 700 people will be included in sample pull. Stratified by region	SC + SLA funded through Medicaid and State general fund. Includes clients 18 years and over who are receiving service coordination plus supportive living arrangements.	1,453	Clients only receiving service coordination

		SC + JDT funded through Medicaid and State general fund. Includes clients 18 years and over who are receiving service coordination plus jobs and day training	1,592	ICF--consumers housed in the 48 bed Intermediate Care Facility.
OH	The sample is pulled from the DODD data warehouse. Anyone over age 18 who is receiving at least one service in addition to case management (Case management and family support alone do not count as services) administered by county board, or lives in ICF, has the potential to be part of the random sample.	1915 c waiver. Total number of people served:	35,000	
		ICF/ID,	6,000	
OK	Representative random sample of 400 is pulled from state database of all adults receiving HCBS services in Oklahoma. -	In-Home Supports Waiver (IHSW); Community Waiver (CW)—including individuals recently transitioning from state-run institutions, individuals receiving residential support, and individuals not receiving residential supports; and Homeward Bound Waiver (HBW)	5,102	
PA	PA uses a statewide random sample which is not stratified across 48 counties and county joinders. Individuals enrolled in waiver, base, and private and public ICF/ID funding are included in the sample.	Consolidated Waiver HCBS 1915(c)	17,088	People previously surveyed the prior year are not included in sample.
		Person/Family Driven Supports (P/FDS) Waiver HCBS 1915(c)	12,264	
		Base Funding (State)	12,620	

		ICFs/ID	2,913	
RI	Sample comes from Medicaid database. Stratified by the 9 agencies under the consent decree with percent of sample based on agency size, and the remaining agencies as a group	Adults served through BHDDH/DDD (Global Waiver (1115))	Total population of both programs: 3,800	ICFs (managed by Department of Health)
		State plan		
SC	SCDDSN will use a random sample of all adults 18 and over receiving at least one service (in addition to case management). The sample is drawn from the names included in the QIO Quality Assurance review of provider agencies. The QIO includes representation from each agency that is selected for review, but not each agency is reviewed each calendar year. Based on compliance scores. If they score over 75% in QA review (largely based on waiver reviews) they have 18-month review, if less, annual. ~ 75%-80% of state agencies are included in each NCI data cycle	Residential Services: HCB Waiver 1915(c) and State Funded: Residential services in a DDSN contracted residential setting. (The number in the State funded category will be limited.)	10,980	ICFs
		Day and Employment Services HCB Waiver (1915(c)) and State Funded: Day and Employment Services through a DDSN contracted provider. (The number in the State funded category will be limited.)		
		In-home supports: HCB Waiver (1915(c)) and State Funded: In-home supports through a DDSN contracted provider. (The number in the State funded category will be limited.)		

SD	Sample stratified by program	CHOICES HCBS 1915(c) Adults who receive residential, day, employment, and case management services from any of the 19 service providers in South Dakota.	2,600	Those that participated in the Adult Consumer Survey the previous cycle were removed from the sample
		Family Support 360 HCBS 1915(c): Adults who receive supports to continue living within the family home or to live independently. Adults who receive supports to continue living within the family home or to live independently.	340	
		South Dakota Developmental Center (SDDC) ICF/IID: Adults receiving institutional level of care at the only ICF/IID supporting adults in South Dakota.	140	
		Community Training Services (CTS) South Dakota State General Funds: Adults who are receiving State funded services and supports such as day and residential services	300	
TN	A random sample, stratified by the three grand regions in Tennessee—East, Middle, and West—of adults receiving at least one waiver service through the Department of Intellectual & Developmental Disabilities.	Statewide Waiver 1915 (c) Individuals must have diagnosis of ID, IQ 70 or below to meet eligibility (must also meet financial eligibility). Individuals supported have an array of service needs from employment to intensive medical and behavioral supports.	4,900	ICF/IID

		Comprehensive Aggregate Cap (CAC) 1915 (c) Individuals must have diagnosis of ID, IQ 70 or below to meet eligibility (must also meet financial eligibility). Individuals supported have an array of service needs from employment to intensive medical and behavioral supports.	1,821	
		Self-Determination Waiver 1915 (c) Individuals must have diagnosis of ID, IQ 70 or below to meet eligibility (must also meet financial eligibility). Individuals supported have an array of service needs. The same services are available in the SD waiver as the other two waivers; however, this waiver has a cost cap of $30,000 per year.	1,155	
UT	Random sample of 450 adults age 18 and over who receive home and community based services and receive at least one service in addition to support coordination. Also pulls a random sample of 25 adults 18 and over at state operated immediate care facility; sample size for the ICF/ID is consistent with ~ 5% of UT total population of people served. Anticipates a 90% participation rate with face to face surveys.	HCBS 1915(c)/State Funded	~5,300	Those surveyed the previous year
		Utah State Developmental Center State Operated ICF/ID	~205	

VA	Medicaid waiver recipient data are received from the Department of Medical Assistance Services and sorted by zip code. A birthdate cutoff is selected and all people under age 18 are removed from the dataset. All people are divided into one of five health planning regions based on their zip code. Sample is proportional: the number of people receiving services per region is determined and used to calculate target numbers for each health planning region. Data is randomly sorted within the appropriate health planning region. A sample double the target number is then selected to begin the data cycle.	ID Waiver C VA Intellectual Disability (ID) Waiver: Provides day support, personal assistance, prevocational, residential support, respite, supported employment, consumer directed services facilitation, assistive technology, companion services, crisis stabilization, crisis supervision, environmental mods, PERS, skilled nursing, therapeutic consultation, transition for individuals with ID	~10,116	EDCD 1915c VA Elderly or Disabled w/Consumer Direction (0321.R03.00) Provides adult day health care, personal assistance, respite care, consumer directed services facilitation, PERS, transition coordination
		DD Waiver 1915c VA Individual & Family DD Support: Provides day support, in-home residential, personal care, prevocational, respite care, supported employment - group/individual, services facilitation, adult companion, assistive technology, crisis stabilization, crisis supervision, environmental mods, family/caregiver training, PERS, skilled nursing, therapeutic consultation, transition for individuals	~1,053	

		w/autism and DD		
		DS Waiver 1915c VA Day Support HCBW for Persons w/ID: Provides day support, prevocational, supported employment for individuals w/ID ages 6 - no max age	~300	
		Community ICF/ID ICF/ID Intermediate Care Facilities for Individuals with Intellectual Disability (ICF/ID) Provides comprehensive and individualized health care and rehabilitation services to individuals to promote their functional status and independence.	~481	
		Nursing Facility with Dx ICF - SNF	~850	
		State Training Center Residents ICF/ID Provides comprehensive and individualized health care and rehabilitation services to individuals to promote their functional status and independence.	~480	

VT	VT used the complete list of adults age 18 and over receiving HCBS, who have received services for at least one year and who are out of high school, listed them by 10 providers and pulled a random sample of 14% at each agency. The exception is the smallest 2 agencies for which VT pulled a 20% sample. Of the 6 smaller agencies (including the supportive ISO that supports people self/family managing), VT picked 2 to participate this year (2 participated the first year and VT intends to have the last 2 participate next year	Developmental Disabilities Services	2,354	
		HCBS – Global Commitment for Health (1115)		
		Adults with developmental disabilities (ID and/or ASD) age 18 and over, in services for one year or more, no longer in high school.		
WA	Randomized sample of all clients on all HCBS waivers.	CORE Waiver HCBS 1915(c) The purpose of the Core Waiver it to provide an alternative to Intermediate Care Facility for the Intellectual Disability (ICF/ID) placement for individuals who require residential habilitation services or live at home but are at immediate risk of out of home placement due	4,519	Personal Care State-only
				ICF/IIDs funded through State General Fund, Federal, and local

		to one or more of the following extraordinary needs. • The individual has extreme and frequently occurring behavior challenges resulting in danger to health or safety or • Has had 18 or more days of inpatient psychiatric care in the past 12 months or • The individual lives in an ICF/ID and requests community placement or • The person requires daily to weekly one-on-one support, supervision and 24-hour access to trained others to meet basic health and safety needs.		The Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA) operates four Residential Habilitation Centers (RHCs). The RHCs currently serve around 850 people, down from a census of more than 4,000 people in the early 1960s. The census varies as all facilities will admit some individuals for short-term care, treatment, or assessment. Individuals who meet eligibility requirements: • Are enrolled and eligible clients of DDA; • Are 18 years of age or older; • Meet Medicaid
		Community Protection HCBS 1915(c) The purpose of the Community Protection Waiver is to provide an alternative to ICF/ID placement for individuals who: • Are at least 18 years of age, and • Meet the criteria for ICF/ID level of care, and • Live or are moving into the community, and • Require 24-hour, on-site, awake staff supervision to ensure the safety of others, and • Require therapies and other habilitation, and • Are found by DDD to meet the criteria for an "individual with community protection issues."	426	

		IFS Waiver HCBS 1915(c) Services to individuals continuing to reside in their family home. This waiver went live June 1, 2015. The sample was pulled before the waiver transition period. It is unlikely that individuals receiving services through this waiver will be included, but it is possible that someone could transition to this waiver during the survey cycle. Still in transition, no count at this time.	In transition – count unavailable	eligibility and need active treatment as defined in the Code of Federal Regulations; • Who have a functional or intellectual disability that requires ICF/ID level of support and supervision; or • Need 24 hour per day nursing level of care
		Basic Plus Waiver HCBS 1915(c) The goal of the Basic Plus Waiver is to support individuals (who require the level of care provided in an ICF/ID) who choose to live in their community. This is accomplished by coordination of natural supports, community resources/services.	7,769	

WI	Wisconsin will sample Adult Waiver participants. Generates random sample.	HCBS Adult Waivers (Family Care, PACE/Partnership, IRIS, Legacy Waivers Fed/State Adults with IDD/DD)	26,000	Children's Waiver (all children's waiver participants were excluded from the Adult Consumer Survey)
				Adult Waivers Fed/State Physically Disabled and Frail Elders (does not meet target group)
				ICF/ID residents whose care is paid by Fee for Service Medicaid (managed long-term care enrollees in Family Care and Partnership may reside in ICFs and were not explicitly excluded based on setting).
WY	In Wyoming, a participant is added to the Adult Waivers at the age of 21. The Division plans on oversampling adults, randomly selecting 500 adults to sample.	HCBS 1915(c) Adults with developmental disabilities (over the age of 21) and persons with Acquired Brain Injuries.	1,793	ICF Will not be surveyed

Appendix D

Un-collapsed and unadjusted data by state

Choice and Decision-Making (unadjusted and un-collapsed)

Table 134. Level of choice in where they live *if not in the family home* (proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Person Had Some Input	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	20%	30%	50%	233
AR	28%	21%	51%	267
AZ	37%	39%	24%	160
CO	20%	45%	35%	281
CT	19%	25%	55%	191
DC	13%	18%	69%	255
DE	17%	34%	50%	307
FL	36%	30%	34%	611
GA	38%	36%	27%	199
HI	19%	11%	70%	140
IL	18%	38%	43%	208
IN	44%	35%	22%	197
KS	30%	43%	27%	271
KY	21%	37%	42%	254
LA	24%	27%	49%	209
MA	18%	39%	43%	290
ME	28%	29%	44%	277
MI	19%	35%	46%	259
MN	19%	37%	44%	245
MO	19%	28%	53%	335
MS	32%	24%	43%	196
NC	14%	32%	53%	423
NV	32%	30%	38%	320
NY	20%	26%	54%	314
OH	25%	29%	47%	306
OK	20%	19%	60%	263
PA	31%	25%	45%	321
RI	27%	32%	41%	294
SD	33%	34%	32%	293
TN	19%	42%	39%	299
UT	28%	30%	42%	223
VA	20%	37%	43%	522
VT	27%	26%	47%	236
WA	26%	44%	31%	200
WI	26%	36%	38%	204
WY	35%	33%	31%	234
NCI Average	**25%**	**32%**	**43%**	**9,837**

Table 135. Level of choice in choosing their roommates *if not in the family home* (proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Person Had Some Input	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	18%	24%	59%	234
AR	33%	12%	55%	262
AZ	33%	41%	27%	166
CO	25%	34%	42%	276
CT	23%	15%	61%	179
DC	12%	14%	74%	250
DE	14%	22%	65%	302
FL	40%	20%	40%	611
GA	33%	33%	34%	195
HI	9%	11%	79%	116
IL	10%	31%	59%	213
IN	56%	20%	24%	195
KS	31%	34%	36%	256
KY	18%	15%	67%	249
LA	33%	19%	48%	206
MA	15%	24%	61%	283
ME	26%	17%	57%	282
MI	13%	24%	63%	251
MN	17%	17%	66%	244
MO	20%	25%	55%	329
MS	30%	25%	45%	197
NC	13%	17%	71%	419
NV	30%	17%	53%	327
NY	19%	15%	66%	313
OH	28%	19%	52%	313
OK	30%	13%	57%	263
PA	30%	16%	54%	305
RI	27%	20%	53%	288
SD	42%	10%	47%	277
TN	26%	30%	43%	296
UT	28%	24%	48%	226
VA	11%	21%	69%	515
VT	22%	16%	62%	233
WA	34%	35%	31%	207
WI	32%	19%	49%	189
WY	35%	23%	42%	209
NCI Average	**25%**	**21%**	**53%**	**9,676**

Table 136. Level of choice in choosing where they work (among those with a job in the community; proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Person Had Some Input	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	46%	42%	12%	26
AR	62%	33%	5%	21
AZ	57%	30%	13%	61
CO	37%	45%	18%	49
CT	29%	45%	26%	116
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	39%	29%	31%	51
FL	79%	21%	0%	126
GA	68%	25%	7%	71
HI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	59%	31%	10%	29
IN	59%	34%	7%	134
KS	69%	31%	0%	45
KY	68%	24%	8%	25
LA	63%	27%	10%	49
MA	43%	38%	19%	95
ME	41%	44%	15%	71
MI	35%	49%	16%	43
MN	34%	43%	23%	160
MO	50%	47%	3%	36
MS	38%	38%	24%	58
NC	38%	44%	18%	82
NV	52%	34%	14%	58
NY	57%	26%	17%	47
OH	62%	30%	8%	100
OK	54%	9%	38%	56
PA	57%	37%	6%	68
RI	35%	42%	23%	66
SD	51%	41%	8%	114
TN	78%	17%	4%	46
UT	50%	41%	9%	64
VA	40%	45%	15%	55
VT	22%	60%	17%	129
WA	29%	65%	6%	158
WI	30%	53%	17%	53
WY	59%	27%	14%	97
NCI Average	**50%**	**37%**	**14%**	**2,459**

Table 137. Level of choice in choosing where they go during the day (among those with a day program or activity; proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Person Had Some Input	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	29%	33%	37%	350
AR	29%	35%	37%	254
AZ	56%	25%	18%	276
CO	26%	36%	38%	301
CT	25%	30%	45%	263
DC	15%	25%	60%	338
DE	22%	28%	50%	238
FL	47%	34%	19%	999
GA	45%	38%	18%	381
HI	41%	19%	39%	365
IL	28%	32%	40%	321
IN	35%	29%	36%	527
KS	38%	41%	21%	328
KY	30%	37%	33%	380
LA	24%	32%	43%	231
MA	24%	37%	39%	325
ME	34%	30%	36%	235
MI	32%	32%	36%	292
MN	32%	28%	40%	275
MO	35%	35%	30%	247
MS	29%	23%	48%	310
NC	17%	40%	42%	558
NV	42%	30%	28%	301
NY	24%	31%	45%	397
OH	35%	29%	36%	401
OK	22%	20%	58%	152
PA	37%	32%	31%	453
RI	33%	38%	28%	418
SD	51%	24%	24%	274
TN	44%	30%	26%	271
UT	29%	35%	36%	283
VA	20%	42%	38%	577
VT	30%	46%	25%	125
WA	45%	41%	14%	236
WI	16%	44%	40%	214
WY	44%	28%	29%	269
NCI Average	**32%**	**33%**	**35%**	**12,165**

Table 138. Level of choice of staff who help them at their home, job, or day program or activity (proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Person Had Some Input	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	1%	97%	3%	372
AR	18%	56%	26%	370
AZ	8%	76%	15%	370
CO	12%	66%	22%	361
CT	13%	45%	42%	295
DC	9%	37%	53%	363
DE	10%	41%	49%	353
FL	43%	36%	21%	1283
GA	8%	68%	23%	433
HI	29%	32%	39%	363
IL	7%	54%	39%	360
IN	29%	56%	15%	739
KS	12%	78%	10%	349
KY	10%	14%	75%	419
LA	20%	58%	22%	383
MA	22%	40%	38%	377
ME	8%	81%	12%	370
MI	21%	38%	42%	349
MN	14%	45%	41%	362
MO	16%	55%	29%	383
MS	11%	61%	28%	370
NC	16%	44%	41%	693
NV	12%	68%	20%	372
NY	7%	60%	33%	422
OH	17%	54%	29%	446
OK	12%	58%	29%	363
PA	16%	39%	45%	549
RI	15%	54%	30%	424
SD	16%	57%	27%	316
TN	12%	84%	4%	425
UT	19%	59%	22%	381
VA	10%	46%	44%	760
VT	11%	56%	33%	261
WA	24%	58%	18%	375
WI	20%	57%	22%	284
WY	33%	30%	37%	291
NCI Average	**16%**	**54%**	**30%**	**15,386**

Table 139. Level of choice in deciding their daily schedule (proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Person Had Some Input	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	61%	29%	10%	379
AR	48%	31%	21%	382
AZ	78%	11%	11%	468
CO	55%	33%	12%	405
CT	41%	36%	23%	319
DC	37%	24%	38%	383
DE	49%	29%	23%	364
FL	57%	35%	8%	1,396
GA	65%	31%	4%	443
HI	41%	24%	35%	379
IL	45%	37%	19%	375
IN	62%	24%	14%	810
KS	54%	35%	11%	353
KY	66%	26%	9%	441
LA	46%	31%	23%	424
MA	55%	36%	9%	406
ME	60%	33%	7%	375
MI	48%	39%	13%	432
MN	54%	27%	18%	402
MO	54%	38%	9%	388
MS	55%	22%	23%	399
NC	35%	41%	24%	744
NV	63%	29%	9%	390
NY	44%	33%	23%	478
OH	54%	29%	17%	538
OK	35%	36%	29%	398
PA	56%	31%	13%	658
RI	52%	32%	16%	442
SD	65%	21%	14%	333
TN	47%	37%	16%	424
UT	48%	42%	10%	382
VA	40%	40%	21%	800
VT	61%	27%	12%	320
WA	64%	31%	5%	392
WI	52%	34%	15%	399
WY	60%	28%	13%	309
NCI Average	**53%**	**31%**	**16%**	**16,730**

Table 140. Level of choice in deciding how to spend free time (proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Person Had Some Input	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	79%	18%	4%	379
AR	72%	17%	12%	377
AZ	89%	6%	5%	468
CO	75%	20%	5%	404
CT	59%	28%	13%	320
DC	49%	27%	24%	375
DE	62%	27%	11%	356
FL	65%	30%	5%	1,405
GA	78%	20%	2%	445
HI	59%	20%	22%	368
IL	64%	30%	6%	375
IN	78%	16%	7%	809
KS	69%	24%	7%	359
KY	71%	23%	6%	434
LA	68%	24%	8%	406
MA	68%	26%	5%	409
ME	69%	27%	4%	388
MI	63%	31%	6%	426
MN	74%	18%	8%	406
MO	69%	28%	3%	389
MS	72%	16%	13%	394
NC	56%	34%	10%	743
NV	72%	23%	5%	389
NY	58%	27%	16%	481
OH	71%	20%	9%	535
OK	71%	18%	10%	397
PA	70%	25%	5%	668
RI	58%	35%	7%	446
SD	78%	18%	4%	333
TN	81%	15%	4%	432
UT	64%	31%	5%	382
VA	67%	25%	8%	799
VT	73%	23%	4%	319
WA	76%	20%	4%	392
WI	74%	22%	4%	406
WY	74%	20%	6%	311
NCI Average	**69%**	**23%**	**8%**	**16,725**

Table 141. Level of choice in deciding what to buy with their money (proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Person Had Some Input	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	80%	12%	8%	377
AR	43%	43%	14%	372
AZ	81%	12%	7%	463
CO	55%	33%	11%	398
CT	52%	32%	16%	322
DC	42%	25%	33%	372
DE	41%	43%	16%	358
FL	45%	41%	14%	1,398
GA	58%	38%	4%	440
HI	60%	23%	16%	332
IL	43%	46%	12%	373
IN	58%	30%	12%	806
KS	63%	30%	6%	344
KY	69%	24%	7%	441
LA	53%	32%	15%	415
MA	54%	36%	10%	404
ME	77%	17%	5%	379
MI	47%	41%	13%	426
MN	54%	32%	13%	402
MO	52%	40%	8%	390
MS	64%	23%	13%	395
NC	46%	42%	11%	737
NV	66%	26%	8%	386
NY	48%	31%	21%	484
OH	60%	27%	13%	532
OK	49%	31%	20%	394
PA	61%	28%	11%	657
RI	55%	36%	9%	446
SD	67%	25%	8%	335
TN	51%	36%	14%	428
UT	50%	37%	12%	385
VA	50%	37%	13%	799
VT	43%	46%	11%	320
WA	55%	35%	11%	390
WI	63%	29%	8%	397
WY	64%	28%	9%	311
NCI Average	**56%**	**32%**	**12%**	**16,608**

Table 142. Level of choice in their case manager/service coordinator (proxy responses allowed)

State	Person Chose	Did Not Choose, But Can Change	Someone Else Chose	N
AL	0%	98%	2%	380
AR	4%	78%	17%	366
AZ	2%	84%	14%	466
CO	2%	81%	17%	387
CT	5%	48%	48%	296
DC	4%	35%	62%	373
DE	5%	24%	72%	305
FL	39%	36%	24%	1,303
GA	5%	59%	35%	426
HI	8%	21%	70%	365
IL	2%	64%	35%	369
IN	35%	51%	14%	777
KS	19%	69%	12%	356
KY	5%	18%	77%	430
LA	4%	74%	22%	396
MA	3%	44%	53%	386
ME	11%	79%	10%	367
MI	6%	68%	26%	414
MN	3%	58%	38%	372
MO	2%	64%	34%	368
MS	4%	68%	27%	362
NC	2%	65%	33%	602
NV	7%	83%	10%	374
NY	6%	76%	19%	459
OH	11%	66%	22%	513
OK	4%	80%	16%	388
PA	6%	43%	51%	626
RI	13%	50%	38%	424
SD	18%	61%	20%	324
TN	5%	92%	3%	426
UT	37%	36%	27%	358
VA	2%	58%	40%	780
VT	5%	73%	22%	308
WA	2%	84%	14%	381
WI	10%	77%	13%	383
WY	55%	8%	37%	300
NCI Average	**10%**	**60%**	**30%**	**15,910**

Work (un-collapsed)

Table 143. Have a paid job in the community (among those with an individual and/or group supported; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	9%	91%	394
AR	7%	93%	354
AZ	15%	85%	451
CO	15%	85%	407
CT	42%	58%	319
DC	7%	93%	275
DE	16%	84%	401
FL	9%	91%	1,429
GA	18%	82%	461
HI	5%	95%	398
IL	9%	91%	352
IN	17%	83%	821
KS	15%	85%	368
KY	9%	91%	419
LA	15%	85%	427
MA	30%	70%	351
ME	24%	76%	374
MI	17%	83%	360
MN	41%	59%	402
MO	9%	91%	395
MS	17%	83%	370
NC	13%	87%	777
NV	15%	85%	399
NY	10%	90%	488
OH	24%	76%	556
OK	17%	83%	400
PA	16%	84%	549
RI	26%	74%	349
SD	38%	62%	361
TN	16%	84%	402
UT	19%	81%	398
VA	11%	89%	765
VT	42%	58%	325
WA	41%	59%	402
WI	15%	85%	383
WY	37%	63%	293
NCI Average	**19%**	**81%**	**16,375**

Table 144. Receives paid vacation and/or sick time at their job (among those with a paid job in the community; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	17%	83%	29
AR	12%	88%	25
AZ	29%	71%	49
CO	37%	63%	35
CT	57%	43%	77
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	25%	75%	40
FL	26%	74%	125
GA	25%	75%	76
HI	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	44%	56%	25
IN	28%	72%	123
KS	30%	70%	44
KY	28%	72%	43
LA	9%	91%	44
MA	40%	60%	90
ME	16%	84%	73
MI	15%	85%	46
MN	34%	66%	156
MO	29%	71%	34
MS	11%	89%	47
NC	15%	85%	80
NV	31%	69%	75
NY	42%	58%	38
OH	40%	60%	106
OK	15%	85%	65
PA	28%	72%	43
RI	24%	76%	79
SD	20%	80%	126
TN	19%	81%	42
UT	20%	80%	50
VA	17%	83%	47
VT	11%	89%	125
WA	24%	76%	147
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	32%	68%	78
NCI Average	**26%**	**74%**	**2,282**

Table 145. Job industry (among those with a paid job in the community; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Food Industry	Building and Grounds	Personal Care Provider	Retail Job	Office Support	N
AL	12%	42%	0%	12%	0%	33
AR	38%	31%	0%	0%	3%	29
AZ	14%	29%	0%	14%	3%	65
CO	17%	30%	0%	19%	2%	47
CT	10%	29%	1%	15%	7%	98
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	21%	43%	0%	6%	4%	53
FL	20%	21%	0%	30%	2%	140
GA	21%	33%	0%	29%	2%	83
HI	35%	40%	0%	15%	0%	20
IL	21%	12%	0%	21%	3%	33
IN	29%	19%	1%	29%	6%	137
KS	29%	31%	0%	14%	0%	51
KY	25%	20%	0%	32%	0%	56
LA	21%	40%	0%	14%	4%	52
MA	18%	27%	0%	19%	5%	99
ME	21%	28%	0%	25%	7%	87
MI	22%	33%	0%	10%	4%	51
MN	13%	25%	1%	12%	4%	163
MO	11%	34%	0%	18%	0%	38
MS	36%	36%	0%	12%	2%	58
NC	21%	23%	2%	20%	5%	94
NV	7%	47%	0%	11%	3%	90
NY	16%	22%	2%	26%	6%	50
OH	16%	31%	0%	14%	6%	130
OK	3%	46%	3%	15%	6%	67
PA	28%	14%	4%	20%	3%	74
RI	22%	29%	0%	8%	6%	85
SD	24%	36%	2%	10%	2%	135
TN	23%	42%	0%	11%	11%	53
UT	23%	35%	2%	9%	2%	57
VA	15%	32%	0%	18%	2%	68
VT	11%	27%	0%	28%	6%	131
WA	20%	29%	0%	19%	10%	163
WI	14%	27%	0%	19%	14%	63
WY	22%	24%	0%	25%	2%	92
NCI Average	**20%**	**30%**	**1%**	**18%**	**4%**	**2,763**

Table 146. Job industry, continued (among those with a paid job in the community; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Farming, Fishing, Forestry	Construction or Repair	Assembly, Manufacturing, or Packaging	Materials Handling, Mail Distribution	Professional or Technical Job	Other	N
AL	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	21%	33
AR	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	28%	29
AZ	2%	0%	8%	2%	2%	28%	65
CO	0%	2%	13%	0%	0%	17%	47
CT	0%	0%	16%	6%	0%	15%	98
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	19%	53
FL	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%	18%	140
GA	1%	0%	4%	0%	1%	10%	83
HI	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	5%	20
IL	0%	0%	18%	0%	0%	24%	33
IN	0%	2%	8%	2%	0%	6%	137
KS	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	20%	51
KY	0%	0%	7%	2%	0%	14%	56
LA	2%	0%	4%	2%	0%	14%	52
MA	2%	0%	13%	3%	0%	12%	99
ME	0%	1%	5%	1%	0%	13%	87
MI	0%	0%	12%	4%	0%	16%	51
MN	1%	0%	30%	6%	1%	9%	163
MO	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	34%	38
MS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	58
NC	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	23%	94
NV	2%	0%	12%	1%	1%	16%	90
NY	0%	0%	12%	4%	0%	12%	50
OH	3%	0%	12%	3%	0%	15%	130
OK	0%	0%	8%	13%	0%	6%	67
PA	0%	0%	10%	1%	0%	20%	74
RI	0%	1%	4%	5%	0%	25%	85
SD	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	15%	135
TN	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%	6%	53
UT	0%	2%	14%	0%	0%	14%	57
VA	0%	0%	10%	7%	0%	16%	68
VT	2%	0%	8%	5%	0%	15%	131
WA	1%	1%	6%	4%	0%	10%	163
WI	0%	2%	5%	0%	0%	19%	63
WY	0%	1%	3%	4%	0%	19%	92
NCI Average	**0%**	**0%**	**8%**	**3%**	**0%**	**16%**	**2,763**

Table 147. Would like a job in the community (among those without a paid job in the community)

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	53%	15%	31%	278
AR	59%	6%	35%	108
AZ	7%	1%	93%	269
CO	40%	14%	46%	145
CT	38%	12%	50%	74
DC	66%	3%	31%	80
DE	73%	4%	23%	165
FL	41%	9%	51%	655
GA	49%	11%	39%	194
HI	54%	10%	36%	122
IL	50%	9%	41%	150
IN	40%	4%	56%	389
KS	39%	13%	48%	159
KY	29%	2%	69%	206
LA	40%	8%	52%	142
MA	45%	4%	51%	134
ME	61%	10%	29%	150
MI	42%	12%	46%	146
MN	52%	4%	44%	79
MO	36%	7%	56%	188
MS	50%	6%	44%	161
NC	59%	8%	33%	250
NV	46%	12%	41%	241
NY	38%	13%	49%	208
OH	42%	6%	51%	177
OK	60%	3%	37%	101
PA	35%	3%	62%	215
RI	48%	8%	44%	117
SD	51%	13%	36%	109
TN	36%	2%	61%	222
UT	56%	5%	39%	125
VA	52%	10%	38%	293
VT	52%	10%	38%	140
WA	48%	9%	44%	117
WI	41%	9%	50%	141
WY	53%	6%	41%	100
NCI Average	**47%**	**8%**	**45%**	**6,550**

Table 148. Have community employment as a goal in their service plan (among those with a paid job in the community; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	28%	72%	384
AR	19%	81%	364
AZ	20%	80%	441
CO	30%	70%	398
CT	31%	69%	332
DC	48%	52%	183
DE	37%	63%	380
FL	19%	81%	1,408
GA	20%	80%	461
HI	16%	84%	392
IL	17%	83%	386
IN	21%	79%	796
KS	25%	75%	369
KY	22%	78%	437
LA	23%	77%	399
MA	27%	73%	403
ME	34%	66%	377
MI	26%	74%	417
MN	46%	54%	380
MO	15%	85%	393
MS	44%	56%	374
NC	19%	81%	797
NV	44%	56%	384
NY	21%	79%	476
OH	39%	61%	591
OK	33%	67%	400
PA	24%	76%	604
RI	69%	31%	340
SD	31%	69%	350
TN	29%	71%	417
UT	27%	73%	387
VA	23%	77%	763
VT	50%	50%	325
WA	59%	41%	398
WI	19%	81%	376
WY	36%	64%	304
NCI Average	**30%**	**70%**	**16,386**

Table 149. Takes classes to help get a job or get a better job

State	Yes	No	N
AL	38%	62%	331
AR	34%	66%	215
AZ	12%	88%	416
CO	20%	80%	295
CT	23%	77%	227
DC	34%	66%	190
DE	21%	79%	243
FL	29%	71%	827
GA	40%	60%	284
HI	32%	68%	189
IL	47%	53%	233
IN	21%	79%	558
KS	14%	86%	240
KY	12%	88%	288
LA	21%	79%	281
MA	29%	71%	296
ME	25%	75%	278
MI	31%	69%	275
MN	22%	78%	248
MO	10%	90%	247
MS	26%	74%	286
NC	27%	73%	423
NV	22%	78%	338
NY	17%	83%	296
OH	22%	78%	384
OK	22%	78%	195
PA	14%	86%	419
RI	24%	76%	341
SD	28%	72%	273
TN	17%	83%	330
UT	32%	68%	230
VA	18%	82%	462
VT	17%	83%	267
WA	12%	88%	262
WI	18%	82%	292
WY	18%	82%	234
NCI Average	**24%**	**76%**	**11,193**

Table 150. Attend a day program or regular activity

State	Yes	No	N
AL	93%	7%	331
AR	70%	30%	217
AZ	54%	46%	421
CO	63%	37%	305
CT	54%	46%	228
DC	0%	100%	195
DE	75%	25%	274
FL	44%	56%	852
GA	69%	31%	311
HI	72%	28%	199
IL	82%	18%	258
IN	56%	44%	578
KS	72%	28%	266
KY	74%	26%	299
LA	49%	51%	289
MA	70%	30%	310
ME	73%	27%	289
MI	56%	44%	286
MN	51%	49%	251
MO	45%	55%	273
MS	77%	23%	293
NC	61%	39%	437
NV	70%	30%	346
NY	63%	37%	309
OH	65%	35%	399
OK	32%	68%	210
PA	56%	44%	429
RI	70%	30%	344
SD	62%	38%	279
TN	45%	55%	334
UT	63%	37%	241
VA	69%	31%	502
VT	14%	86%	274
WA	10%	90%	266
WI	42%	58%	287
WY	49%	51%	237
NCI Average	**57%**	**43%**	**11,619**

Table 151. Volunteer

State	Yes	No	N
AL	38%	62%	331
AR	34%	66%	218
AZ	8%	92%	411
CO	33%	67%	299
CT	37%	63%	239
DC	40%	60%	190
DE	30%	70%	250
FL	23%	77%	838
GA	30%	70%	300
HI	49%	51%	196
IL	33%	67%	242
IN	38%	62%	576
KS	29%	71%	259
KY	15%	85%	292
LA	32%	68%	284
MA	39%	61%	298
ME	39%	61%	286
MI	30%	70%	275
MN	41%	59%	249
MO	27%	73%	267
MS	26%	74%	288
NC	34%	66%	425
NV	25%	75%	342
NY	29%	71%	309
OH	28%	72%	388
OK	28%	72%	210
PA	28%	72%	429
RI	33%	67%	347
SD	39%	61%	282
TN	23%	77%	332
UT	37%	63%	236
VA	40%	60%	479
VT	27%	73%	273
WA	29%	71%	265
WI	40%	60%	292
WY	32%	68%	237
NCI Average	**32%**	**68%**	**11,434**

Self-Directed Supports (un-collapsed)

Table 152. Using a self-directed supports option (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	0%	100%	396
AR	0%	100%	363
AZ	0%	100%	471
CO	0%	100%	411
CT	9%	91%	339
DC	0%	100%	341
DE	0%	100%	436
FL	20%	80%	1,433
GA	3%	97%	468
HI	17%	83%	401
IL	32%	68%	386
IN	0%	100%	825
KS	20%	80%	378
KY	27%	73%	450
LA	3%	97%	435
MA	2%	98%	416
ME	0%	100%	386
MI	22%	78%	420
MN	7%	93%	428
MO	4%	96%	397
MS	0%	100%	414
NC	2%	98%	800
NV	0%	100%	400
NY	4%	96%	484
OH	3%	97%	574
OK	3%	97%	400
PA	14%	86%	611
RI	10%	90%	358
SD	6%	94%	354
TN	4%	96%	425
UT	23%	77%	407
VA	17%	83%	747
VT	12%	88%	326
WA	67%	33%	406
WI	33%	67%	304
WY	7%	93%	315
NCI Average	**10%**	**90%**	**16,905**

Table 153. People who decide or have input in making decisions for how budget for services is used (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff or manage services; proxy responses allowed)

State	Individual	Individual and Family or Friends	Family Member or Friend	Case Manager or Other State Employee	N
FL	12%	48%	40%	0%	231
HI	7%	14%	75%	4%	28
IL	4%	55%	38%	2%	47
KS	13%	50%	33%	3%	30
KY	15%	62%	23%	0%	26
MI	20%	43%	30%	0%	44
PA	5%	36%	45%	14%	22
RI	0%	43%	35%	22%	23
UT	5%	35%	60%	0%	60
WA	14%	44%	38%	4%	214
WI	14%	48%	34%	4%	77
AL	na	na	na	na	na
AR	na	na	na	na	na
AZ	na	na	na	na	na
CO	na	na	na	na	na
CT	na	na	na	na	na
DC	na	na	na	na	na
DE	na	na	na	na	na
GA	na	na	na	na	na
IN	na	na	na	na	na
LA	na	na	na	na	na
MA	na	na	na	na	na
ME	na	na	na	na	na
MN	na	na	na	na	na
MO	na	na	na	na	na
MS	na	na	na	na	na
NC	na	na	na	na	na
NV	na	na	na	na	na
NY	na	na	na	na	na
OH	na	na	na	na	na
OK	na	na	na	na	na
SD	na	na	na	na	na
TN	na	na	na	na	na
VA	na	na	na	na	na
VT	na	na	na	na	na
WY	na	na	na	na	na
NCI Average	**10%**	**44%**	**41%**	**5%**	**802**

Table 154. Hires or manages staff (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
FL	85%	4%	10%	229
HI	93%	4%	4%	28
IL	55%	18%	27%	44
KS	67%	22%	11%	27
KY	83%	9%	9%	23
MI	71%	7%	21%	42
PA	95%	0%	5%	21
RI	64%	18%	18%	22
UT	91%	0%	9%	57
WA	73%	6%	21%	204
WI	67%	1%	31%	70
AL	na	na	na	na
AR	na	na	na	na
AZ	na	na	na	na
CO	na	na	na	na
CT	na	na	na	na
DC	na	na	na	na
DE	na	na	na	na
GA	na	na	na	na
IN	na	na	na	na
LA	na	na	na	na
MA	na	na	na	na
ME	na	na	na	na
MN	na	na	na	na
MO	na	na	na	na
MS	na	na	na	na
NC	na	na	na	na
NV	na	na	na	na
NY	na	na	na	na
OH	na	na	na	na
OK	na	na	na	na
SD	na	na	na	na
TN	na	na	na	na
VA	na	na	na	na
VT	na	na	na	na
WY	na	na	na	na
NCI Average	**77%**	**8%**	**15%**	**767**

Table 155. Can make changes to individual budget/services if needed (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
FL	92%	5%	3%	229
HI	74%	7%	19%	27
IL	64%	29%	7%	45
KS	78%	22%	0%	27
KY	88%	8%	4%	24
MI	71%	17%	12%	42
RI	74%	22%	4%	23
UT	91%	5%	4%	56
WA	87%	13%	1%	200
WI	91%	9%	0%	77
WI	na	na	na	na
AL	na	na	na	na
AR	na	na	na	na
AZ	na	na	na	na
CO	na	na	na	na
CT	na	na	na	na
DC	na	na	na	na
DE	na	na	na	na
GA	na	na	na	na
IN	na	na	na	na
LA	na	na	na	na
MA	na	na	na	na
ME	na	na	na	na
MN	na	na	na	na
MO	na	na	na	na
MS	na	na	na	na
NC	na	na	na	na
NV	na	na	na	na
NY	na	na	na	na
OH	na	na	na	na
OK	na	na	na	na
SD	na	na	na	na
TN	na	na	na	na
VA	na	na	na	na
VT	na	na	na	na
WY	na	na	na	na
NCI Average	**81%**	**14%**	**5%**	**750**

Table 156. Has enough help deciding how to use their individual budget/services (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
FL	96%	3%	1%	231
HI	96%	4%	0%	27
IL	87%	13%	0%	46
KS	79%	21%	0%	29
KY	84%	12%	4%	25
MI	83%	7%	10%	42
PA	95%	5%	0%	21
RI	87%	0%	13%	23
UT	91%	9%	0%	58
WA	93%	4%	2%	208
WI	93%	3%	4%	74
AL	na	na	na	na
AR	na	na	na	na
AZ	na	na	na	na
CO	na	na	na	na
CT	na	na	na	na
DC	na	na	na	na
DE	na	na	na	na
GA	na	na	na	na
IN	na	na	na	na
LA	na	na	na	na
MA	na	na	na	na
ME	na	na	na	na
MN	na	na	na	na
MO	na	na	na	na
MS	na	na	na	na
NC	na	na	na	na
NV	na	na	na	na
NY	na	na	na	na
OH	na	na	na	na
OK	na	na	na	na
SD	na	na	na	na
TN	na	na	na	na
VA	na	na	na	na
VT	na	na	na	na
WY	na	na	na	na
NCI Average	**90%**	**7%**	**3%**	**784**

Table 157. Gets enough information about how much money is left in budget/services (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in deciding how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
FL	94%	3%	3%	229
HI	58%	4%	38%	26
IL	74%	13%	13%	46
KS	79%	7%	14%	28
KY	96%	0%	4%	25
MI	74%	10%	15%	39
PA	86%	5%	10%	21
RI	78%	0%	22%	23
UT	97%	3%	0%	59
WA	68%	22%	10%	182
WI	88%	4%	8%	76
AL	na	na	na	na
AR	na	na	na	na
AZ	na	na	na	na
CO	na	na	na	na
CT	na	na	na	na
DC	na	na	na	na
DE	na	na	na	na
GA	na	na	na	na
IN	na	na	na	na
LA	na	na	na	na
MA	na	na	na	na
ME	na	na	na	na
MN	na	na	na	na
MO	na	na	na	na
MS	na	na	na	na
NC	na	na	na	na
NV	na	na	na	na
NY	na	na	na	na
OH	na	na	na	na
OK	na	na	na	na
SD	na	na	na	na
TN	na	na	na	na
VA	na	na	na	na
VT	na	na	na	na
WY	na	na	na	na
NCI Average	**81%**	**6%**	**12%**	**754**

Table 158. Information received about budget/services is easy to understand (among those using a self-direction option and who participate in making decisions about how to use budget, hire staff, or manage services; proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
FL	82%	13%	5%	215
IL	70%	27%	3%	37
KS	77%	18%	5%	22
KY	92%	8%	0%	24
MI	67%	10%	23%	30
UT	75%	17%	8%	59
WA	67%	26%	7%	153
WI	75%	13%	12%	68
AL	na	na	na	na
AR	na	na	na	na
AZ	na	na	na	na
CO	na	na	na	na
CT	na	na	na	na
DC	na	na	na	na
DE	na	na	na	na
GA	na	na	na	na
HI	na	na	na	na
IN	na	na	na	na
LA	na	na	na	na
MA	na	na	na	na
ME	na	na	na	na
MN	na	na	na	na
MO	na	na	na	na
MS	na	na	na	na
NC	na	na	na	na
NV	na	na	na	na
NY	na	na	na	na
OH	na	na	na	na
OK	na	na	na	na
PA	na	na	na	na
RI	na	na	na	na
SD	na	na	na	na
TN	na	na	na	na
VA	na	na	na	na
VT	na	na	na	na
WY	na	na	na	na
NCI Average	**76%**	**17%**	**8%**	**608**

Community Inclusion, Participation and Leisure (un-collapsed)

Table 159. Went out shopping at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	0 times	1-2 Times	3-4 Times	More Than 5 Times	N
AL	11%	31%	49%	8%	380
AR	16%	23%	29%	32%	376
AZ	6%	71%	9%	14%	469
CO	10%	23%	38%	29%	403
CT	10%	33%	27%	30%	322
DC	8%	39%	40%	14%	382
DE	14%	40%	29%	17%	354
FL	10%	28%	36%	26%	1,416
GA	5%	45%	33%	17%	441
HI	12%	26%	30%	32%	380
IL	9%	42%	35%	14%	377
IN	9%	17%	29%	46%	812
KS	8%	20%	41%	30%	362
KY	6%	61%	23%	10%	445
LA	16%	28%	29%	27%	421
MA	12%	31%	32%	25%	406
ME	5%	14%	22%	59%	391
MI	9%	31%	31%	29%	429
MN	8%	26%	40%	26%	401
MO	7%	22%	35%	36%	394
MS	9%	30%	42%	20%	394
NC	10%	35%	26%	29%	733
NV	6%	25%	34%	35%	382
NY	11%	43%	30%	16%	483
OH	11%	33%	27%	29%	532
OK	10%	25%	28%	37%	397
PA	8%	33%	33%	25%	660
RI	8%	29%	36%	27%	450
SD	7%	30%	48%	16%	326
TN	6%	29%	32%	32%	429
UT	12%	26%	40%	23%	380
VA	10%	25%	32%	33%	804
VT	8%	17%	21%	53%	319
WA	11%	19%	27%	43%	394
WI	17%	29%	28%	26%	405
WY	3%	23%	34%	40%	306
NCI Average	**9%**	**31%**	**32%**	**28%**	**16,755**

Table 160. Who person typically went out shopping with in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	Alone	Friends or Family	Housemates or Co-workers	Staff	Others	Don't know
AL	1%	48%	34%	57%	2%	1%
AR	4%	26%	8%	72%	1%	1%
AZ	4%	73%	10%	25%	0%	1%
CO	15%	47%	20%	52%	0%	0%
CT	6%	44%	21%	65%	1%	2%
DC	5%	24%	17%	74%	0%	3%
DE	3%	19%	32%	79%	1%	8%
FL	8%	52%	17%	52%	0%	2%
GA	7%	52%	25%	73%	6%	3%
HI	4%	46%	1%	65%	14%	4%
IL	6%	44%	29%	55%	0%	1%
IN	5%	67%	0%	53%	1%	1%
KS	4%	48%	25%	65%	0%	2%
KY	3%	49%	3%	65%	0%	1%
LA	3%	50%	13%	62%	0%	2%
MA	9%	31%	25%	64%	0%	2%
ME	9%	28%	18%	80%	10%	1%
MI	12%	49%	26%	58%	1%	0%
MN	9%	42%	13%	62%	1%	2%
MO	3%	22%	40%	88%	1%	1%
MS	2%	47%	21%	65%	0%	2%
NC	5%	46%	17%	68%	1%	1%
NV	14%	34%	35%	84%	0%	2%
NY	7%	35%	27%	61%	1%	1%
OH	7%	45%	10%	53%	1%	2%
OK	0%	34%	19%	71%	0%	1%
PA	5%	48%	9%	54%	2%	3%
RI	5%	42%	22%	69%	1%	3%
SD	16%	36%	17%	70%	0%	3%
TN	1%	31%	36%	78%	0%	2%
UT	5%	41%	29%	66%	0%	3%
VA	3%	42%	38%	69%	1%	1%
VT	10%	36%	1%	73%	1%	2%
WA	13%	54%	9%	51%	0%	0%
WI	13%	53%	8%	44%	0%	1%
WY	11%	42%	19%	75%	2%	3%
NCI Average	**7%**	**42%**	**19%**	**64%**	**1%**	**2%**

Table 161. Went out on errands at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	0 times	1-2 Times	3-4 Times	More Than 5 Times	N
AL	11%	74%	14%	1%	374
AR	16%	41%	23%	21%	381
AZ	7%	75%	10%	7%	467
CO	6%	42%	33%	19%	401
CT	8%	43%	23%	27%	317
DC	14%	68%	14%	4%	367
DE	6%	46%	33%	15%	329
FL	16%	46%	26%	12%	1,411
GA	15%	63%	18%	4%	420
HI	25%	47%	15%	12%	323
IL	10%	60%	22%	8%	378
IN	13%	40%	24%	24%	803
KS	18%	49%	18%	15%	360
KY	8%	63%	24%	4%	447
LA	15%	45%	25%	15%	424
MA	9%	45%	28%	19%	401
ME	9%	20%	28%	43%	383
MI	12%	45%	26%	16%	427
MN	9%	45%	29%	17%	398
MO	13%	38%	23%	26%	392
MS	15%	44%	34%	8%	395
NC	15%	43%	22%	19%	725
NV	12%	46%	28%	14%	371
NY	11%	53%	26%	10%	484
OH	16%	43%	25%	16%	522
OK	6%	32%	26%	36%	398
PA	9%	46%	25%	20%	649
RI	7%	46%	27%	20%	441
SD	6%	56%	27%	11%	312
TN	7%	53%	22%	18%	429
UT	19%	46%	23%	12%	376
VA	15%	47%	22%	16%	804
VT	6%	29%	25%	39%	308
WA	8%	33%	31%	28%	393
WI	12%	44%	23%	20%	401
WY	9%	40%	20%	30%	299
NCI Average	**12%**	**47%**	**24%**	**17%**	**16,510**

Table 162. Who person typically went on errands with in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	Alone	Friends or Family	Housemates or Co-workers	Staff	Others	Don't know
AL	1%	41%	19%	56%	0%	2%
AR	3%	20%	5%	72%	0%	0%
AZ	5%	71%	6%	23%	0%	1%
CO	14%	44%	15%	54%	0%	1%
CT	7%	39%	17%	63%	1%	4%
DC	8%	23%	3%	63%	0%	7%
DE	4%	11%	21%	89%	0%	14%
FL	5%	48%	12%	46%	0%	2%
GA	6%	45%	14%	51%	1%	8%
HI	4%	46%	0%	37%	1%	19%
IL	4%	41%	24%	53%	0%	1%
IN	5%	65%	0%	37%	0%	2%
KS	5%	40%	14%	53%	0%	3%
KY	3%	47%	3%	61%	0%	1%
LA	4%	46%	10%	60%	0%	1%
MA	11%	28%	17%	64%	1%	3%
ME	9%	25%	13%	71%	3%	1%
MI	12%	45%	17%	55%	0%	1%
MN	10%	39%	3%	61%	1%	2%
MO	4%	15%	32%	81%	0%	1%
MS	2%	40%	17%	54%	1%	1%
NC	4%	41%	12%	61%	1%	3%
NV	14%	27%	19%	72%	1%	5%
NY	11%	31%	17%	58%	1%	1%
OH	5%	43%	6%	45%	1%	4%
OK	1%	33%	17%	74%	0%	0%
PA	6%	47%	7%	50%	1%	4%
RI	7%	37%	15%	66%	2%	4%
SD	15%	32%	7%	69%	1%	8%
TN	0%	29%	28%	78%	0%	2%
UT	5%	40%	15%	51%	0%	3%
VA	3%	36%	16%	61%	0%	1%
VT	14%	27%	1%	73%	1%	5%
WA	15%	55%	5%	50%	0%	1%
WI	16%	51%	4%	41%	1%	2%
WY	13%	37%	12%	64%	2%	5%
NCI Average	**7%**	**39%**	**12%**	**59%**	**1%**	**3%**

Table 163. Went out for entertainment at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	0 times	1-2 Times	3-4 Times	More Than 5 Times	N
AL	28%	42%	20%	10%	373
AR	23%	35%	24%	18%	379
AZ	13%	67%	10%	9%	470
CO	24%	34%	27%	15%	404
CT	14%	36%	28%	22%	321
DC	11%	42%	32%	15%	385
DE	31%	42%	17%	10%	347
FL	22%	32%	27%	19%	1,411
GA	17%	47%	23%	13%	430
HI	31%	36%	23%	9%	372
IL	18%	55%	19%	7%	369
IN	29%	26%	20%	26%	814
KS	25%	30%	21%	23%	357
KY	14%	56%	25%	5%	443
LA	26%	36%	19%	18%	419
MA	23%	35%	23%	19%	402
ME	38%	28%	19%	16%	381
MI	23%	40%	21%	16%	430
MN	17%	35%	26%	23%	403
MO	24%	32%	19%	25%	394
MS	36%	39%	17%	8%	396
NC	24%	38%	23%	16%	736
NV	15%	33%	18%	34%	379
NY	34%	42%	17%	8%	483
OH	21%	37%	25%	17%	532
OK	18%	26%	26%	30%	394
PA	26%	42%	18%	14%	666
RI	17%	36%	26%	21%	443
SD	22%	45%	23%	10%	319
TN	15%	33%	24%	29%	433
UT	20%	29%	25%	26%	381
VA	22%	35%	25%	18%	797
VT	37%	25%	15%	24%	316
WA	24%	34%	20%	22%	397
WI	25%	27%	21%	27%	404
WY	21%	35%	18%	27%	308
NCI Average	**23%**	**37%**	**22%**	**18%**	**16,688**

Table 164. Who person typically went out for entertainment with in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	Alone	Friends or Family	Housemates or Co-workers	Staff	Others	Don't know
AL	0%	42%	26%	53%	9%	3%
AR	3%	24%	11%	66%	0%	1%
AZ	4%	68%	10%	22%	0%	1%
CO	8%	47%	18%	44%	0%	0%
CT	6%	42%	21%	56%	1%	2%
DC	5%	24%	17%	73%	0%	2%
DE	2%	18%	32%	61%	1%	9%
FL	4%	47%	20%	46%	1%	1%
GA	7%	49%	23%	63%	7%	5%
HI	1%	34%	1%	49%	10%	6%
IL	2%	42%	29%	51%	0%	3%
IN	3%	51%	1%	46%	0%	1%
KS	2%	46%	26%	49%	0%	4%
KY	2%	43%	4%	60%	0%	1%
LA	4%	46%	14%	56%	0%	2%
MA	6%	33%	26%	55%	1%	3%
ME	3%	22%	9%	48%	7%	2%
MI	6%	45%	27%	48%	2%	0%
MN	4%	47%	16%	49%	1%	1%
MO	2%	24%	36%	71%	0%	1%
MS	2%	31%	19%	43%	1%	1%
NC	1%	37%	18%	59%	1%	1%
NV	14%	39%	45%	69%	1%	3%
NY	4%	25%	24%	42%	2%	1%
OH	5%	42%	14%	49%	2%	2%
OK	1%	34%	20%	62%	0%	1%
PA	3%	41%	10%	43%	1%	3%
RI	4%	43%	23%	62%	1%	4%
SD	8%	42%	15%	54%	0%	5%
TN	0%	29%	37%	74%	0%	1%
UT	1%	41%	31%	59%	1%	2%
VA	1%	33%	37%	62%	1%	2%
VT	6%	30%	2%	46%	1%	3%
WA	9%	52%	9%	37%	0%	0%
WI	6%	56%	14%	37%	1%	2%
WY	7%	44%	16%	55%	1%	3%
NCI Average	**4%**	**39%**	**19%**	**53%**	**2%**	**2%**

Table 165. Went out to eat at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	0 times	1-2 Times	3-4 Times	More Than 5 Times	N
AL	7%	29%	56%	8%	376
AR	18%	28%	21%	34%	379
AZ	17%	62%	12%	9%	468
CO	11%	34%	33%	23%	400
CT	11%	32%	26%	31%	322
DC	18%	36%	33%	13%	372
DE	18%	37%	33%	11%	347
FL	14%	30%	33%	24%	1,412
GA	4%	40%	41%	16%	445
HI	21%	29%	28%	21%	373
IL	7%	50%	28%	14%	372
IN	13%	21%	20%	46%	813
KS	11%	24%	36%	29%	362
KY	7%	54%	28%	12%	446
LA	17%	29%	29%	25%	405
MA	11%	27%	28%	34%	404
ME	15%	21%	27%	38%	390
MI	14%	33%	22%	32%	429
MN	14%	34%	28%	25%	404
MO	8%	25%	35%	32%	393
MS	14%	39%	28%	19%	395
NC	12%	32%	24%	32%	735
NV	14%	33%	24%	28%	374
NY	19%	42%	26%	14%	483
OH	13%	36%	29%	23%	533
OK	12%	19%	30%	39%	396
PA	13%	36%	29%	21%	658
RI	8%	29%	26%	37%	449
SD	11%	37%	33%	19%	326
TN	8%	26%	35%	31%	432
UT	13%	34%	33%	20%	382
VA	12%	30%	29%	30%	808
VT	11%	28%	23%	38%	318
WA	12%	25%	25%	39%	397
WI	16%	31%	25%	28%	403
WY	7%	35%	25%	33%	304
NCI Average	**12%**	**33%**	**29%**	**26%**	**16,705**

Table 166. Who person typically went out to eat with in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	Alone	Friends or Family	Housemates or Co-workers	Staff	Others	Don't know
AL	1%	53%	37%	65%	9%	2%
AR	5%	30%	9%	69%	2%	1%
AZ	3%	69%	7%	19%	0%	0%
CO	13%	59%	23%	52%	1%	1%
CT	5%	47%	23%	61%	3%	3%
DC	6%	26%	9%	64%	0%	5%
DE	2%	19%	42%	73%	1%	9%
FL	5%	57%	21%	47%	1%	1%
GA	6%	58%	26%	76%	7%	2%
HI	4%	44%	1%	53%	8%	5%
IL	6%	53%	31%	55%	0%	2%
IN	3%	71%	1%	50%	0%	1%
KS	5%	58%	29%	59%	1%	2%
KY	3%	48%	4%	64%	1%	1%
LA	3%	55%	16%	62%	0%	2%
MA	6%	40%	29%	64%	1%	2%
ME	3%	38%	15%	68%	9%	1%
MI	11%	56%	30%	50%	1%	1%
MN	4%	50%	17%	52%	1%	1%
MO	4%	35%	41%	83%	1%	1%
MS	1%	51%	24%	56%	2%	1%
NC	3%	51%	21%	66%	1%	1%
NV	10%	49%	43%	67%	1%	5%
NY	8%	35%	28%	54%	2%	1%
OH	5%	51%	14%	50%	2%	1%
OK	0%	37%	24%	68%	0%	1%
PA	4%	52%	12%	46%	1%	3%
RI	7%	50%	23%	70%	1%	2%
SD	13%	51%	19%	60%	0%	3%
TN	0%	34%	37%	78%	0%	1%
UT	3%	48%	30%	60%	1%	2%
VA	1%	45%	41%	68%	1%	1%
VT	7%	41%	3%	69%	2%	2%
WA	13%	64%	10%	42%	1%	0%
WI	10%	62%	12%	37%	1%	1%
WY	8%	53%	21%	69%	4%	3%
NCI Average	**5%**	**48%**	**21%**	**60%**	**2%**	**2%**

Table 167. Went out to religious service or spiritual practice at least once in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	0 times	1-2 Times	3-4 Times	More Than 5 Times	N
AL	30%	12%	56%	2%	372
AR	51%	14%	21%	14%	375
AZ	39%	48%	11%	3%	463
CO	61%	12%	20%	7%	403
CT	64%	16%	17%	3%	318
DC	32%	20%	44%	4%	352
DE	59%	16%	22%	3%	352
FL	53%	14%	27%	5%	1,412
GA	29%	22%	44%	6%	418
HI	48%	10%	38%	3%	326
IL	56%	17%	23%	3%	361
IN	50%	9%	25%	16%	819
KS	55%	7%	27%	11%	339
KY	56%	21%	18%	5%	434
LA	36%	17%	36%	11%	418
MA	63%	15%	19%	4%	393
ME	67%	12%	17%	3%	385
MI	55%	17%	22%	5%	428
MN	54%	15%	24%	7%	404
MO	52%	14%	27%	6%	387
MS	30%	22%	39%	10%	395
NC	34%	24%	31%	11%	727
NV	68%	10%	10%	11%	376
NY	70%	12%	15%	3%	481
OH	56%	15%	23%	6%	530
OK	49%	10%	29%	12%	398
PA	58%	13%	25%	5%	648
RI	59%	15%	18%	7%	432
SD	53%	12%	32%	2%	321
TN	41%	19%	34%	6%	424
UT	45%	10%	35%	10%	375
VA	49%	17%	27%	8%	800
VT	77%	8%	13%	3%	317
WA	64%	7%	24%	5%	395
WI	58%	13%	18%	11%	406
WY	63%	9%	20%	7%	311
NCI Average	**52%**	**15%**	**26%**	**7%**	**16,495**

Table 168. Who person typically went to religious service or spiritual practice with in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	Alone	Friends or Family	Housemates or Co-workers	Staff	Others	Don't know
AL	2%	38%	16%	33%	1%	3%
AR	2%	22%	3%	31%	1%	1%
AZ	2%	49%	5%	10%	0%	1%
CO	5%	24%	8%	12%	1%	0%
CT	2%	21%	7%	14%	1%	3%
DC	7%	24%	9%	42%	1%	11%
DE	2%	13%	11%	27%	0%	8%
FL	4%	33%	9%	14%	0%	2%
GA	4%	50%	15%	24%	0%	8%
HI	2%	37%	1%	15%	1%	18%
IL	3%	30%	7%	13%	0%	5%
IN	4%	43%	0%	8%	1%	0%
KS	4%	33%	7%	14%	0%	7%
KY	3%	30%	3%	17%	0%	4%
LA	4%	37%	16%	34%	0%	2%
MA	3%	19%	6%	18%	1%	4%
ME	3%	15%	3%	15%	1%	1%
MI	5%	32%	10%	14%	1%	0%
MN	6%	30%	4%	16%	0%	1%
MO	2%	24%	15%	31%	0%	2%
MS	2%	50%	11%	23%	1%	1%
NC	3%	37%	15%	33%	1%	3%
NV	6%	18%	4%	12%	2%	4%
NY	3%	14%	7%	14%	0%	1%
OH	4%	28%	3%	15%	0%	2%
OK	1%	24%	10%	31%	0%	0%
PA	3%	27%	3%	13%	1%	4%
RI	3%	26%	4%	15%	1%	6%
SD	9%	29%	4%	16%	1%	4%
TN	1%	30%	14%	38%	0%	3%
UT	5%	39%	9%	17%	1%	4%
VA	2%	27%	15%	28%	1%	2%
VT	4%	14%	0%	7%	0%	2%
WA	4%	29%	3%	8%	0%	0%
WI	4%	33%	4%	9%	0%	1%
WY	4%	24%	3%	12%	1%	1%
NCI Average	**3%**	**29%**	**7%**	**19%**	**1%**	**3%**

Table 169. Participated in a community group in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	22%	78%	369
AR	33%	67%	368
AZ	19%	81%	466
CO	29%	71%	402
CT	47%	53%	319
DC	29%	71%	338
DE	17%	83%	338
FL	47%	53%	1,399
GA	63%	37%	421
HI	62%	38%	356
IL	40%	60%	352
IN	34%	66%	807
KS	42%	58%	321
KY	16%	84%	426
LA	32%	68%	415
MA	38%	62%	388
ME	28%	72%	381
MI	36%	64%	423
MN	37%	63%	401
MO	45%	55%	385
MS	34%	66%	387
NC	41%	59%	722
NV	47%	53%	381
NY	23%	77%	478
OH	30%	70%	535
OK	36%	64%	397
PA	39%	61%	646
RI	36%	64%	436
SD	41%	59%	313
TN	37%	63%	428
UT	54%	46%	375
VA	42%	58%	790
VT	28%	72%	317
WA	49%	51%	395
WI	36%	64%	403
WY	38%	62%	305
NCI Average	**37%**	**63%**	**16,383**

Table 170. Who person typically participated in a community group with in the past month (proxy responses allowed)

State	Alone	Friends or Family	Housemates or Co-workers	Staff	Others	Don't know
AL	1%	16%	4%	10%	1%	3%
AR	2%	14%	3%	24%	1%	3%
AZ	2%	11%	0%	9%	0%	1%
CO	3%	19%	6%	16%	1%	2%
CT	3%	21%	8%	28%	1%	4%
DC	4%	9%	2%	20%	1%	14%
DE	1%	4%	8%	13%	0%	10%
FL	4%	29%	11%	23%	2%	2%
GA	6%	37%	13%	45%	4%	6%
HI	0%	24%	2%	48%	1%	11%
IL	2%	20%	11%	20%	1%	7%
IN	4%	22%	0%	17%	0%	1%
KS	2%	25%	13%	27%	1%	12%
KY	2%	10%	1%	8%	0%	5%
LA	2%	18%	7%	20%	0%	3%
MA	3%	15%	10%	25%	0%	6%
ME	3%	11%	4%	15%	1%	5%
MI	5%	18%	12%	20%	2%	2%
MN	4%	18%	7%	21%	1%	2%
MO	2%	19%	18%	38%	1%	1%
MS	1%	19%	10%	18%	1%	3%
NC	2%	22%	6%	26%	1%	3%
NV	11%	21%	22%	34%	1%	2%
NY	4%	8%	7%	13%	1%	1%
OH	4%	18%	4%	13%	1%	1%
OK	1%	18%	8%	23%	1%	1%
PA	3%	21%	4%	19%	2%	3%
RI	3%	17%	7%	26%	1%	5%
SD	7%	21%	5%	22%	1%	6%
TN	0%	12%	14%	30%	1%	2%
UT	2%	27%	18%	35%	1%	3%
VA	2%	17%	16%	30%	1%	3%
VT	4%	15%	1%	21%	1%	2%
WA	11%	34%	6%	20%	1%	0%
WI	6%	24%	5%	12%	1%	3%
WY	5%	19%	6%	21%	3%	4%
NCI Average	**3%**	**19%**	**8%**	**22%**	**1%**	**4%**

Table 171. Went on vacation in the past year (proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	61%	39%	373
AR	53%	47%	371
AZ	76%	24%	470
CO	45%	55%	403
CT	47%	53%	319
DC	25%	75%	363
DE	64%	36%	364
FL	54%	46%	1,413
GA	64%	36%	419
HI	65%	35%	370
IL	55%	45%	365
IN	52%	48%	819
KS	54%	46%	345
KY	69%	31%	417
LA	58%	42%	417
MA	47%	53%	395
ME	43%	57%	385
MI	61%	39%	426
MN	42%	58%	403
MO	61%	39%	393
MS	55%	45%	391
NC	49%	51%	728
NV	58%	42%	371
NY	57%	43%	477
OH	56%	44%	527
OK	58%	42%	395
PA	56%	44%	657
RI	57%	43%	440
SD	52%	48%	319
TN	67%	33%	424
UT	45%	55%	377
VA	45%	55%	800
VT	42%	58%	322
WA	47%	53%	390
WI	48%	52%	404
WY	41%	59%	306
NCI Average	**54%**	**46%**	**16,558**

Table 172. Who person typically went on vacation with in the past year (proxy responses allowed)

State	Alone	Friends or Family	Housemates or Co-workers	Staff	Others	Don't know
AL	1%	27%	8%	15%	3%	3%
AR	1%	30%	4%	21%	0%	2%
AZ	0%	21%	1%	3%	1%	0%
CO	3%	41%	8%	16%	1%	0%
CT	4%	33%	7%	19%	2%	3%
DC	1%	22%	18%	56%	0%	8%
DE	2%	18%	6%	17%	1%	6%
FL	2%	37%	6%	9%	0%	2%
GA	1%	28%	5%	9%	0%	8%
HI	1%	30%	0%	6%	1%	6%
IL	0%	39%	4%	6%	1%	3%
IN	1%	44%	0%	5%	0%	0%
KS	1%	40%	5%	10%	0%	6%
KY	0%	23%	1%	10%	0%	6%
LA	1%	34%	4%	10%	0%	3%
MA	5%	28%	11%	23%	2%	2%
ME	12%	27%	6%	32%	4%	2%
MI	1%	30%	5%	9%	1%	1%
MN	3%	40%	7%	15%	2%	0%
MO	0%	22%	14%	21%	2%	1%
MS	1%	34%	6%	13%	0%	2%
NC	0%	39%	8%	16%	1%	2%
NV	4%	31%	7%	10%	3%	4%
NY	1%	23%	9%	18%	2%	2%
OH	1%	33%	5%	11%	1%	3%
OK	1%	31%	4%	18%	0%	1%
PA	2%	32%	2%	11%	2%	3%
RI	1%	29%	4%	15%	1%	5%
SD	2%	37%	4%	13%	1%	5%
TN	1%	23%	6%	13%	0%	3%
UT	0%	42%	7%	15%	1%	3%
VA	2%	32%	16%	25%	1%	2%
VT	7%	33%	1%	24%	0%	1%
WA	1%	47%	2%	8%	1%	1%
WI	5%	43%	4%	11%	1%	2%
WY	5%	45%	6%	16%	2%	3%
NCI Average	**2%**	**32%**	**6%**	**15%**	**1%**	**3%**

Table 173. Able to go out and do the things like to do in the community

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	83%	13%	4%	334
AR	91%	7%	1%	219
AZ	93%	6%	1%	412
CO	78%	19%	3%	304
CT	89%	8%	2%	244
DC	87%	12%	2%	189
DE	70%	23%	7%	232
FL	84%	14%	1%	836
GA	90%	9%	1%	299
HI	86%	9%	6%	188
IL	85%	13%	2%	252
IN	92%	5%	3%	571
KS	84%	13%	3%	255
KY	92%	6%	2%	299
LA	86%	12%	2%	287
MA	88%	9%	3%	311
ME	80%	18%	2%	280
MI	77%	21%	2%	273
MN	89%	8%	2%	251
MO	85%	14%	1%	265
MS	79%	15%	6%	283
NC	85%	13%	2%	428
NV	81%	15%	4%	339
NY	83%	14%	3%	303
OH	89%	9%	2%	386
OK	86%	10%	4%	207
PA	92%	6%	2%	432
RI	82%	14%	3%	340
SD	82%	14%	4%	285
TN	89%	9%	2%	330
UT	88%	10%	2%	243
VA	90%	8%	2%	499
VT	65%	29%	5%	252
WA	91%	7%	1%	257
WI	78%	19%	3%	285
WY	80%	15%	5%	237
NCI Average	**85%**	**12%**	**3%**	**11,407**

Table 174. Able to go out and do the things likes to do in the community as often as wants to

State	Yes	No	N
AL	59%	41%	319
AR	73%	27%	211
AZ	92%	8%	400
CO	73%	27%	282
CT	83%	17%	219
DC	76%	24%	182
DE	62%	38%	201
FL	79%	21%	791
GA	86%	14%	277
HI	72%	28%	148
IL	80%	20%	214
IN	78%	22%	526
KS	83%	17%	233
KY	91%	9%	287
LA	79%	21%	260
MA	79%	21%	279
ME	54%	46%	258
MI	70%	30%	250
MN	80%	20%	241
MO	76%	24%	250
MS	75%	25%	261
NC	76%	24%	402
NV	74%	26%	310
NY	77%	23%	281
OH	77%	23%	364
OK	76%	24%	192
PA	87%	13%	398
RI	76%	24%	309
SD	69%	31%	267
TN	85%	15%	314
UT	81%	19%	224
VA	80%	20%	469
VT	56%	44%	228
WA	85%	15%	248
WI	63%	37%	257
WY	73%	27%	201
NCI Average	**76%**	**24%**	**10,553**

Table 175. Has enough things to do when at home

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	77%	13%	10%	328
AR	83%	12%	6%	223
AZ	96%	3%	1%	418
CO	87%	11%	2%	307
CT	84%	10%	6%	244
DC	78%	13%	9%	190
DE	62%	21%	17%	238
FL	84%	12%	3%	837
GA	85%	11%	4%	303
HI	71%	18%	11%	193
IL	88%	9%	3%	260
IN	92%	4%	3%	574
KS	81%	16%	3%	256
KY	89%	8%	3%	299
LA	82%	11%	7%	289
MA	83%	13%	4%	304
ME	66%	22%	12%	284
MI	78%	18%	4%	278
MN	89%	6%	6%	254
MO	86%	10%	3%	265
MS	71%	15%	14%	290
NC	85%	10%	5%	432
NV	72%	16%	11%	346
NY	81%	11%	8%	307
OH	84%	9%	7%	391
OK	79%	13%	8%	210
PA	91%	6%	4%	434
RI	80%	13%	7%	349
SD	83%	11%	6%	284
TN	91%	5%	4%	333
UT	84%	10%	7%	243
VA	87%	7%	6%	501
VT	69%	24%	7%	262
WA	92%	4%	5%	264
WI	90%	3%	7%	290
WY	79%	14%	7%	234
NCI Average	**82%**	**11%**	**6%**	**11,514**

Relationships (un-collapsed)

Table 176. Have friends who are not staff or family members

State	Has Friends Who Are Not Staff or Family	All Friends Are Staff or Family	Does Not Have Friends	N
AL	89%	5%	6%	331
AR	78%	14%	9%	221
AZ	67%	30%	3%	422
CO	78%	10%	13%	304
CT	74%	22%	4%	245
DC	81%	9%	10%	192
DE	68%	23%	9%	253
FL	77%	16%	8%	852
GA	84%	10%	6%	307
HI	67%	19%	13%	196
IL	82%	9%	9%	260
IN	87%	4%	9%	575
KS	74%	17%	9%	258
KY	60%	37%	3%	300
LA	81%	9%	11%	292
MA	82%	10%	8%	309
ME	88%	4%	8%	285
MI	70%	21%	9%	282
MN	83%	11%	6%	246
MO	81%	12%	7%	267
MS	81%	13%	6%	290
NC	69%	20%	10%	438
NV	71%	15%	14%	345
NY	71%	14%	15%	312
OH	79%	15%	6%	392
OK	73%	17%	9%	212
PA	74%	13%	13%	438
RI	75%	18%	7%	352
SD	83%	12%	5%	281
TN	87%	11%	2%	331
UT	70%	22%	8%	239
VA	82%	12%	6%	500
VT	71%	10%	19%	268
WA	83%	9%	8%	262
WI	80%	7%	12%	291
WY	83%	9%	9%	233
NCI Average	**77%**	**14%**	**9%**	**11,581**

Table 177. Wants more help to meet or keep in contact with friends

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
AL	49%	12%	39%	327
AR	38%	7%	55%	216
AZ	14%	2%	84%	418
CO	37%	14%	49%	295
CT	45%	8%	47%	239
DC	36%	9%	54%	180
DE	45%	4%	51%	220
FL	35%	11%	54%	800
GA	27%	16%	57%	282
HI	44%	3%	53%	185
IL	25%	6%	69%	251
IN	36%	5%	59%	529
KS	32%	9%	60%	240
KY	16%	8%	76%	293
LA	35%	12%	53%	288
MA	44%	12%	45%	286
ME	34%	6%	59%	270
MI	38%	9%	53%	271
MN	39%	8%	53%	241
MO	35%	10%	55%	233
MS	40%	3%	56%	280
NC	31%	9%	61%	413
NV	58%	7%	35%	333
NY	32%	9%	59%	296
OH	37%	5%	59%	374
OK	67%	5%	28%	195
PA	25%	4%	72%	388
RI	49%	9%	41%	331
SD	46%	8%	47%	270
TN	25%	2%	73%	328
UT	52%	5%	43%	220
VA	35%	11%	54%	470
VT	34%	12%	54%	242
WA	25%	9%	66%	255
WI	30%	4%	66%	274
WY	23%	7%	70%	225
NCI Average	**36%**	**8%**	**56%**	**10,958**

Table 178. Have friends (may be staff or family) and the support needed to see their friends when they want

State	Yes	Sometimes Can't See Friends	No, or Often Unable	N
AL	74%	24%	2%	316
AR	89%	6%	5%	196
AZ	90%	8%	2%	397
CO	72%	21%	7%	259
CT	76%	16%	8%	234
DC	77%	14%	9%	168
DE	72%	20%	9%	220
FL	79%	17%	4%	760
GA	79%	18%	4%	280
HI	80%	7%	13%	166
IL	82%	17%	1%	221
IN	80%	14%	6%	523
KS	90%	7%	2%	242
KY	88%	9%	3%	285
LA	83%	11%	6%	262
MA	82%	12%	5%	282
ME	57%	39%	4%	236
MI	78%	17%	5%	246
MN	77%	15%	8%	237
MO	82%	14%	4%	233
MS	84%	12%	5%	269
NC	81%	13%	6%	372
NV	76%	17%	8%	303
NY	83%	14%	4%	253
OH	82%	11%	7%	355
OK	77%	17%	6%	188
PA	89%	7%	4%	368
RI	78%	15%	7%	317
SD	84%	11%	4%	273
TN	89%	9%	2%	328
UT	83%	14%	4%	220
VA	85%	10%	5%	445
VT	58%	33%	9%	215
WA	82%	14%	4%	243
WI	79%	16%	6%	250
WY	82%	14%	5%	212
NCI Average	**80%**	**15%**	**5%**	**10,374**

Table 179. Reasons cannot always see friends

State	Lack of Transportation	Lack of Staff	Rules and Restrictions	Cost	Difficulty Finding Time	Other	N
AL	55%	1%	7%	3%	32%	3%	76
AR	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
AZ	32%	6%	32%	3%	6%	21%	34
CO	15%	6%	12%	1%	51%	15%	67
CT	27%	24%	16%	2%	9%	22%	45
DC	48%	19%	15%	7%	11%	0%	27
DE	20%	12%	27%	2%	31%	8%	51
FL	34%	9%	7%	9%	29%	13%	152
GA	58%	13%	6%	4%	15%	4%	48
HI	33%	0%	7%	0%	4%	56%	27
IL	39%	13%	9%	9%	9%	22%	23
IN	22%	2%	3%	0%	57%	15%	89
KS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
KY	45%	7%	31%	3%	10%	3%	29
LA	16%	9%	14%	0%	23%	39%	44
MA	24%	16%	11%	2%	27%	20%	45
ME	35%	10%	4%	1%	26%	23%	91
MI	49%	2%	15%	5%	12%	17%	41
MN	34%	8%	6%	6%	34%	12%	50
MO	11%	17%	25%	11%	19%	17%	36
MS	34%	5%	3%	3%	34%	21%	38
NC	42%	6%	8%	3%	12%	29%	65
NV	28%	4%	14%	5%	28%	20%	74
NY	32%	14%	11%	3%	27%	14%	37
OH	36%	9%	7%	2%	26%	21%	58
OK	18%	0%	15%	3%	21%	44%	39
PA	25%	14%	8%	3%	11%	39%	36
RI	23%	13%	8%	2%	34%	20%	61
SD	30%	19%	16%	8%	14%	14%	37
TN	12%	6%	9%	3%	48%	21%	33
UT	29%	9%	17%	3%	26%	17%	35
VA	41%	8%	6%	4%	31%	10%	51
VT	35%	1%	2%	1%	49%	11%	81
WA	19%	7%	9%	2%	47%	16%	43
WI	40%	4%	0%	4%	32%	20%	50
WY	19%	13%	19%	3%	16%	31%	32
NCI Average	**31%**	**9%**	**12%**	**4%**	**25%**	**19%**	**1,745**

Table 180. Has other ways to communicate with friends when cannot see them

State	Yes	Sometimes	No	N
AL	78%	7%	15%	309
AR	85%	5%	10%	196
AZ	78%	9%	13%	393
CO	75%	10%	15%	264
CT	81%	8%	10%	220
DC	84%	6%	10%	169
DE	80%	5%	16%	219
FL	83%	7%	10%	762
GA	84%	7%	9%	269
HI	62%	1%	37%	156
IL	81%	6%	13%	211
IN	78%	2%	19%	503
KS	81%	10%	9%	233
KY	69%	23%	8%	145
LA	89%	3%	8%	235
MA	86%	4%	10%	269
ME	78%	6%	17%	247
MI	76%	8%	16%	244
MN	82%	3%	15%	237
MO	87%	5%	7%	205
MS	85%	7%	8%	270
NC	79%	5%	15%	357
NV	84%	6%	9%	299
NY	75%	11%	14%	236
OH	82%	3%	15%	355
OK	85%	4%	11%	189
PA	81%	3%	17%	320
RI	78%	7%	16%	308
SD	88%	3%	9%	267
TN	82%	5%	13%	316
UT	80%	5%	15%	212
VA	79%	6%	15%	441
VT	67%	9%	24%	208
WA	90%	3%	7%	231
WI	84%	4%	12%	243
WY	88%	5%	7%	208
NCI Average	**81%**	**6%**	**13%**	**9,946**

Table 181. Can see and communicate with their family when they want

State	Whenever Person Wants or Chooses Not to See Family	Sometimes	No	N
AL	73%	21%	6%	323
AR	88%	10%	2%	212
AZ	96%	2%	2%	413
CO	83%	10%	6%	277
CT	90%	9%	1%	229
DC	80%	15%	5%	168
DE	79%	16%	5%	222
FL	84%	11%	4%	803
GA	90%	9%	2%	290
HI	90%	5%	5%	190
IL	85%	12%	2%	241
IN	92%	4%	4%	567
KS	93%	5%	2%	244
KY	75%	22%	3%	298
LA	86%	11%	3%	284
MA	89%	9%	2%	288
ME	61%	30%	9%	237
MI	87%	11%	2%	268
MN	94%	5%	1%	238
MO	84%	12%	4%	244
MS	86%	11%	3%	278
NC	82%	13%	5%	418
NV	88%	10%	2%	323
NY	79%	18%	3%	282
OH	91%	7%	2%	367
OK	85%	9%	6%	200
PA	93%	5%	1%	353
RI	86%	10%	4%	322
SD	85%	10%	5%	280
TN	90%	7%	3%	309
UT	86%	9%	5%	237
VA	86%	12%	2%	464
VT	70%	19%	11%	246
WA	93%	5%	2%	250
WI	88%	8%	4%	278
WY	84%	10%	6%	231
NCI Average	**85%**	**11%**	**4%**	**10,874**

Table 182. Feel lonely at least half the time

State	Yes, Often	Sometimes	No, Not Often	N
AL	14%	38%	48%	326
AR	16%	36%	48%	223
AZ	5%	8%	87%	415
CO	16%	35%	49%	302
CT	9%	30%	61%	244
DC	12%	40%	48%	191
DE	19%	32%	49%	243
FL	8%	29%	63%	824
GA	6%	42%	53%	297
HI	15%	26%	59%	188
IL	12%	41%	47%	250
IN	12%	24%	64%	563
KS	18%	37%	45%	262
KY	5%	31%	64%	298
LA	7%	32%	61%	285
MA	13%	34%	53%	293
ME	16%	29%	56%	273
MI	12%	32%	56%	277
MN	11%	31%	58%	252
MO	9%	29%	62%	259
MS	14%	42%	44%	286
NC	10%	37%	53%	430
NV	17%	30%	53%	339
NY	9%	36%	54%	307
OH	11%	30%	59%	382
OK	11%	32%	57%	209
PA	6%	31%	63%	418
RI	14%	32%	55%	339
SD	13%	40%	47%	276
TN	7%	27%	66%	331
UT	16%	36%	47%	231
VA	8%	31%	61%	472
VT	10%	38%	51%	259
WA	11%	33%	56%	257
WI	15%	27%	58%	282
WY	14%	39%	47%	233
NCI Average	**12%**	**33%**	**56%**	**11,316**

Table 183. Can go on a date if they want or can date with some restriction

State	Can Date, Is Married, or Living With Partner	With Restrictions or Rules	No	N
AL	79%	3%	18%	312
AR	73%	19%	8%	182
AZ	17%	67%	16%	389
CO	74%	11%	15%	243
CT	49%	30%	21%	187
DC	66%	15%	19%	175
DE	57%	34%	9%	202
FL	79%	9%	12%	639
GA	82%	12%	6%	267
HI	52%	16%	32%	146
IL	59%	16%	25%	209
IN	71%	9%	20%	461
KS	85%	9%	6%	235
KY	58%	27%	15%	233
LA	68%	20%	12%	227
MA	77%	12%	12%	252
ME	74%	19%	7%	199
MI	76%	13%	11%	230
MN	70%	21%	9%	199
MO	77%	14%	9%	202
MS	70%	15%	15%	198
NC	56%	30%	14%	373
NV	71%	17%	12%	312
NY	69%	14%	17%	213
OH	79%	10%	11%	328
OK	68%	13%	19%	192
PA	73%	17%	11%	320
RI	68%	15%	17%	302
SD	80%	12%	8%	255
TN	85%	8%	8%	253
UT	74%	16%	10%	210
VA	58%	19%	23%	398
VT	88%	4%	8%	197
WA	87%	6%	7%	184
WI	82%	10%	8%	208
WY	72%	18%	10%	213
NCI Average	**70%**	**17%**	**13%**	**9,345**

Satisfaction (un-collapsed)

Table 184. Like their home

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	89%	5%	6%	334
AR	93%	3%	4%	223
AZ	98%	1%	1%	420
CO	86%	9%	4%	308
CT	90%	6%	4%	247
DC	88%	7%	5%	195
DE	83%	8%	9%	278
FL	91%	7%	2%	872
GA	90%	9%	1%	311
HI	94%	3%	3%	200
IL	91%	4%	5%	260
IN	97%	2%	1%	587
KS	86%	10%	4%	273
KY	89%	7%	4%	301
LA	92%	4%	3%	293
MA	86%	7%	7%	314
ME	83%	11%	6%	287
MI	89%	6%	5%	287
MN	89%	6%	5%	253
MO	85%	8%	6%	274
MS	87%	6%	6%	315
NC	90%	7%	3%	448
NV	80%	10%	10%	349
NY	86%	7%	7%	323
OH	87%	6%	7%	403
OK	93%	3%	4%	212
PA	90%	5%	5%	447
RI	91%	6%	4%	350
SD	89%	6%	4%	289
TN	93%	4%	3%	336
UT	91%	5%	5%	243
VA	91%	6%	3%	508
VT	92%	6%	2%	288
WA	86%	9%	4%	267
WI	89%	5%	6%	292
WY	86%	11%	3%	236
NCI Average	**89%**	**6%**	**4%**	**11,823**

Table 185. Reasons why person does not like home (categories are not mutually exclusive)

State	Accessibility	Feels Unsafe in Neighborhood	Home Needs Repairs and Upkeep	Doesn't Feel Like Home	N
AL	0%	0%	1%	1%	334
AR	0%	0%	1%	1%	223
AZ	1%	0%	0%	0%	421
CO	0%	2%	1%	1%	308
CT	0%	0%	0%	0%	249
DC	1%	2%	2%	1%	194
DE	1%	3%	1%	4%	277
FL	0%	1%	1%	1%	878
GA	0%	1%	0%	0%	310
HI	1%	0%	0%	0%	200
IL	0%	2%	0%	1%	262
IN	0%	0%	1%	0%	589
KS	0%	0%	0%	1%	280
KY	0%	2%	3%	2%	300
LA	0%	2%	1%	2%	293
MA	1%	2%	1%	1%	313
ME	0%	1%	1%	2%	287
MI	0%	1%	1%	1%	288
MN	0%	1%	1%	3%	256
MO	1%	1%	2%	2%	277
MS	1%	2%	1%	3%	335
NC	0%	0%	1%	1%	450
NV	2%	1%	0%	3%	310
NY	1%	2%	1%	1%	325
OH	1%	1%	1%	1%	403
OK	0%	0%	0%	0%	212
PA	1%	1%	1%	0%	453
RI	1%	1%	1%	1%	347
SD	0%	1%	1%	2%	290
TN	0%	1%	1%	1%	340
UT	1%	2%	2%	2%	244
VA	0%	1%	0%	1%	508
VT	0%	0%	0%	1%	293
WA	2%	1%	1%	1%	267
WI	1%	0%	1%	1%	296
WY	1%	0%	1%	1%	237
NCI Average	**1%**	**1%**	**1%**	**1%**	**11,849**

Table 186. Reasons why person does not like home, continued (categories are not mutually exclusive)

State	Problems With Roommates	Problems With Staff	Closer to Family or Friends	More Independence	Other	N
AL	3%	0%	1%	3%	2%	334
AR	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	223
AZ	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	421
CO	4%	2%	3%	6%	6%	308
CT	1%	1%	2%	3%	4%	249
DC	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	194
DE	8%	6%	3%	4%	1%	277
FL	2%	0%	1%	2%	3%	878
GA	0%	1%	2%	2%	4%	310
HI	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	200
IL	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%	262
IN	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	589
KS	2%	1%	2%	4%	5%	280
KY	7%	2%	1%	2%	1%	300
LA	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	293
MA	5%	3%	4%	5%	3%	313
ME	5%	2%	5%	4%	5%	287
MI	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	288
MN	5%	4%	2%	4%	4%	256
MO	3%	2%	4%	4%	5%	277
MS	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	335
NC	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	450
NV	5%	3%	2%	7%	10%	310
NY	1%	1%	2%	2%	5%	325
OH	2%	1%	2%	2%	6%	403
OK	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	212
PA	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	453
RI	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%	347
SD	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	290
TN	3%	1%	0%	1%	2%	340
UT	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	244
VA	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	508
VT	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	293
WA	2%	1%	0%	2%	6%	267
WI	2%	1%	2%	4%	5%	296
WY	3%	2%	3%	5%	5%	237
NCI Average	**3%**	**1%**	**2%**	**3%**	**3%**	**11,849**

Table 187. Want to live somewhere else

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	28%	4%	68%	329
AR	32%	7%	61%	222
AZ	13%	4%	83%	418
CO	20%	17%	63%	304
CT	31%	8%	61%	236
DC	32%	8%	59%	189
DE	43%	8%	49%	263
FL	23%	10%	68%	856
GA	21%	9%	70%	302
HI	23%	3%	73%	196
IL	27%	6%	67%	252
IN	21%	4%	75%	560
KS	23%	10%	68%	260
KY	19%	3%	78%	297
LA	29%	11%	60%	275
MA	26%	11%	63%	301
ME	27%	12%	62%	282
MI	29%	7%	64%	281
MN	29%	11%	60%	250
MO	28%	7%	64%	269
MS	23%	7%	69%	311
NC	28%	9%	64%	433
NV	46%	10%	44%	335
NY	20%	8%	72%	317
OH	32%	10%	58%	388
OK	31%	9%	60%	206
PA	23%	7%	70%	435
RI	27%	12%	62%	338
SD	37%	10%	53%	278
TN	17%	3%	80%	332
UT	28%	9%	63%	239
VA	25%	7%	68%	482
VT	27%	13%	61%	272
WA	22%	19%	59%	258
WI	28%	8%	64%	289
WY	26%	13%	61%	237
NCI Average	**27%**	**9%**	**65%**	**11,492**

Table 188. Have a paid job in the community and like where they work

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	100%	0%	0%	28
AR	100%	0%	0%	20
AZ	97%	2%	2%	58
CO	91%	9%	0%	47
CT	90%	6%	4%	108
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	84%	13%	4%	56
FL	89%	11%	0%	122
GA	96%	4%	0%	72
HI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	93%	7%	0%	27
IN	95%	4%	2%	131
KS	93%	5%	2%	44
KY	96%	4%	0%	27
LA	89%	11%	0%	47
MA	91%	6%	3%	89
ME	93%	7%	0%	72
MI	87%	10%	3%	31
MN	92%	7%	1%	124
MO	94%	3%	3%	36
MS	90%	6%	4%	52
NC	93%	5%	1%	76
NV	88%	11%	2%	57
NY	96%	2%	2%	48
OH	97%	2%	1%	94
OK	96%	4%	0%	50
PA	97%	2%	2%	60
RI	93%	5%	2%	57
SD	93%	4%	4%	107
TN	89%	11%	0%	47
UT	84%	16%	0%	64
VA	86%	10%	4%	51
VT	95%	5%	1%	128
WA	91%	4%	4%	138
WI	89%	8%	3%	36
WY	91%	5%	3%	91
NCI Average	**92%**	**6%**	**2%**	**2,295**

Table 189. Have a paid job in the community and want to work somewhere else

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	41%	7%	52%	27
AR	25%	20%	55%	20
AZ	17%	4%	80%	54
CO	28%	15%	57%	46
CT	29%	13%	58%	106
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	46%	13%	41%	56
FL	19%	11%	70%	122
GA	25%	19%	56%	73
HI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	39%	11%	50%	28
IN	21%	6%	73%	124
KS	14%	18%	68%	44
KY	11%	0%	89%	27
LA	13%	9%	78%	46
MA	36%	10%	55%	84
ME	27%	9%	64%	67
MI	35%	6%	58%	31
MN	34%	16%	50%	121
MO	12%	18%	71%	34
MS	27%	10%	63%	52
NC	24%	16%	59%	74
NV	31%	20%	49%	55
NY	27%	9%	64%	44
OH	24%	9%	67%	94
OK	23%	19%	58%	48
PA	25%	7%	68%	57
RI	32%	12%	56%	57
SD	28%	13%	59%	108
TN	22%	4%	73%	45
UT	37%	11%	52%	63
VA	33%	10%	57%	49
VT	28%	15%	56%	124
WA	20%	19%	61%	136
WI	31%	8%	61%	36
WY	28%	14%	58%	90
NCI Average	**27%**	**12%**	**61%**	**2,242**

Table 190. Attend a day program or workshop and wants to go less often

State	More	About the Same	Less	N
AL	23%	55%	23%	304
AR	28%	53%	19%	134
AZ	8%	88%	4%	218
CO	18%	69%	13%	182
CT	38%	51%	11%	99
DE	27%	40%	33%	187
FL	12%	74%	14%	354
GA	8%	85%	7%	202
HI	27%	60%	13%	128
IL	21%	63%	16%	198
IN	22%	66%	11%	303
KS	7%	80%	13%	170
KY	5%	75%	20%	215
LA	21%	68%	11%	132
MA	22%	60%	18%	194
ME	10%	72%	19%	200
MI	27%	62%	11%	140
MN	29%	52%	18%	119
MO	18%	70%	12%	108
MS	32%	42%	26%	216
NC	24%	67%	9%	243
NV	36%	47%	16%	225
NY	19%	75%	5%	166
OH	17%	65%	18%	239
OK	24%	54%	22%	63
PA	23%	67%	10%	211
RI	25%	55%	20%	222
SD	28%	54%	18%	165
TN	21%	66%	13%	148
UT	15%	68%	17%	133
VA	14%	71%	14%	312
VT	42%	44%	14%	36
WA	52%	39%	9%	23
WI	26%	60%	14%	108
WY	24%	55%	22%	102
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**23%**	**61%**	**17%**	**6,199**

Table 191. Services and Supports help person live a good life

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	95%	3%	2%	333
AR	93%	5%	2%	225
AZ	97%	2%	1%	416
CO	89%	9%	2%	307
CT	93%	7%	1%	241
DC	88%	8%	4%	168
DE	87%	8%	5%	230
FL	94%	6%	0%	827
GA	92%	8%	0%	300
HI	93%	4%	4%	195
IL	94%	6%	0%	254
IN	95%	4%	1%	566
KS	90%	8%	1%	252
KY	92%	7%	1%	296
LA	91%	8%	1%	283
MA	91%	6%	3%	309
ME	85%	13%	1%	280
MI	86%	13%	1%	267
MN	91%	7%	2%	250
MO	89%	9%	2%	259
MS	91%	7%	2%	292
NC	92%	6%	2%	418
NV	91%	7%	2%	346
NY	87%	11%	2%	307
OH	90%	7%	3%	384
OK	89%	6%	5%	209
PA	92%	6%	2%	423
RI	92%	7%	1%	335
SD	92%	6%	2%	282
TN	97%	2%	1%	328
UT	94%	5%	1%	239
VA	94%	4%	2%	494
VT	87%	11%	2%	254
WA	94%	5%	1%	258
WI	88%	10%	3%	289
WY	86%	10%	4%	234
NCI Average	**91%**	**7%**	**2%**	**11,350**

Service Coordination (un-collapsed)

Table 192. Met their case manager/service coordinator

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
AL	97%	2%	1%	334
AR	97%	3%	0%	216
AZ	98%	1%	1%	423
CO	93%	5%	2%	303
CT	94%	4%	2%	242
DC	95%	2%	4%	185
DE	81%	4%	15%	223
FL	99%	1%	0%	857
GA	91%	5%	4%	295
HI	96%	1%	4%	199
IL	97%	2%	1%	254
IN	96%	2%	2%	576
KS	97%	2%	1%	269
KY	97%	1%	2%	300
LA	95%	3%	1%	278
MA	94%	2%	4%	309
ME	98%	1%	1%	283
MI	97%	1%	2%	283
MN	91%	3%	6%	243
MO	98%	1%	1%	266
MS	94%	2%	4%	281
NC	96%	2%	3%	353
NV	96%	1%	3%	344
NY	97%	1%	2%	313
OH	96%	1%	3%	387
OK	90%	1%	9%	209
PA	96%	0%	3%	427
RI	91%	6%	3%	336
SD	97%	1%	2%	285
TN	99%	0%	1%	325
UT	98%	1%	1%	234
VA	95%	4%	1%	493
VT	100%	0%	0%	277
WA	87%	2%	11%	264
WI	97%	1%	2%	289
WY	100%	0%	0%	234
NCI Average	**95%**	**2%**	**3%**	**11,389**

Table 193. Case manager/service coordinator asks person what s/he want

State	Yes	Sometimes	No	N
AL	94%	4%	2%	324
AR	92%	4%	4%	212
AZ	95%	2%	2%	408
CO	88%	9%	4%	280
CT	88%	8%	5%	226
DC	82%	13%	5%	167
DE	70%	14%	16%	171
FL	95%	4%	1%	818
GA	87%	11%	2%	264
HI	86%	3%	11%	180
IL	90%	7%	3%	239
IN	95%	3%	2%	533
KS	91%	6%	3%	250
KY	92%	6%	2%	291
LA	90%	8%	3%	265
MA	85%	9%	6%	282
ME	78%	14%	8%	262
MI	84%	13%	3%	265
MN	89%	4%	8%	211
MO	86%	12%	2%	247
MS	87%	8%	5%	260
NC	87%	10%	4%	324
NV	84%	12%	4%	315
NY	87%	9%	4%	303
OH	89%	7%	4%	354
OK	85%	8%	7%	179
PA	92%	4%	4%	388
RI	86%	11%	3%	302
SD	86%	8%	6%	272
TN	98%	2%	0%	319
UT	88%	6%	5%	223
VA	89%	7%	3%	466
VT	74%	18%	8%	257
WA	93%	5%	2%	222
WI	86%	7%	7%	269
WY	92%	4%	4%	228
NCI Average	**88%**	**8%**	**4%**	**10,576**

Table 194. Able to contact case manager/service coordinator when wants

State	Yes	Sometimes	No	N
AL	86%	9%	5%	302
AR	90%	6%	4%	214
AZ	96%	1%	3%	410
CO	90%	5%	5%	292
CT	85%	9%	6%	226
DC	82%	6%	12%	172
DE	61%	9%	29%	179
FL	93%	5%	2%	773
GA	87%	4%	9%	219
HI	82%	4%	13%	181
IL	86%	11%	3%	235
IN	96%	2%	2%	472
KS	86%	12%	2%	230
KY	91%	5%	3%	293
LA	93%	5%	2%	260
MA	86%	7%	7%	271
ME	90%	5%	6%	196
MI	84%	12%	4%	276
MN	87%	4%	9%	222
MO	89%	3%	8%	212
MS	88%	4%	8%	265
NC	87%	5%	8%	315
NV	76%	13%	11%	336
NY	91%	6%	3%	278
OH	91%	7%	2%	353
OK	85%	3%	12%	195
PA	88%	3%	8%	321
RI	88%	6%	6%	308
SD	88%	8%	4%	278
TN	97%	2%	1%	322
UT	88%	5%	6%	217
VA	83%	6%	11%	438
VT	73%	15%	12%	203
WA	88%	5%	7%	181
WI	91%	4%	5%	278
WY	89%	7%	3%	231
NCI Average	**87%**	**6%**	**7%**	**10,154**

Table 195. Took part in last service planning meeting, or had the opportunity and chose not to

State	Yes	Had the Option But Chose Not to	No	N
AL	99%	1%	0%	324
AR	95%	2%	3%	181
AZ	97%	2%	1%	139
CO	98%	1%	1%	278
CT	96%	3%	1%	206
DC	96%	2%	2%	174
DE	94%	2%	4%	114
FL	99%	0%	1%	771
GA	99%	1%	0%	292
HI	97%	3%	1%	178
IL	98%	1%	0%	234
IN	98%	2%	0%	503
KS	97%	1%	2%	246
KY	97%	3%	0%	280
LA	98%	2%	0%	254
MA	98%	1%	1%	287
ME	97%	2%	1%	228
MI	96%	3%	1%	229
MN	98%	0%	2%	202
MO	95%	4%	1%	238
MS	90%	3%	7%	184
NC	97%	1%	2%	333
NV	97%	2%	1%	308
NY	98%	1%	1%	286
OH	96%	3%	2%	335
OK	94%	6%	1%	181
PA	98%	1%	1%	334
RI	97%	2%	1%	299
SD	98%	1%	0%	235
TN	94%	2%	4%	325
UT	98%	0%	2%	214
VA	98%	2%	0%	434
VT	96%	0%	4%	168
WA	98%	2%	0%	250
WI	95%	2%	3%	257
WY	97%	2%	1%	221
NCI Average	**97%**	**2%**	**1%**	**9,722**

Table 196. Understood what was talked about at last service planning meeting

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	93%	5%	2%	317
AR	87%	9%	4%	170
AZ	85%	10%	5%	130
CO	71%	23%	6%	271
CT	79%	18%	3%	192
DC	80%	15%	5%	167
DE	78%	17%	5%	101
FL	90%	7%	3%	751
GA	83%	16%	1%	283
HI	80%	9%	10%	169
IL	88%	10%	2%	225
IN	86%	10%	5%	484
KS	91%	9%	0%	233
KY	93%	5%	2%	268
LA	89%	7%	4%	244
MA	88%	10%	3%	271
ME	73%	23%	4%	213
MI	79%	17%	4%	214
MN	86%	13%	2%	189
MO	83%	15%	2%	213
MS	81%	17%	3%	156
NC	84%	14%	2%	311
NV	81%	14%	5%	286
NY	91%	7%	2%	273
OH	85%	12%	4%	310
OK	71%	16%	12%	161
PA	84%	12%	4%	321
RI	77%	18%	4%	273
SD	82%	13%	5%	222
TN	89%	9%	2%	302
UT	85%	10%	6%	200
VA	83%	12%	5%	406
VT	78%	19%	3%	157
WA	85%	13%	3%	240
WI	77%	18%	5%	243
WY	84%	12%	4%	206
NCI Average	**83%**	**13%**	**4%**	**9,172**

Table 197. Last service planning meeting included people person wanted to be there

State	Yes	In-between	No	N
AL	94%	4%	2%	318
AR	91%	5%	4%	172
AZ	89%	4%	7%	133
CO	88%	9%	3%	274
CT	96%	3%	1%	204
DC	88%	8%	4%	161
DE	79%	10%	10%	106
FL	97%	2%	1%	757
GA	97%	3%	0%	282
HI	95%	2%	3%	167
IL	93%	3%	3%	231
IN	96%	3%	1%	495
KS	97%	2%	1%	239
KY	93%	5%	1%	281
LA	94%	4%	2%	243
MA	94%	4%	2%	284
ME	92%	4%	4%	220
MI	91%	7%	2%	211
MN	93%	2%	4%	202
MO	92%	4%	4%	219
MS	86%	7%	8%	168
NC	94%	3%	3%	318
NV	88%	8%	4%	303
NY	92%	4%	3%	270
OH	93%	5%	2%	324
OK	86%	7%	8%	169
PA	96%	2%	2%	322
RI	92%	4%	4%	285
SD	93%	5%	2%	242
TN	97%	2%	2%	316
UT	91%	6%	3%	211
VA	94%	4%	2%	429
VT	88%	8%	4%	155
WA	94%	4%	2%	246
WI	95%	3%	2%	253
WY	91%	4%	5%	215
NCI Average	**92%**	**5%**	**3%**	**9,425**

Table 198. Person was able to choose services they get as part of service plan

State	Yes	Had Some Input	No	N
AL	72%	11%	17%	319
AR	81%	15%	4%	175
AZ	64%	33%	3%	132
CO	63%	34%	3%	273
CT	69%	23%	8%	201
DC	79%	17%	4%	163
DE	56%	24%	20%	95
FL	89%	10%	1%	759
GA	85%	15%	0%	284
HI	82%	9%	9%	168
IL	77%	19%	4%	226
IN	91%	8%	1%	490
KS	81%	16%	2%	243
KY	93%	7%	1%	280
LA	84%	13%	2%	251
MA	71%	25%	5%	273
ME	79%	19%	2%	217
MI	72%	23%	5%	219
MN	66%	27%	7%	197
MO	72%	21%	7%	208
MS	55%	31%	14%	181
NC	70%	24%	6%	308
NV	72%	23%	5%	301
NY	78%	17%	5%	271
OH	77%	18%	5%	319
OK	67%	16%	17%	167
PA	81%	13%	6%	304
RI	73%	23%	5%	288
SD	77%	17%	6%	236
TN	88%	11%	1%	321
UT	91%	6%	2%	204
VA	86%	9%	5%	430
VT	59%	35%	6%	163
WA	74%	23%	2%	231
WI	62%	26%	12%	250
WY	74%	20%	6%	213
NCI Average	**75%**	**19%**	**6%**	**9,360**

Table 199. Staff show up and leave when they are supposed to

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
AL	98%	1%	1%	313
AR	98%	1%	1%	200
AZ	96%	2%	2%	300
CO	89%	8%	3%	267
CT	93%	4%	3%	222
DC	94%	3%	3%	163
DE	85%	8%	7%	214
FL	96%	3%	1%	746
GA	88%	11%	0%	290
HI	87%	9%	4%	178
IL	96%	3%	1%	225
IN	96%	3%	2%	500
KS	90%	9%	2%	252
KY	94%	5%	1%	283
LA	96%	3%	1%	254
MA	87%	11%	2%	263
ME	93%	6%	2%	266
MI	90%	7%	3%	218
MN	91%	4%	5%	226
MO	91%	6%	3%	250
MS	95%	4%	1%	278
NC	92%	6%	2%	380
NV	93%	4%	2%	323
NY	92%	7%	2%	255
OH	95%	3%	2%	312
OK	95%	2%	3%	185
PA	93%	5%	2%	312
RI	87%	9%	3%	295
SD	91%	5%	4%	253
TN	99%	1%	0%	326
UT	96%	3%	1%	232
VA	95%	3%	2%	460
VT	91%	8%	1%	217
WA	95%	3%	2%	237
WI	94%	3%	3%	204
WY	88%	8%	4%	215
NCI Average	**93%**	**5%**	**2%**	**10,114**

Access (un-collapsed)

Table 200. Has a way to get places needs to go

State	Yes, Almost Always	Sometimes	No, Almost Never	N
AL	93%	7%	1%	334
AR	98%	2%	0%	226
AZ	95%	5%	0%	417
CO	93%	7%	0%	306
CT	96%	4%	0%	240
DC	90%	10%	0%	193
DE	93%	7%	0%	228
FL	92%	7%	0%	830
GA	81%	18%	1%	301
HI	93%	5%	2%	198
IL	92%	7%	2%	255
IN	96%	4%	0%	567
KS	90%	9%	0%	266
KY	85%	14%	1%	293
LA	94%	6%	0%	289
MA	97%	3%	1%	311
ME	94%	6%	0%	280
MI	88%	12%	0%	284
MN	96%	4%	1%	253
MO	95%	5%	0%	264
MS	91%	8%	1%	295
NC	94%	6%	0%	428
NV	89%	9%	2%	344
NY	89%	10%	1%	310
OH	96%	4%	1%	390
OK	98%	2%	0%	210
PA	94%	5%	0%	435
RI	95%	5%	1%	340
SD	92%	7%	1%	277
TN	99%	1%	0%	326
UT	90%	9%	1%	234
VA	93%	6%	1%	496
VT	92%	7%	1%	269
WA	95%	5%	0%	261
WI	93%	6%	1%	294
WY	90%	9%	1%	235
NCI Average	**93%**	**7%**	**1%**	**11,479**

Table 201. Has a way to get places when wants to go outside of home

State	Yes, Almost Always	Sometimes	No, Almost Never	N
AL	77%	20%	3%	332
AR	92%	6%	2%	225
AZ	91%	9%	1%	424
CO	83%	16%	1%	304
CT	85%	13%	2%	241
DC	80%	18%	3%	192
DE	71%	22%	7%	219
FL	81%	18%	1%	832
GA	74%	24%	2%	293
HI	88%	7%	5%	191
IL	80%	17%	3%	250
IN	84%	14%	1%	563
KS	82%	16%	2%	264
KY	85%	13%	2%	297
LA	86%	13%	1%	289
MA	87%	11%	2%	309
ME	73%	25%	2%	264
MI	79%	19%	2%	278
MN	91%	8%	1%	253
MO	85%	14%	1%	264
MS	75%	20%	5%	291
NC	81%	15%	3%	429
NV	74%	22%	4%	339
NY	81%	17%	2%	301
OH	87%	10%	3%	388
OK	88%	8%	4%	206
PA	93%	7%	1%	432
RI	79%	17%	4%	335
SD	80%	17%	3%	281
TN	96%	4%	0%	327
UT	82%	16%	2%	239
VA	88%	10%	2%	494
VT	67%	27%	7%	254
WA	91%	9%	0%	263
WI	80%	16%	4%	289
WY	77%	20%	3%	235
NCI Average	**83%**	**15%**	**3%**	**11,387**

Table 202. Staff have adequate training to meet the person's needs (proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
AL	93%	4%	3%	380
AR	96%	3%	2%	375
AZ	92%	5%	3%	472
CO	86%	9%	4%	405
CT	92%	4%	4%	324
DC	91%	5%	4%	393
DE	81%	7%	11%	314
FL	94%	4%	2%	1,432
GA	87%	12%	1%	446
HI	92%	6%	3%	390
IL	93%	6%	1%	375
IN	87%	10%	3%	821
KS	92%	7%	1%	361
KY	91%	7%	2%	448
LA	94%	4%	2%	424
MA	88%	7%	5%	415
ME	91%	7%	2%	380
MI	87%	7%	5%	430
MN	92%	6%	2%	407
MO	93%	5%	2%	396
MS	90%	5%	5%	400
NC	90%	6%	4%	741
NV	83%	11%	6%	397
NY	84%	10%	5%	485
OH	91%	5%	5%	542
OK	95%	3%	2%	397
PA	93%	5%	3%	674
RI	88%	9%	4%	459
SD	91%	5%	3%	340
TN	98%	1%	1%	437
UT	94%	4%	2%	389
VA	89%	8%	3%	806
VT	87%	10%	3%	325
WA	92%	6%	2%	397
WI	86%	8%	6%	403
WY	87%	8%	5%	308
NCI Average	**90%**	**6%**	**3%**	**16,888**

Table 203. Additional services needed (categories are not mutually exclusive; proxy responses allowed)

State	Service Coordination, Case Management	Respite or Family Support	Transport-ation	Job Assistance	Day Services (Not Employment)	Education	Health Care Coordination
AL	1%	3%	27%	59%	1%	14%	3%
AR	2%	7%	6%	13%	3%	13%	3%
AZ	5%	20%	26%	20%	9%	26%	11%
CO	20%	28%	35%	30%	18%	27%	15%
CT	15%	24%	37%	24%	21%	28%	16%
DC	3%	6%	26%	31%	5%	28%	6%
DE	38%	22%	24%	50%	20%	42%	18%
FL	3%	13%	14%	18%	8%	9%	5%
GA	11%	12%	26%	21%	3%	14%	11%
HI	4%	21%	23%	11%	5%	14%	4%
IL	5%	5%	12%	16%	4%	9%	5%
IN	1%	22%	21%	11%	10%	15%	6%
KS	45%	7%	33%	21%	36%	14%	44%
KY	3%	9%	20%	22%	9%	11%	5%
LA	2%	8%	11%	25%	4%	19%	7%
MA	11%	16%	15%	19%	12%	15%	7%
ME	3%	11%	15%	34%	5%	20%	2%
MI	7%	16%	31%	33%	22%	33%	10%
MN	26%	23%	44%	36%	23%	35%	17%
MO	6%	6%	16%	31%	11%	24%	10%
MS	13%	28%	34%	25%	15%	40%	18%
NC	15%	27%	23%	26%	14%	32%	13%
NV	28%	26%	49%	37%	30%	35%	33%
NY	24%	14%	33%	19%	15%	21%	30%
OH	20%	14%	31%	32%	23%	36%	15%
OK	16%	16%	34%	18%	13%	30%	5%
PA	6%	18%	22%	16%	12%	18%	6%
RI	19%	19%	38%	43%	23%	38%	22%
SD	29%	14%	51%	33%	19%	25%	25%
TN	6%	11%	8%	27%	2%	22%	2%
UT	6%	10%	13%	16%	8%	19%	7%
VA	8%	19%	19%	21%	13%	18%	9%
VT	13%	23%	22%	29%	35%	11%	3%
WA	15%	25%	25%	26%	16%	18%	13%
WI	17%	17%	42%	20%	14%	27%	14%
WY	32%	13%	29%	30%	21%	17%	22%
NCI Average	**13%**	**16%**	**26%**	**26%**	**14%**	**23%**	**12%**

Table 204. Additional services needed, continued (categories are not mutually exclusive; proxy responses allowed)

State	Dental Care Coordination	Housing Assistance	Residential Support Services	Social or Relationship	Communication Technology	Environmental Adaptations	Benefits Information	Other
AL	2%	29%	8%	37%	4%	5%	2%	9%
AR	12%	6%	2%	14%	8%	7%	2%	54%
AZ	16%	14%	9%	19%	16%	11%	12%	35%
CO	13%	12%	13%	32%	16%	11%	17%	14%
CT	14%	14%	22%	31%	19%	11%	13%	19%
DC	9%	16%	5%	18%	17%	5%	1%	22%
DE	18%	23%	15%	44%	21%	4%	5%	9%
FL	17%	4%	5%	19%	7%	8%	2%	32%
GA	26%	8%	15%	8%	9%	0%	2%	12%
HI	14%	11%	2%	6%	9%	4%	7%	50%
IL	5%	8%	5%	12%	7%	3%	2%	55%
IN	5%	7%	17%	16%	9%	6%	4%	33%
KS	42%	7%	36%	20%	5%	7%	27%	13%
KY	3%	22%	5%	17%	8%	5%	7%	14%
LA	16%	18%	6%	20%	8%	13%	4%	39%
MA	8%	10%	12%	20%	11%	5%	9%	39%
ME	7%	12%	4%	31%	15%	4%	1%	48%
MI	21%	17%	21%	33%	17%	7%	7%	8%
MN	21%	24%	16%	30%	17%	17%	18%	4%
MO	19%	14%	8%	23%	14%	11%	8%	20%
MS	28%	10%	15%	22%	20%	22%	29%	8%
NC	16%	11%	13%	26%	21%	15%	7%	36%
NV	46%	36%	23%	42%	20%	9%	23%	27%
NY	27%	18%	9%	36%	12%	5%	30%	18%
OH	17%	21%	14%	28%	22%	13%	15%	23%
OK	6%	10%	10%	15%	18%	18%	10%	45%
PA	8%	13%	9%	18%	11%	9%	5%	26%
RI	19%	23%	21%	42%	27%	18%	21%	22%
SD	27%	23%	31%	25%	29%	12%	29%	6%
TN	1%	12%	4%	21%	18%	8%	3%	53%
UT	16%	7%	7%	15%	9%	9%	5%	38%
VA	36%	7%	10%	18%	22%	14%	4%	20%
VT	3%	9%	1%	28%	4%	1%	1%	25%
WA	18%	11%	9%	21%	12%	10%	8%	17%
WI	24%	17%	19%	29%	12%	19%	23%	23%
WY	20%	16%	24%	18%	13%	5%	8%	25%
NCI Average	**17%**	**14%**	**12%**	**24%**	**14%**	**9%**	**10%**	**26%**

Health (un-collapsed)

Table 205. Have a primary care doctor or primary care practitioner (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	99%	1%	393
AR	97%	3%	390
AZ	97%	3%	463
CO	96%	4%	405
CT	98%	2%	341
DC	100%	0%	346
DE	99%	1%	424
FL	98%	2%	1,442
GA	98%	2%	462
HI	99%	1%	395
IL	97%	3%	393
IN	98%	2%	827
KS	99%	1%	371
KY	98%	2%	450
LA	97%	3%	433
MA	99%	1%	417
ME	98%	2%	397
MI	97%	3%	431
MN	99%	1%	409
MO	99%	1%	398
MS	97%	3%	395
NC	98%	2%	817
NV	99%	1%	393
NY	98%	2%	497
OH	97%	3%	593
OK	99%	1%	400
PA	97%	3%	627
RI	99%	1%	375
SD	99%	1%	362
TN	96%	4%	435
UT	97%	3%	386
VA	98%	2%	778
VT	98%	2%	326
WA	99%	1%	401
WI	100%	0%	391
WY	98%	2%	313
NCI Average	**98%**	**2%**	**17,076**

Table 206. Overall health (proxy responses allowed)

State	Excellent	Very Good	Fairly Good	Poor	N
AL	17%	60%	23%	1%	376
AR	19%	43%	35%	3%	368
AZ	8%	30%	59%	3%	465
CO	13%	56%	29%	3%	404
CT	27%	53%	19%	1%	323
DC	31%	49%	18%	2%	390
DE	25%	47%	25%	3%	372
FL	18%	51%	27%	3%	1,420
GA	17%	59%	23%	1%	447
HI	26%	45%	27%	2%	374
IL	17%	46%	35%	2%	368
IN	17%	54%	26%	3%	815
KS	18%	41%	38%	4%	353
KY	4%	44%	46%	7%	443
LA	23%	47%	27%	3%	423
MA	22%	49%	27%	2%	408
ME	22%	50%	25%	3%	376
MI	18%	48%	31%	3%	427
MN	21%	50%	24%	4%	403
MO	14%	54%	28%	4%	388
MS	13%	49%	32%	5%	397
NC	18%	49%	31%	2%	739
NV	26%	48%	24%	3%	393
NY	16%	47%	35%	1%	483
OH	19%	46%	31%	5%	537
OK	20%	50%	26%	4%	397
PA	21%	51%	26%	2%	660
RI	21%	49%	28%	2%	449
SD	28%	43%	26%	4%	334
TN	16%	52%	28%	4%	433
UT	20%	49%	28%	3%	387
VA	21%	47%	29%	3%	806
VT	26%	45%	25%	4%	321
WA	18%	46%	34%	3%	396
WI	14%	48%	34%	4%	403
WY	20%	43%	32%	5%	303
NCI Average	**19%**	**48%**	**30%**	**3%**	**16,781**

Table 207. Had a complete physical exam in the past year (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Past Year	One Year or More	N
AL	93%	7%	392
AR	97%	3%	377
AZ	81%	19%	365
CO	89%	11%	387
CT	95%	5%	316
DC	83%	17%	268
DE	84%	16%	398
FL	94%	6%	1,438
GA	89%	11%	454
HI	76%	24%	396
IL	86%	14%	372
IN	90%	10%	809
KS	81%	19%	363
KY	88%	12%	412
LA	94%	6%	409
MA	93%	7%	406
ME	95%	5%	388
MI	90%	10%	397
MN	64%	36%	386
MO	96%	4%	391
MS	n/a	n/a	n/a
NC	93%	7%	774
NV	91%	9%	383
NY	90%	10%	487
OH	85%	15%	498
OK	97%	3%	400
PA	92%	8%	604
RI	88%	12%	319
SD	95%	5%	353
TN	93%	7%	432
UT	90%	10%	361
VA	86%	14%	749
VT	88%	12%	316
WA	86%	14%	395
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	80%	20%	314
NCI Average	**89%**	**11%**	**15,509**

Table 208. Had a dental exam in the past year (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Within Last Six Months	Within the Past Year	One Year or More	N
AL	50%	32%	19%	345
AR	55%	31%	13%	318
AZ	42%	33%	25%	313
CO	24%	47%	29%	384
CT	59%	35%	5%	300
DC	17%	69%	14%	249
DE	29%	60%	11%	390
FL	42%	38%	20%	1,404
GA	32%	45%	23%	402
HI	25%	31%	44%	319
IL	42%	37%	20%	327
IN	58%	27%	15%	795
KS	48%	33%	20%	312
KY	33%	50%	16%	363
LA	46%	29%	25%	357
MA	58%	34%	7%	401
ME	44%	36%	21%	369
MI	39%	34%	26%	341
MN	59%	14%	27%	396
MO	51%	35%	14%	374
MS	21%	43%	36%	258
NC	57%	29%	15%	739
NV	46%	33%	21%	345
NY	62%	28%	9%	457
OH	32%	48%	20%	419
OK	60%	27%	14%	388
PA	49%	35%	17%	528
RI	49%	39%	12%	247
SD	83%	14%	3%	346
TN	67%	23%	11%	409
UT	34%	45%	20%	318
VA	40%	32%	28%	645
VT	63%	23%	14%	295
WA	58%	20%	22%	399
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	36%	40%	24%	306
NCI Average	**46%**	**35%**	**19%**	**14,558**

Table 209. Had an eye exam in the past year (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Within the Past Year	Within Past 2 Years	Within Past 3 Years	Within Past 5 Years	5 Years Or More	Never Had Test/Screening	N
AL	49%	33%	10%	4%	4%	0%	313
AR	70%	15%	5%	2%	6%	2%	285
AZ	61%	20%	8%	4%	7%	1%	226
CO	41%	39%	11%	7%	1%	0%	335
CT	59%	32%	7%	1%	0%	0%	231
DC	76%	20%	2%	1%	0%	0%	187
DE	64%	30%	5%	1%	0%	0%	351
FL	54%	25%	6%	4%	8%	3%	1,342
GA	64%	19%	5%	3%	6%	3%	306
HI	50%	25%	7%	3%	5%	10%	282
IL	73%	18%	4%	3%	3%	0%	298
IN	51%	27%	5%	4%	7%	5%	772
KS	59%	28%	4%	3%	6%	0%	282
KY	69%	16%	5%	4%	4%	1%	304
LA	61%	16%	5%	5%	10%	4%	329
MA	61%	24%	6%	3%	4%	1%	376
ME	39%	36%	15%	6%	3%	1%	356
MI	48%	27%	8%	3%	9%	5%	293
MN	56%	23%	7%	5%	8%	0%	351
MO	67%	26%	3%	1%	3%	1%	375
MS	58%	29%	2%	3%	7%	1%	195
NC	50%	26%	8%	4%	9%	4%	647
NV	72%	18%	3%	2%	1%	5%	307
NY	69%	22%	3%	2%	3%	0%	450
OH	53%	33%	5%	4%	3%	2%	393
OK	66%	12%	4%	2%	10%	6%	385
PA	69%	22%	4%	1%	4%	1%	454
RI	58%	32%	4%	1%	4%	0%	235
SD	71%	23%	3%	1%	1%	0%	346
TN	80%	15%	2%	1%	2%	0%	396
UT	49%	25%	7%	5%	9%	4%	202
VA	53%	22%	6%	4%	11%	4%	467
VT	54%	31%	6%	4%	5%	1%	250
WA	49%	19%	7%	3%	11%	10%	346
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	57%	33%	5%	1%	3%	0%	297
NCI Average	**59%**	**25%**	**6%**	**3%**	**5%**	**2%**	**12,964**

Table 210. Had a hearing test in the past five years (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Within Past 5 Years	5 Years Or More	Never Had Test/Screening	N
AL	65%	19%	15%	168
AR	69%	24%	7%	217
AZ	70%	24%	6%	162
CO	99%	1%	0%	77
CT	76%	18%	6%	152
DC	98%	2%	0%	156
DE	73%	26%	1%	111
FL	48%	40%	12%	1,125
GA	61%	18%	21%	218
HI	71%	8%	21%	231
IL	78%	19%	3%	210
IN	32%	45%	24%	698
KS	46%	41%	13%	149
KY	56%	37%	7%	179
LA	56%	30%	14%	251
MA	61%	21%	18%	318
ME	47%	33%	21%	190
MI	48%	30%	22%	224
MN	63%	37%	0%	230
MO	55%	19%	27%	249
MS	46%	41%	13%	144
NC	50%	35%	15%	485
NV	58%	7%	35%	151
NY	77%	18%	5%	395
OH	61%	27%	12%	242
OK	42%	29%	29%	375
PA	86%	9%	4%	310
RI	58%	33%	9%	150
SD	49%	42%	10%	278
TN	91%	7%	2%	354
UT	56%	35%	10%	133
VA	46%	34%	20%	282
VT	59%	29%	12%	181
WA	31%	43%	26%	284
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	38%	37%	25%	197
NCI Average	**61%**	**26%**	**13%**	**9,276**

Table 211. Had a Pap test in the past three years (among women; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Within the Past Year	Within Past 2 Years	Within Past 3 Years	Within Past 5 Years	5 Years or More	Never Had Test/ Screening	N
AL	59%	12%	6%	3%	8%	12%	147
AR	57%	12%	3%	5%	7%	16%	115
AZ	49%	9%	12%	0%	7%	23%	57
CO	26%	22%	7%	13%	9%	22%	54
CT	48%	27%	5%	4%	4%	11%	92
DC	76%	18%	6%	0%	0%	0%	34
DE	62%	22%	8%	3%	3%	2%	124
FL	39%	11%	6%	3%	8%	34%	541
GA	60%	19%	3%	1%	7%	9%	116
HI	23%	20%	6%	6%	14%	31%	110
IL	40%	21%	7%	5%	11%	16%	85
IN	23%	10%	7%	5%	12%	44%	308
KS	23%	23%	5%	10%	8%	30%	77
KY	52%	16%	6%	4%	7%	14%	97
LA	51%	17%	5%	5%	3%	19%	111
MA	30%	18%	7%	11%	17%	16%	149
ME	25%	20%	9%	8%	18%	19%	108
MI	34%	14%	9%	4%	13%	26%	120
MN	18%	26%	10%	12%	34%	0%	102
MO	30%	18%	10%	6%	15%	20%	119
MS	41%	30%	10%	2%	3%	14%	145
NC	40%	10%	6%	6%	10%	28%	247
NV	58%	19%	6%	3%	4%	11%	102
NY	56%	20%	3%	5%	3%	13%	158
OH	40%	14%	13%	5%	8%	19%	118
OK	32%	9%	5%	4%	10%	39%	170
PA	56%	18%	3%	6%	7%	10%	135
RI	35%	24%	2%	2%	12%	23%	82
SD	27%	20%	13%	6%	24%	9%	128
TN	41%	20%	11%	9%	7%	13%	103
UT	25%	17%	7%	10%	10%	32%	60
VA	38%	13%	8%	4%	8%	29%	182
VT	30%	18%	9%	8%	9%	25%	99
WA	27%	17%	6%	4%	6%	40%	114
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	39%	20%	4%	4%	7%	27%	105
NCI Average	**40%**	**18%**	**7%**	**5%**	**9%**	**20%**	**4,614**

Table 212. Had a mammogram test in the past two years (among women age 40 and over; (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Within the Past Year	Within Past 2 Years	Within Past 3 Years	Within Past 5 Years	5 Years or More	Never Had Test/Screening	N
AL	68%	19%	5%	3%	2%	3%	103
AR	81%	2%	0%	2%	3%	12%	58
AZ	56%	24%	8%	4%	0%	8%	25
CO	24%	32%	11%	21%	3%	11%	38
CT	63%	22%	2%	5%	2%	8%	64
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	58%	22%	8%	7%	2%	3%	88
FL	60%	16%	2%	3%	5%	15%	225
GA	72%	12%	0%	0%	1%	15%	67
HI	24%	29%	6%	11%	6%	23%	62
IL	66%	22%	3%	2%	3%	3%	59
IN	49%	12%	3%	4%	6%	27%	173
KS	50%	9%	9%	9%	9%	15%	34
KY	80%	13%	0%	0%	2%	4%	45
LA	72%	10%	0%	0%	2%	16%	61
MA	70%	9%	9%	1%	7%	4%	112
ME	52%	23%	6%	6%	4%	10%	83
MI	53%	16%	5%	4%	4%	19%	83
MN	71%	9%	6%	7%	7%	0%	70
MO	70%	15%	0%	1%	3%	11%	74
MS	46%	27%	4%	1%	4%	17%	70
NC	61%	11%	8%	2%	3%	15%	130
NV	73%	17%	2%	0%	2%	6%	52
NY	67%	13%	2%	6%	3%	9%	87
OH	73%	9%	3%	5%	0%	11%	66
OK	61%	4%	4%	4%	4%	24%	80
PA	61%	16%	6%	4%	8%	5%	80
RI	55%	22%	7%	2%	11%	4%	55
SD	69%	18%	4%	0%	3%	6%	67
TN	69%	16%	4%	2%	2%	6%	94
UT	33%	27%	9%	6%	0%	24%	33
VA	49%	22%	4%	6%	6%	15%	109
VT	62%	16%	13%	2%	4%	4%	55
WA	52%	7%	7%	2%	0%	31%	42
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	58%	13%	6%	2%	6%	15%	53
NCI Average	**60%**	**16%**	**5%**	**4%**	**4%**	**12%**	**2,597**

Table 213 Had a colorectal cancer screening in past year (among people age 50 and over; comes from Background Information section)

State	Within the Past Year	Within Past 2 Years	Within Past 3 Years	Within Past 5 Years	5 Years or More	Never Had Test/Screening	N
AL	24%	11%	10%	10%	14%	31%	83
AR	17%	19%	9%	15%	15%	25%	53
AZ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
CO	12%	24%	15%	18%	15%	15%	33
CT	18%	21%	11%	18%	24%	9%	91
DC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	27%	25%	9%	18%	16%	5%	79
FL	18%	18%	7%	12%	9%	36%	262
GA	34%	16%	0%	1%	4%	46%	83
HI	8%	4%	8%	4%	0%	77%	26
IL	18%	14%	9%	13%	9%	36%	76
IN	19%	8%	7%	7%	8%	51%	178
KS	24%	20%	5%	8%	11%	33%	66
KY	36%	17%	4%	6%	6%	30%	47
LA	17%	13%	6%	11%	10%	43%	70
MA	17%	17%	9%	17%	23%	18%	142
ME	14%	8%	10%	21%	15%	32%	78
MI	18%	23%	8%	10%	4%	36%	98
MN	15%	13%	13%	26%	33%	0%	89
MO	17%	17%	10%	17%	13%	25%	104
MS	19%	19%	6%	3%	8%	44%	77
NC	19%	17%	11%	18%	13%	23%	173
NV	44%	3%	5%	3%	3%	44%	39
NY	36%	19%	3%	15%	14%	13%	134
OH	18%	16%	7%	7%	23%	30%	88
OK	20%	8%	8%	7%	5%	52%	115
PA	36%	8%	7%	19%	10%	21%	73
RI	15%	19%	17%	10%	19%	21%	81
SD	16%	9%	11%	20%	16%	28%	108
TN	19%	24%	10%	19%	9%	19%	105
UT	18%	18%	5%	13%	13%	32%	38
VA	22%	12%	11%	9%	6%	41%	123
VT	21%	13%	7%	15%	13%	30%	82
WA	22%	7%	9%	6%	12%	45%	69
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	14%	20%	5%	9%	5%	46%	56
NCI Average	**21%**	**15%**	**8%**	**12%**	**12%**	**31%**	**3,019**

Table 214. Had a flu vaccine in the past year (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	72%	28%	276
AR	81%	19%	301
AZ	80%	20%	166
CO	81%	19%	392
CT	90%	10%	201
DC	80%	20%	46
DE	97%	3%	275
FL	52%	48%	1,316
GA	69%	31%	338
HI	45%	55%	238
IL	84%	16%	237
IN	60%	40%	793
KS	78%	22%	283
KY	72%	28%	229
LA	80%	20%	266
MA	81%	19%	375
ME	83%	17%	260
MI	77%	23%	303
MN	100%	0%	142
MO	86%	14%	356
MS	80%	20%	174
NC	75%	25%	614
NV	60%	40%	214
NY	79%	21%	403
OH	78%	22%	305
OK	70%	30%	392
PA	74%	26%	309
RI	92%	8%	264
SD	93%	7%	346
TN	80%	20%	344
UT	86%	14%	218
VA	87%	13%	538
VT	77%	23%	251
WA	74%	26%	395
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	66%	34%	270
NCI Average	**78%**	**22%**	**11,830**

Medications (un-collapsed)

Table 215. Takes at least one medication for behavior, mood disorders, anxiety, and/or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	52%	48%	382
AR	59%	41%	378
AZ	40%	60%	451
CO	55%	45%	396
CT	52%	48%	327
DC	53%	47%	256
DE	67%	33%	386
FL	45%	55%	1,420
GA	51%	49%	455
HI	30%	70%	388
IL	53%	47%	394
IN	41%	59%	816
KS	59%	41%	374
KY	59%	41%	433
LA	53%	47%	436
MA	61%	39%	410
ME	64%	36%	363
MI	59%	41%	422
MN	51%	49%	397
MO	70%	30%	393
MS	47%	53%	350
NC	54%	46%	798
NV	60%	40%	391
NY	53%	47%	474
OH	52%	48%	570
OK	60%	40%	400
PA	50%	50%	611
RI	61%	39%	331
SD	50%	50%	353
TN	63%	37%	424
UT	62%	38%	386
VA	66%	34%	764
VT	58%	42%	325
WA	46%	54%	399
WI	n/a	na	n/a
WY	55%	45%	306
NCI Average	**55%**	**45%**	**16,159**

Table 216. Take medication for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	49%	51%	381
AR	55%	45%	382
AZ	36%	64%	458
CO	53%	47%	398
CT	47%	53%	334
DC	48%	52%	261
DE	61%	39%	389
FL	40%	60%	1,424
GA	45%	55%	465
HI	18%	82%	397
IL	47%	53%	399
IN	38%	62%	816
KS	55%	45%	379
KY	56%	44%	436
LA	49%	51%	437
MA	58%	42%	413
ME	59%	41%	370
MI	56%	44%	422
MN	45%	55%	397
MO	67%	33%	393
MS	40%	60%	375
NC	48%	52%	809
NV	56%	44%	389
NY	49%	51%	483
OH	50%	50%	574
OK	55%	45%	400
PA	46%	54%	621
RI	56%	44%	335
SD	48%	52%	356
TN	61%	39%	423
UT	59%	41%	391
VA	61%	39%	774
VT	57%	43%	323
WA	43%	57%	402
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	52%	48%	308
NCI Average	**50%**	**50%**	**16,314**

Table 217. Number of medications taken for at least one of the following: mood disorders, anxiety, or psychotic disorders (information comes from Background Information section)

State	1-2 Medications	3-4 Medications	5-10 Medications	11 or More Medications	N
AL	68%	28%	4%	0%	188
AR	66%	30%	3%	0%	202
AZ	63%	30%	7%	0%	151
CO	68%	27%	5%	0%	204
CT	76%	21%	3%	0%	149
DC	96%	4%	0%	0%	68
DE	72%	22%	5%	0%	203
FL	77%	21%	2%	0%	559
GA	70%	21%	9%	0%	208
HI	79%	20%	1%	0%	71
IL	78%	20%	2%	0%	183
IN	85%	15%	0%	0%	307
KS	66%	30%	4%	0%	206
KY	70%	26%	4%	0%	232
LA	66%	28%	5%	1%	209
MA	59%	31%	10%	0%	237
ME	69%	25%	6%	1%	189
MI	61%	32%	7%	0%	234
MN	68%	25%	7%	1%	169
MO	60%	32%	9%	0%	262
MS	78%	16%	6%	0%	134
NC	69%	25%	7%	0%	378
NV	61%	29%	9%	1%	216
NY	61%	33%	5%	1%	228
OH	70%	21%	9%	0%	267
OK	65%	29%	6%	0%	220
PA	66%	30%	5%	0%	277
RI	71%	25%	3%	1%	181
SD	62%	30%	8%	0%	169
TN	56%	35%	9%	0%	247
UT	63%	32%	5%	0%	222
VA	61%	29%	10%	0%	467
VT	68%	25%	7%	0%	182
WA	70%	27%	3%	0%	172
WI	73%	25%	3%	0%	158
WY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**69%**	**26%**	**5%**	**0%**	**7,749**

Table 218. Take medication for behavior challenges (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	19%	81%	381
AR	34%	66%	374
AZ	28%	72%	447
CO	25%	75%	385
CT	33%	67%	321
DC	33%	67%	238
DE	47%	53%	371
FL	20%	80%	1,425
GA	15%	85%	461
HI	17%	83%	389
IL	29%	71%	388
IN	15%	85%	814
KS	32%	68%	368
KY	20%	80%	426
LA	27%	73%	435
MA	19%	81%	409
ME	30%	70%	359
MI	33%	67%	419
MN	30%	70%	393
MO	28%	72%	384
MS	15%	85%	334
NC	31%	69%	799
NV	35%	65%	388
NY	32%	68%	476
OH	17%	83%	563
OK	13%	87%	400
PA	20%	80%	599
RI	35%	65%	330
SD	15%	85%	353
TN	29%	71%	420
UT	36%	64%	380
VA	33%	67%	744
VT	22%	78%	324
WA	14%	86%	402
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	18%	82%	305
NCI Average	**26%**	**74%**	**16,004**

Table 219. Number of medications taken for at least one of the following behavior challenges (information comes from Background Information section)

State	1-2 Medications	3-4 Medications	5-10 Medications	11 or More Medications	N
AL	84%	15%	1%	0%	68
AR	66%	30%	4%	0%	122
AZ	73%	20%	7%	0%	111
CO	83%	15%	2%	0%	86
CT	81%	17%	3%	0%	103
DC	92%	8%	0%	0%	37
DE	80%	18%	3%	0%	142
FL	81%	17%	3%	0%	280
GA	77%	21%	1%	0%	70
HI	67%	21%	12%	0%	66
IL	83%	15%	3%	0%	110
IN	88%	11%	1%	0%	121
KS	80%	18%	2%	0%	114
KY	83%	17%	0%	0%	83
LA	77%	19%	4%	0%	112
MA	72%	26%	3%	0%	78
ME	80%	14%	6%	0%	90
MI	74%	21%	4%	1%	132
MN	87%	6%	5%	1%	111
MO	75%	23%	3%	0%	106
MS	80%	16%	4%	0%	45
NC	76%	21%	3%	0%	241
NV	62%	33%	5%	0%	132
NY	66%	26%	8%	0%	145
OH	75%	19%	6%	0%	88
OK	82%	16%	2%	0%	51
PA	80%	16%	4%	0%	105
RI	81%	16%	3%	0%	107
SD	76%	22%	2%	0%	51
TN	69%	26%	5%	0%	112
UT	73%	22%	5%	0%	133
VA	80%	16%	5%	0%	235
VT	77%	16%	7%	0%	69
WA	90%	10%	0%	0%	58
WI	87%	11%	2%	0%	53
WY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**78%**	**18%**	**4%**	**0%**	**3,767**

Table 220. Has a behavior plan (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	19%	81%	384
AR	47%	53%	387
AZ	19%	81%	459
CO	39%	61%	397
CT	42%	58%	338
DC	58%	42%	218
DE	64%	36%	418
FL	15%	85%	1,430
GA	7%	93%	471
HI	13%	87%	401
IL	42%	58%	390
IN	32%	68%	821
KS	50%	50%	369
KY	27%	73%	448
LA	24%	76%	434
MA	19%	81%	415
ME	14%	86%	360
MI	25%	75%	423
MN	n/a	n/a	n/a
MO	17%	83%	395
MS	6%	94%	357
NC	20%	80%	809
NV	50%	50%	395
NY	34%	66%	489
OH	12%	88%	603
OK	41%	59%	400
PA	30%	70%	605
RI	33%	67%	349
SD	22%	78%	361
TN	29%	71%	441
UT	41%	59%	400
VA	23%	77%	769
VT	36%	64%	326
WA	23%	77%	403
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	26%	74%	314
NCI Average	**29%**	**71%**	**15,979**

Table 221. Has a behavior plan (of those who take medication for a behavior challenge); information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	98%	2%	170
AR	94%	6%	77
AZ	91%	9%	127
CO	88%	12%	133
CT	87%	13%	111
DC	83%	17%	116
DE	81%	19%	95
FL	81%	19%	104
GA	76%	24%	135
HI	74%	26%	151
IL	73%	27%	71
IN	71%	29%	112
KS	67%	33%	115
KY	67%	33%	121
LA	63%	37%	119
MA	61%	39%	51
ME	61%	39%	51
MI	59%	41%	86
MN	59%	41%	71
MO	57%	43%	54
MS	57%	43%	117
NC	55%	45%	76
NV	54%	46%	136
NY	54%	46%	116
OH	47%	53%	57
OK	47%	53%	66
PA	51%	49%	281
RI	46%	54%	250
SD	41%	59%	243
TN	40%	60%	94
UT	34%	66%	104
VA	33%	67%	99
VT	32%	68%	71
WA	21%	79%	47
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**62%**	**38%**	**3,827**

Wellness (un-collapsed)

Table 222. Engage in physical activity (proxy respondents were allowed)

State	At Least 3 Times a Week	1-2 Times a Week	No	N
AL	34%	46%	20%	378
AR	40%	31%	29%	373
AZ	21%	59%	20%	462
CO	41%	36%	23%	402
CT	47%	33%	21%	325
DC	55%	33%	12%	389
DE	39%	29%	33%	366
FL	35%	34%	31%	1,422
GA	39%	39%	22%	449
HI	83%	8%	8%	390
IL	41%	37%	23%	377
IN	35%	38%	27%	814
KS	39%	31%	30%	344
KY	13%	32%	55%	447
LA	43%	32%	25%	423
MA	46%	33%	21%	410
ME	52%	29%	19%	383
MI	39%	35%	25%	429
MN	55%	28%	17%	400
MO	42%	29%	29%	395
MS	44%	31%	26%	396
NC	51%	29%	20%	742
NV	54%	30%	15%	388
NY	36%	36%	28%	483
OH	46%	30%	25%	534
OK	50%	28%	22%	395
PA	42%	34%	24%	665
RI	50%	33%	17%	447
SD	47%	29%	24%	333
TN	45%	34%	21%	434
UT	54%	29%	17%	385
VA	43%	33%	24%	800
VT	48%	25%	28%	324
WA	48%	29%	23%	392
WI	51%	28%	20%	404
WY	46%	34%	20%	312
NCI Average	**44%**	**32%**	**23%**	**16,812**

Table 223. BMI category (BMI is calculated using person's height and weight; information comes from Background Information section)

State	Underweight	Average	Overweight	Obese	N
AL	3%	25%	27%	45%	368
AR	5%	27%	32%	36%	328
AZ	5%	44%	27%	23%	228
CO	6%	32%	32%	31%	392
CT	5%	26%	40%	29%	212
DC	7%	33%	30%	29%	312
DE	3%	31%	30%	36%	397
FL	8%	35%	31%	26%	1,206
GA	4%	36%	29%	30%	341
HI	6%	32%	29%	33%	382
IL	7%	30%	26%	37%	378
IN	5%	29%	27%	39%	781
KS	6%	28%	29%	37%	314
KY	7%	26%	24%	42%	434
LA	5%	29%	25%	41%	404
MA	4%	33%	29%	34%	411
ME	5%	26%	31%	38%	316
MI	6%	29%	31%	34%	379
MN	5%	34%	32%	30%	358
MO	5%	31%	29%	35%	366
MS	6%	27%	27%	40%	301
NC	8%	31%	28%	32%	713
NV	3%	29%	29%	39%	397
NY	5%	34%	32%	30%	476
OH	5%	32%	31%	33%	429
OK	9%	33%	25%	33%	400
PA	5%	29%	33%	33%	374
RI	7%	33%	28%	32%	358
SD	4%	27%	25%	44%	360
TN	4%	29%	30%	37%	404
UT	8%	35%	26%	31%	378
VA	6%	33%	26%	35%	645
VT	5%	28%	30%	38%	324
WA	6%	36%	27%	31%	379
WI	6%	38%	27%	30%	301
WY	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI Average	**6%**	**31%**	**29%**	**34%**	**14,546**

Table 224. Chew or smoke tobacco (information comes from Background Information section)

State	Yes	No	N
AL	4%	96%	386
AR	8%	92%	365
AZ	5%	95%	441
CO	9%	91%	399
CT	4%	96%	334
DC	2%	98%	229
DE	7%	93%	412
FL	5%	95%	1,417
GA	4%	96%	468
HI	2%	98%	293
IL	7%	93%	376
IN	5%	95%	812
KS	9%	91%	367
KY	15%	85%	443
LA	9%	91%	382
MA	5%	95%	413
ME	7%	93%	381
MI	4%	96%	420
MN	7%	93%	409
MO	8%	92%	394
MS	7%	93%	397
NC	8%	92%	793
NV	7%	93%	387
NY	5%	95%	488
OH	9%	91%	567
OK	6%	94%	400
PA	8%	92%	586
RI	4%	96%	362
SD	10%	90%	361
TN	10%	90%	437
UT	6%	94%	395
VA	5%	95%	750
VT	8%	92%	322
WA	7%	93%	392
WI	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	11%	89%	309
NCI Average	**7%**	**93%**	**16,087**

Respect and Rights (un-collapsed)

Table 225. Has a key to the home (proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
AL	51%	2%	47%	375
AR	46%	1%	54%	349
AZ	64%	0%	36%	461
CO	49%	1%	51%	382
CT	46%	2%	52%	300
DC	47%	1%	51%	356
DE	33%	1%	66%	372
FL	46%	1%	53%	1,331
GA	56%	2%	42%	411
HI	33%	2%	65%	354
IL	31%	0%	69%	365
IN	56%	0%	44%	803
KS	52%	8%	41%	312
KY	30%	2%	68%	440
LA	49%	1%	49%	397
MA	41%	0%	58%	402
ME	39%	1%	60%	377
MI	33%	1%	65%	421
MN	47%	1%	52%	380
MO	39%	1%	60%	386
MS	50%	0%	50%	381
NC	37%	1%	62%	719
NV	62%	3%	35%	386
NY	33%	1%	66%	483
OH	50%	1%	49%	511
OK	28%	0%	72%	397
PA	42%	1%	56%	641
RI	51%	1%	48%	442
SD	64%	0%	35%	318
TN	47%	1%	52%	428
UT	37%	1%	62%	368
VA	27%	1%	72%	784
VT	42%	0%	58%	313
WA	57%	1%	42%	389
WI	68%	1%	31%	394
WY	61%	2%	37%	310
NCI Average	**46%**	**1%**	**53%**	**16,238**

Table 226. People always ask before entering home

State	Yes	Sometimes	No	N
AL	90%	5%	5%	331
AR	88%	7%	5%	222
AZ	98%	1%	1%	417
CO	91%	8%	2%	306
CT	88%	8%	3%	242
DC	88%	7%	5%	193
DE	75%	19%	6%	258
FL	92%	5%	3%	838
GA	87%	12%	1%	294
HI	87%	4%	9%	191
IL	87%	9%	4%	257
IN	95%	3%	2%	555
KS	90%	7%	3%	269
KY	94%	4%	2%	298
LA	92%	4%	4%	285
MA	91%	5%	4%	306
ME	77%	18%	6%	274
MI	82%	13%	6%	266
MN	93%	6%	2%	248
MO	88%	8%	4%	260
MS	91%	7%	2%	313
NC	90%	5%	5%	427
NV	88%	7%	4%	343
NY	82%	12%	6%	306
OH	91%	3%	7%	383
OK	92%	5%	3%	210
PA	91%	6%	4%	424
RI	89%	8%	3%	342
SD	84%	9%	7%	283
TN	97%	2%	1%	327
UT	84%	11%	5%	236
VA	90%	6%	5%	489
VT	85%	9%	6%	269
WA	95%	4%	1%	261
WI	94%	3%	3%	279
WY	89%	8%	3%	235
NCI Average	**89%**	**7%**	**4%**	**11,437**

Table 227. Can lock bedroom (proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
AL	64%	6%	30%	375
AR	49%	3%	48%	365
AZ	67%	3%	31%	453
CO	44%	6%	51%	376
CT	45%	3%	52%	304
DC	31%	4%	65%	351
DE	42%	3%	55%	351
FL	66%	5%	29%	1,317
GA	76%	5%	19%	385
HI	49%	6%	45%	337
IL	34%	4%	62%	352
IN	45%	3%	52%	782
KS	47%	12%	42%	277
KY	53%	5%	42%	417
LA	38%	5%	57%	407
MA	33%	1%	65%	378
ME	38%	3%	59%	349
MI	33%	4%	63%	413
MN	42%	2%	56%	383
MO	41%	3%	56%	360
MS	62%	2%	36%	379
NC	46%	4%	51%	698
NV	58%	4%	38%	340
NY	32%	5%	63%	464
OH	44%	4%	52%	510
OK	37%	2%	62%	395
PA	40%	5%	55%	590
RI	39%	3%	57%	414
SD	61%	4%	35%	306
TN	53%	5%	42%	418
UT	46%	2%	52%	363
VA	53%	3%	44%	788
VT	36%	3%	61%	269
WA	35%	2%	63%	358
WI	37%	4%	59%	343
WY	55%	3%	42%	274
NCI Average	**46%**	**4%**	**50%**	**15,641**

Table 228. People always ask before entering bedroom

State	Yes	Sometimes	No	N
AL	82%	6%	11%	329
AR	84%	7%	9%	218
AZ	95%	2%	3%	419
CO	90%	6%	4%	292
CT	83%	11%	6%	240
DC	85%	8%	7%	186
DE	74%	13%	13%	260
FL	87%	7%	6%	814
GA	87%	10%	3%	296
HI	76%	5%	19%	179
IL	83%	8%	9%	242
IN	78%	8%	14%	525
KS	85%	9%	7%	247
KY	94%	3%	3%	292
LA	86%	5%	9%	274
MA	84%	8%	7%	299
ME	73%	15%	12%	267
MI	77%	13%	10%	253
MN	85%	9%	6%	239
MO	82%	8%	10%	249
MS	80%	13%	7%	290
NC	85%	8%	7%	430
NV	88%	6%	6%	338
NY	78%	17%	5%	301
OH	81%	7%	12%	381
OK	79%	9%	12%	201
PA	85%	7%	8%	403
RI	82%	8%	10%	338
SD	81%	8%	10%	269
TN	92%	6%	2%	330
UT	79%	9%	12%	232
VA	85%	7%	8%	485
VT	76%	10%	15%	241
WA	88%	9%	3%	244
WI	88%	7%	5%	274
WY	85%	8%	7%	226
NCI Average	**83%**	**8%**	**8%**	**11,103**

Table 229. Others read mail or email without asking

State	Yes	No	N
AL	17%	83%	293
AR	11%	89%	213
AZ	2%	98%	379
CO	11%	89%	283
CT	19%	81%	213
DC	22%	78%	149
DE	28%	72%	205
FL	10%	90%	770
GA	9%	91%	273
HI	28%	72%	166
IL	22%	78%	227
IN	18%	82%	541
KS	11%	89%	239
KY	7%	93%	288
LA	9%	91%	275
MA	15%	85%	282
ME	3%	97%	266
MI	15%	85%	261
MN	9%	91%	236
MO	17%	83%	233
MS	7%	93%	274
NC	8%	92%	371
NV	12%	88%	319
NY	13%	87%	265
OH	16%	84%	352
OK	21%	79%	194
PA	12%	88%	411
RI	24%	76%	316
SD	14%	86%	265
TN	2%	98%	289
UT	21%	79%	212
VA	14%	86%	450
VT	3%	97%	253
WA	4%	96%	252
WI	9%	91%	283
WY	15%	85%	209
NCI Average	**13%**	**87%**	**10,507**

Table 230. Can use phone and internet whenever wants

State	Yes	No	N
AL	88%	12%	321
AR	91%	9%	221
AZ	84%	16%	402
CO	87%	13%	285
CT	90%	10%	224
DC	86%	14%	166
DE	76%	24%	225
FL	89%	12%	800
GA	94%	6%	295
HI	75%	25%	172
IL	93%	7%	239
IN	89%	11%	532
KS	93%	7%	228
KY	91%	9%	289
LA	86%	14%	279
MA	88%	12%	303
ME	84%	16%	261
MI	93%	7%	269
MN	90%	10%	242
MO	88%	12%	245
MS	94%	6%	276
NC	90%	10%	406
NV	93%	7%	335
NY	87%	13%	270
OH	95%	5%	358
OK	89%	11%	201
PA	90%	10%	400
RI	90%	10%	324
SD	90%	10%	267
TN	87%	13%	300
UT	88%	12%	230
VA	89%	11%	482
VT	80%	20%	238
WA	97%	3%	254
WI	97%	3%	276
WY	83%	17%	220
NCI Average	**89%**	**11%**	**10,835**

Table 231. Can be alone with friends and visitors at home

State	Yes	No	N
AL	84%	16%	302
AR	86%	14%	216
AZ	89%	12%	400
CO	83%	17%	281
CT	81%	19%	227
DC	88%	12%	171
DE	64%	36%	201
FL	84%	16%	765
GA	91%	9%	281
HI	70%	30%	149
IL	78%	22%	231
IN	84%	16%	519
KS	89%	11%	256
KY	91%	9%	293
LA	72%	28%	269
MA	82%	18%	284
ME	80%	20%	224
MI	88%	12%	257
MN	79%	21%	232
MO	83%	17%	242
MS	90%	10%	274
NC	75%	25%	383
NV	80%	20%	323
NY	79%	21%	277
OH	83%	17%	358
OK	80%	20%	192
PA	88%	12%	379
RI	83%	17%	302
SD	88%	12%	275
TN	87%	13%	319
UT	83%	17%	235
VA	89%	11%	456
VT	72%	28%	177
WA	88%	13%	240
WI	92%	8%	270
WY	82%	18%	208
NCI Average	**83%**	**17%**	**10,468**

Table 232. There are rules against having friends and visitors at home

State	Yes	No	N
AL	15%	85%	300
AR	36%	64%	211
AZ	87%	13%	389
CO	41%	59%	273
CT	43%	57%	207
DC	34%	66%	158
DE	47%	53%	184
FL	19%	81%	738
GA	19%	81%	276
HI	43%	57%	149
IL	43%	57%	214
IN	27%	73%	503
KS	18%	82%	238
KY	35%	65%	293
LA	37%	63%	246
MA	28%	72%	276
ME	27%	73%	214
MI	32%	68%	246
MN	33%	67%	227
MO	26%	74%	222
MS	36%	64%	258
NC	51%	49%	345
NV	47%	53%	318
NY	37%	63%	273
OH	38%	62%	334
OK	43%	57%	188
PA	23%	77%	355
RI	43%	57%	286
SD	29%	71%	263
TN	16%	84%	319
UT	34%	66%	220
VA	30%	70%	412
VT	36%	64%	177
WA	21%	79%	230
WI	17%	83%	262
WY	41%	59%	209
NCI Average	**34%**	**66%**	**10,013**

Table 233. Has a place to be alone

State	Yes	No	N
AL	97%	3%	331
AR	95%	5%	225
AZ	99%	1%	423
CO	98%	2%	307
CT	97%	3%	246
DC	93%	7%	185
DE	91%	9%	259
FL	97%	3%	856
GA	100%	0%	304
HI	86%	14%	199
IL	95%	5%	256
IN	98%	2%	575
KS	99%	1%	267
KY	94%	6%	298
LA	96%	4%	290
MA	97%	3%	306
ME	98%	2%	281
MI	94%	6%	285
MN	99%	1%	251
MO	97%	3%	268
MS	95%	5%	295
NC	95%	5%	438
NV	97%	3%	349
NY	91%	9%	300
OH	94%	6%	393
OK	93%	7%	211
PA	96%	4%	434
RI	93%	7%	346
SD	94%	6%	286
TN	96%	4%	335
UT	94%	6%	239
VA	93%	7%	486
VT	96%	4%	272
WA	98%	2%	263
WI	97%	3%	288
WY	98%	2%	236
NCI Average	**96%**	**4%**	**11,583**

Table 234. Staff (at home, work, and/or day activity or program) are respectful

State	Yes	Sometimes or Some Staff	No	N
AL	95%	4%	0%	317
AR	96%	2%	1%	203
AZ	95%	4%	1%	313
CO	90%	9%	1%	278
CT	94%	5%	1%	229
DC	91%	6%	3%	167
DE	79%	19%	2%	225
FL	95%	4%	1%	762
GA	95%	5%	0%	305
HI	92%	5%	3%	182
IL	95%	5%	0%	231
IN	97%	2%	0%	519
KS	91%	8%	1%	256
KY	93%	6%	1%	284
LA	96%	3%	1%	256
MA	90%	10%	1%	283
ME	90%	10%	0%	273
MI	93%	6%	0%	227
MN	92%	8%	0%	232
MO	87%	13%	0%	256
MS	87%	12%	1%	285
NC	93%	6%	1%	395
NV	89%	11%	1%	323
NY	92%	7%	1%	265
OH	93%	6%	1%	324
OK	90%	9%	1%	188
PA	95%	4%	0%	347
RI	93%	7%	1%	321
SD	91%	7%	2%	257
TN	99%	1%	0%	329
UT	93%	7%	0%	233
VA	95%	4%	0%	483
VT	88%	11%	1%	223
WA	94%	5%	1%	244
WI	93%	6%	0%	220
WY	87%	10%	3%	212
NCI Average	**92%**	**7%**	**1%**	**10,447**

Table 235. Have attended a self-advocacy event or chose not to (proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Had the Opportunity But Chose Not to	No	N
AL	38%	12%	51%	352
AR	20%	2%	77%	270
AZ	6%	1%	93%	362
CO	16%	5%	79%	382
CT	34%	6%	60%	284
DC	19%	1%	80%	340
DE	14%	2%	84%	322
FL	16%	3%	81%	1,260
GA	11%	6%	83%	369
HI	38%	2%	60%	351
IL	27%	4%	69%	226
IN	22%	1%	77%	756
KS	25%	10%	65%	298
KY	10%	6%	85%	362
LA	24%	4%	71%	342
MA	22%	6%	72%	345
ME	26%	1%	73%	358
MI	20%	5%	75%	372
MN	26%	4%	70%	360
MO	21%	17%	63%	321
MS	24%	2%	75%	316
NC	35%	6%	60%	544
NV	22%	5%	73%	374
NY	16%	6%	78%	370
OH	22%	6%	72%	485
OK	23%	2%	75%	370
PA	12%	1%	87%	506
RI	27%	10%	64%	403
SD	39%	6%	55%	314
TN	17%	6%	76%	343
UT	23%	7%	70%	354
VA	21%	5%	75%	661
VT	32%	7%	61%	314
WA	16%	4%	80%	374
WI	22%	2%	76%	385
WY	37%	5%	58%	242
NCI Average	**23%**	**5%**	**72%**	**14,387**

Table 236. Has ever voted in local, state, or federal election, or had the opportunity and chose not (proxy responses allowed)

State	Yes	Had the Opportunity But Chose Not to	No	N
AL	49%	6%	45%	371
AR	26%	3%	71%	356
AZ	47%	1%	52%	456
CO	42%	8%	51%	396
CT	33%	6%	60%	311
DC	33%	2%	65%	358
DE	34%	7%	59%	320
FL	32%	5%	63%	1,356
GA	21%	8%	71%	404
HI	23%	5%	72%	347
IL	39%	8%	53%	339
IN	28%	2%	70%	814
KS	31%	20%	49%	298
KY	15%	2%	83%	413
LA	45%	2%	53%	392
MA	38%	9%	54%	387
ME	36%	1%	63%	357
MI	30%	4%	66%	408
MN	45%	5%	50%	394
MO	16%	5%	80%	385
MS	46%	5%	49%	385
NC	37%	8%	55%	711
NV	34%	27%	39%	371
NY	21%	8%	71%	461
OH	37%	9%	54%	523
OK	14%	0%	86%	382
PA	30%	11%	59%	646
RI	42%	8%	51%	431
SD	37%	5%	58%	324
TN	25%	5%	70%	416
UT	26%	2%	72%	370
VA	27%	5%	68%	778
VT	36%	1%	63%	308
WA	35%	3%	62%	378
WI	38%	7%	54%	399
WY	34%	5%	60%	302
NCI Average	**33%**	**6%**	**61%**	**16,047**

Safety (un-collapsed)

Table 237. There is at least one place where the person feels afraid or scared

State	Home	Day Program	Work	Walking in the Community	In Transport	N
AL	3%	2%	0%	2%	0%	334
AR	14%	7%	3%	13%	4%	224
AZ	2%	2%	1%	4%	6%	425
CO	8%	5%	2%	18%	8%	308
CT	7%	2%	2%	6%	4%	246
DC	3%	0%	0%	10%	4%	194
DE	12%	4%	2%	6%	3%	266
FL	3%	1%	0%	3%	1%	859
GA	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	292
HI	4%	2%	0%	8%	1%	171
IL	10%	9%	1%	14%	4%	255
IN	3%	1%	2%	5%	2%	581
KS	15%	8%	2%	13%	2%	262
KY	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	298
LA	6%	2%	1%	5%	1%	289
MA	10%	4%	2%	10%	6%	316
ME	20%	9%	2%	16%	8%	282
MI	7%	2%	2%	10%	3%	284
MN	5%	4%	1%	10%	8%	254
MO	5%	1%	0%	4%	2%	276
MS	5%	2%	2%	7%	2%	295
NC	8%	2%	1%	6%	2%	442
NV	8%	1%	3%	10%	4%	346
NY	4%	2%	1%	7%	4%	304
OH	6%	3%	2%	7%	3%	398
OK	6%	0%	2%	3%	3%	213
PA	6%	1%	1%	6%	1%	442
RI	12%	7%	1%	15%	8%	336
SD	11%	3%	2%	10%	3%	282
TN	6%	4%	1%	2%	1%	323
UT	12%	8%	3%	6%	5%	238
VA	7%	4%	0%	3%	3%	506
VT	9%	0%	0%	6%	1%	289
WA	3%	0%	0%	5%	3%	264
WI	2%	2%	3%	7%	3%	296
WY	10%	3%	0%	8%	2%	235
NCI Average	**7%**	**3%**	**1%**	**7%**	**3%**	**11,625**

Table 238. Have someone to go to for help if they ever feel scared

State	Yes	Maybe, Not Sure	No	N
AL	97%	2%	2%	328
AR	91%	4%	5%	220
AZ	96%	1%	3%	420
CO	93%	4%	3%	299
CT	92%	3%	5%	238
DC	89%	2%	9%	187
DE	92%	2%	6%	250
FL	95%	2%	3%	838
GA	96%	1%	3%	295
HI	88%	1%	12%	195
IL	94%	3%	2%	241
IN	95%	1%	4%	560
KS	94%	3%	3%	265
KY	99%	1%	0%	298
LA	96%	1%	3%	282
MA	95%	1%	4%	294
ME	96%	2%	2%	290
MI	89%	8%	3%	271
MN	95%	1%	4%	247
MO	96%	2%	2%	253
MS	92%	2%	6%	289
NC	96%	2%	2%	425
NV	93%	2%	5%	340
NY	92%	5%	3%	311
OH	96%	2%	2%	379
OK	94%	0%	5%	209
PA	95%	1%	4%	416
RI	92%	3%	5%	344
SD	94%	2%	4%	280
TN	99%	0%	1%	334
UT	94%	2%	4%	238
VA	94%	4%	1%	488
VT	89%	8%	3%	265
WA	96%	2%	2%	259
WI	95%	1%	4%	285
WY	92%	5%	4%	225
NCI Average	**94%**	**3%**	**4%**	**11,358**